

EPIC FAIL

BY

THOMAS E. CHRISTOPHER

FOR MY NEPHEW CONNOR EVANS WHO ALREADY KNOWS EPIC SUCCESS.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Thomas E. Christopher was born in July 1967 in South Wales. Before taking up writing full-time he had a varied and colourful employment history including: Administrator, Archery Instructor, Bookseller, etc. Thomas started writing lyrics and music when he was eleven. His first story was a screenplay called NEO-NEMESIS, which is an ironic tale about time-travellers and would-be ancient gods.

After writing his fifth, he concluded that scriptwriting was suppressing his literary aspirations and decided to write novels – where he could use prose copiously. AT MOST FEAR was his debut novel.

He continues to live in South Wales.

Also by Thomas E. Christopher

SCREENPLAYS

NEO-NEMESIS

WRATH OF THE WRAITH

FROZEN ECHOES *

TIDES OF TIME (AKA – BLOOD OF ANGELS)

FLAMEN

NOVELS

AT MOST FEAR

EPOCH-ELLIPSE

D-EVIL FLAMEN (COLLECTION OF SCRIPTS)

BOOKS - COLLECTION OF ARTICLES

EPIC FAIL

Copyright © by Thomas E. Christopher 2015 - 2017

This work was first published in Great Britain by white Flag publishing in 2017.

The right of Thomas E. Christopher to be identified as the Author of the Work has been asserted by white Flag limited in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

50 49 48 47 46 45 44 43 42 41

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by means without prior written permission of the publisher, nor be otherwise circulated in any form or binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition being imposed on the subsequent publisher.

Cover design by: Thomas E Christopher
Typesetting by: white Flag publishing

EPIC FAIL

BY

THOMAS E. CHRISTOPHER

PERSONAL INTRODUCTION

I have heard atheists ask Christians to prove there is a God... so I thought I would prepare this book for those who are unable to comprehend the light.

Please take note I have no interest in arguing with anyone - nor am I upset with any atheist for the way they have blasphemed against the Holy Spirit. I will answer the question the only way it should be answered because there's little point in arguing.

Scripture makes it clear we live by faith.

Therefore... whenever an atheist asks for proof God exists: it's like being asked to destroy them. Even if a Christian could prove God exists... they would never oblige in destroying anyone because a Christian is supposed to bring a non-believer to life not death. I realise this may sound like a cop-out but this is the reality of the situation. Therefore... if you ask a Christian to prove God exists: they have good reason to refuse you - and you need to appreciate they are holding back their tears - because the question is (quite frankly) irrational at best.

A more apt question would be: Could you please offer a legitimate reason to develop a faith in a God - because we have no evidence for the existence of a God?

The answer to this question would have to come from the sciences, atheists accept as gospel, because they dismiss the Word of God on the erroneous grounds the true-Christ faith is like the other religions that perpetrate evil in this world.

This book will provide sufficient 'indirect' evidence to develop a faith in God.

If you look to the Measurement Problem, that reveals Quantum Entanglement, you will quickly realise: there is a reality beyond the visible which is interconnected with the reality that is visible. This (experiment) was conclusive proof there are two realities that are inter-connected with each other.

However... science refused to acknowledge there are two interconnected realities because scripture says: God created the heaven (spiritual reality observed) and the earth (physical reality studied). Given the fact science discovered the cosmological constant (which also served to prove intelligent design) the scientists immediately realised they were faced with a situation that proves scripture is accurate.

Here's what happened.

Instead of admitting the evidence was pointing toward a creator - science decided to invent 'theoretical physics' and started postulating ideas, that were improvable, so they could use mathematics to inspire the imagination (of people) to consider the possibility of multiple realities.

Instead of submitting their findings that described two realities are inter-connected... they postulated the idea of multiple realities with String Theory etc - to defer the rational mind away from the truth. In other words... science started to deliberately lie - to avoid accepting the inevitable truth there is a creator.

These are the facts. Anyone can find out for themselves: so I urge you to investigate this before trying to attack this book – or any Christian in future.

Recently... science has built a highly sophisticated machine (called the Large Hadron Collider) claiming they are searching for evidence of the Big Bang to discredit the Big Bang Theory. At least... that's what they are saying... because their search includes looking for Dark Matter and Anti-Matter.

I realise this sounds like a paradox but that's what has happened with science now.

Scientists are starting to lose touch with reality because they are totally convinced their lies are truths so they are unable to see the absurdity of their claims.

The reason science is desperate to find Dark-Matter is... they want to verify the unproven String Theory to refute the Big Bang Theory because the Big Bang Theory describes the mechanism by which time, space and matter came into existence from nothing. They, basically, want to discredit the theory because it validates scripture but the irony of this is – Dark-Matter is described in scripture. Since they have realised there are theories that indicate the existence of a God - they are desperate to renounce them. This means science has embarked on a wild goose-chase for evidence that may or may not exist - but this is nothing new in science.

Science has sought for decades to find evidence for the Theory of Evolution by trying to determine how the genome can increase in information.

They have yet to find a shred of evidence.

Yet they insist the Theory of Evolution is proven true because they can see the possibility of adaptation which allows species to change over time as they adapt to the changing environment. Adaptation (which they call micro-evolution) does not increase the genetic code information (which is macro-evolution).

They are so desperate... they are now trying to blur the definition of micro-evolution and macro-evolution to make people think they are the same. The reality is... science has utterly failed, in its desperate search for proof, to finding a single shred of evidence that shows how the genome increases in information.

This all adds up to one simple truth.

Science is lying to the whole world because it refuses to acknowledge the evidence it sees while it continues to assert the evidence it cannot see is findable. Those who think science is the Champion of Atheism are in for a shock - because they are being deluded by their own denial of the facts that science discovers.

This does not prove there is a God - but it does prove science has become a religion because it believes evidence it cannot find exists and the theories it postulates are the doctrines of that religion.

Science is aware entropy is a variable component of a universe that is flawed.

They claim: if the universe was the product of a creator - the creator was a poor designer because the universe is badly designed. However... they are failing to appreciate this universe entered a state of ruin when sin entered into it.

I realise... atheists lack the capacity to understand how sin entering the equation can cause the universe to become flawed... because that would require a fundamental understanding of Quantum Entanglement.

Scientists, who claim to understand Quantum Entanglement, are aware there is an interconnected reality which coexists with this reality... so they are able to appreciate the relationship between the two realities of physical and spiritual given they are aware the multiple universe is mere conjecture.

They see the potential for the way this interconnectedness can be damaged - because they know there is only two realities and not multiple dimensions. They know how the Laws of Thermodynamics that cause entropy are the result of our perceptions being altered. The Measurement Problem is evidence this is true because the light changes from a particle into a wave when it is observed. Therefore... science knows 'perception' plays an important role in the way this reality functions - and this is why they are (now) postulating the Holographic Universe principle. Scripture tells us... the tree of knowledge of good and evil would bring sin into the world.

That means the change of perception would let sin enter into the world: so we - now - know (for a fact) the Measurement Problem confirms the change of perception is what causes the very fabric of the universe to change. This is what caused entropy to enter into the equation - because entropy is the very existence of sin.

It's all been explained in scripture.

Science is deliberately trying to steer the public understanding of reality toward the Ancient Mystery Religions - ready to receive the grand unveiling of the alien agenda. This is when science will declare mankind can evolve to immortality because they have been working with the aliens to secure a genetic upgrade.

Does this sound fantastic?

Of course it does... but (you see) scripture has prophesied all these things saying the reprobate angels will return to corrupt the seed again. So you can keep a watch for this and remember you heard about it here. The point is... the scripture clearly tells us this heaven and earth (universe) is going to pass away - and the only thing that will survive are the Words of Yeshua. This means Yeshua (Jesus) was well aware of the existence of entropy because He has referenced it when He also said this universe would dissolve away. Therefore... science has consistently confirmed what scripture has been saying all along.

Given the fact scripture tells us we live by faith... and it has consistently affirmed what science is seeing... atheists are faced with a real dilemma.

The opportunity to repent is diminishing.

If they continue to indulge in their iniquitous ways - placing their hopes in the sciences finding the evidence that does not exist - they will lose the only hope they have of entering into a universe that is not flawed.

Now... I could go into explaining what it will be like when this universe passes away - suffice to say: the CERN facility is desperately trying to find a way to attain the power to control the variable of entropy. This is the situation atheists are facing and I hope they begin to appreciate - there is no anger or hate in my heart toward those who cause apostasy. The time for anger is over.

I truly hope they come to realise this situation I've described is about as real as it gets. I understand this world looks like all the religions are perpetrating evil in the world - but no true-Christian would ever seek to assert authority over another.

You see... it's not a religion that's evil. It's the assertion of authority over others that's evil - and those who do this use many ways to do it:

- 1) Religion (The anti-Christ)
- 2) Politics (False Prophet)
- 3) Military (The Beast)

These are what manifest the name of satan, in the world, so it doesn't matter what religion or non-religion you are.

Everyone will be held accountable some day - so please understand: I am pleading with all atheists to look at the work of Yeshua as perfect and those who genuinely love Him as worthy of love.

Just because they believe in a God - there's no need to ridicule or hate them.

There are many who take the Lord's Name in vain - but they are the wolves in sheep's clothing. Please place any concerns you may have over the nature of the Most High aside - and give thought for the science that indicates this universe will pass away.

If you think entropy will last billions of years - you've not paid attention to the fact it is a variable component of this reality. The scientists know it is accelerating - but they aren't saying anything about that. You have a spirit that needs to grow in the physical body you have... but it can only grow if you have a faith in the Lord.

I cannot stress how important it is to have a faith in God through Yeshua the Christ.

Instead of placing your faith in the evidence science is failing to find - place it in the Christ who laid His life down for us: so you may be saved from the conflagration of this universe.

This book will show you how the Epic Fail is to deny the opportunity to live.

LIST OF CONTENT

1) LET THERE BE LIGHT	11
2) THE NATURE OF REALITY	18
3) THE FUTURE OF SCIENCE	22
4) PHILOSOPHICAL TROPHY	26
5) PRUNING OF THE SYNAPSES	30
6) SHIFTING FOUNDATIONS	34
7) BEFORE AND AFTER	41
8) PROGRESS = DEATH	45
9) THEATRE OF DREAMS	47
10) IDEOLOGIES OF DELUSION	53
11) WHEN THE GRASP EXCEEDS THE REACH	58
12) THE VICE IS VERSA	59
13) THE END OF METHODOLOGICAL NATURALISM	62
14) BEYOND EVOLUTION	66
15) THE ALIEN AGENDA	71
16) ANCIENT ASTRONAUTS	73
17) WHO ARE THE ASCENDED MASTERS?	78
18) THE TWO MESSAGES	81
19) THE RELATIVITY OF REALITY	87
20) THE THEORY OF TRUTH	91
21) THE DEATH OF INTELLIGENCE	94
22) THE STORY OF H ₂ O	101
23) THERE IS NO SPOON	108
24) WHAT'S WRONG WITH SCIENCE?	112
25) SCIENCE HAS LOST THE PLOT	114
26) THE CHAOS OF THEORY	116
27) THE PROMISE	121
28) THE DEATH OF SCIENCE	126
29) ATHEISM = OXYMORON	129
30) THE THEORY OF (EPIC) FAILURE	133

LET THERE BE LIGHT

Science has been obsessed with trying to understand the properties of light since philosophers in Sicily pondered the nature of light some 500 years before the common era. Empedocles, a Greek philosopher, postulated light streams from our eyes to the objects we see. This may sound absurd now - but it set the ball rolling because Euclid, a Greek mathematician, was able to determine light travels in straight lines.

He looked to distant objects in relation to his finger and realised: visual perspective was making them appear smaller - which meant geometry could be used to calculate the position of the Sun for navigation purposes.

This allowed Greece to advance in trade around the Mediterranean. Therefore... it's no wonder prudent government looks to an improved understanding of nature to increase the sphere of influence it has in the world. This brings an important point to bear.

The people who used this understanding would have been utterly convinced light emanates from the eye because this knowledge yielded a profitable outcome. We (now) know light does not emanate from the eye and it is quite capable of bending where, say, black holes create gravitational lensing.

Empedocles may have been wrong about the source of light - but it's fair to say light prefers to travel in straight lines. His biggest mistake was leaping into a volcano to prove he is a god - so he probably started the mad scientist phrase too.

Anyway... Sicily fell into the hands of Islam, and they took possession of the philosophical writings, because they had a special interest in understanding nature and especially the geometry surrounding light. The religion is, after all, centered around light - so they debated the writings of the philosophers.

It took a man, named Hasan Ibn al-Haytham, an Arab scientist, who had been locked in a dank prison some twelve years to realise light is sourced from outside and (crucially) it reflects off everything. Al Hazzan became the leading authority on optics after he published his findings.

Then... a brave soul, named Roger Bacon, continued the study of optics and took the discipline into the workshop to study the colours that water and glass produced. His findings brought the miracles of God into question so the church incarcerated him. He died in prison two years later - but his work on refraction and reflection opened the door to a deeper understanding of light.

This led to the reformation which, having put the scripture into the hands of any literate person, brought us the scientific method. The situation, however, forced the Catholic Church to invest in the understanding of light to reassert its religious authority. By the sixteenth century - church buildings were being built, as time keepers, to show when the seasons started.

This helped to keep the dissenting protestant issue under control and brought the Catholic Church to appreciate science facilitates power. However... the geocentric understanding of the solar system came into question and this sparked a great debate into the nature of light. This came to a head when Descartes and Newton emerged with rigorous science to determine the nature of light.

René Descartes, a coffee shop philosopher, purported everything, including the solar system, was like a mechanical clock and, as such, light could also be explained in mechanical terms. He dissected an eye to demonstrate it was mechanical - so he was able to argue light was like spinning particles and their speed determined the colour they emitted. He postulated white light is pure and colours are tainted using this mechanical model.

This gave the papacy a Pyrrhic victory because Isaac Newton, a renowned mathematician from England, was fervoured to strip the Catholic Church of her (usurped) authority. Newton, who nearly drove himself mad trying to understand light, jammed a wooden needle between his eye and bone to observe colours in the circles that appeared. He then used prisms to split the light into its base colours (as seen in the rainbow).

He invented the word 'spectrum' to describe the range of colours and delivered the crucial experiment to prove Descartes was wrong. He did this by punching a hole in the red part of the spectrum (on the screen) and used a prism on the red beam, that passed through the card, to show the ray did not create another spectrum.

This demonstration was called 'The Crucial Experiment' because it showed prisms do not change light but, rather, they analyse light. In other words... the beam of red light, that was isolated from the spectrum, could not be analysed any further - because it is primitive. Newton proved the separate colours are pure and the white light is the mixture - which was the opposite of what Descartes postulated.

His book on optics was (almost) immediately recognised as one of the greatest works in experimental philosophy. Indeed... Opticks or, A Treatise of the Reflections, Refractions, Inflections and Colours of Light is, to this day, viewed as: the birth of modern science because it demonstrated the scientific method is formidable in settling issues between esteemed peers.

You could say science became of age because it had gained a sense of confidence in the way it understands the nature of reality. The use of glass became the focus of attention for Galileo Galilei, an impoverished mathematician from Venice, because he saw an opportunity to make a fortune selling telescopes to naval traders and the military. He was able to craft a more exquisite glass lenses to make a more proficient spyglass.

This allowed him to observe the heavens with greater accuracy because he understood light is a reliable carrier of information. He realised the geocentric model was incorrect when he observed the planets retrograde across the sky.

This caused the greatest controversy with the Catholic Church because the scientific ideology of a creationist interpretation regarding geocentricity at that time, was not true. Galileo showed the Earth is a planet that revolves around the Sun making the solar system heliocentric.

The authority of the Catholic Church came under threat - again - which was a shame because Galileo, being a Catholic, just wanted the Church to get it right. He famously said, "The bible may tell you how to go to Heaven, but it doesn't tell you how the Heavens go."

Lenses became an universal technology reaching into - nearly - every aspect of life.

Robert Hooke, the man assigned the task of redesigning London after the great fire, was enthralled by the lens and he created the first microscope - which led to his book *Micrographia*. This brought attention to the fact structure was deeply complex, in the minute world of insects, and this led to troubling questions regarding our own existence.

People wondered if we were special - so the Christian faith came under more tribulation at the hands of scientific discoveries. William Herschel, a British astronomer, used the microscope to show coral is an animal - and not a plant - then realised he could classify the heavens in a similar fashion.

He built the first refractive telescope - after making a perfect mirror - and, in doing so, he doubled the size of the known universe and (even) discovered a new planet which became known as Uranus. Ole Rømer, a Danish astronomer, discovered the (approx) speed of light - after he noticed the moons orbiting Jupiter varied in speed when the Earth exposed a parallax within its own orbit around the Sun.

In other words... he noticed the moons moved faster when the Earth was close to Jupiter. Herschel realised the speed of light meant the universe was ancient because the light must have travelled further - as it was so fast.

He postulated stars were like animals having the ability to birth, grow and die.

This made him a world famous celebrity.

The ancientness of the universe encouraged Charles Darwin to publish his work on *The Origin of Species*. He needed confirmation on the age of the Sun so the gradual process of 'evolution' could work - but the creationists argued a coal fuelled Sun could only last approximately tens of millions of years.

It wasn't until after Darwin died - radioactivity was discovered by Ernest Rutherford, a New Zealand-born British physicist, when 'The Theory of Evolution' was taken seriously. Once again ... we saw an attack on the Christian faith - only this time it was deliberate - because it allowed people to ignore the moral authority of the Most High.

The theory of 'evolution' has facilitated the vulgar to launch their own crusade against the faith which still persists to this day - despite the fact science has failed to demonstrate the genome can increase in information.

Science started finding better ways to understand the nature of light when James Maxwell, a Scottish scientist in the field of mathematical physics, was fascinated by the way Iceland crystals polarised light. He would heat glass and plunge it in water then observed the frozen stress-lines using a polarising tool he had fashioned using the crystals. He realised light was affecting the glass during the rapid cooling so he started using magnets to mimic the stresses and discovered magnetism affects light.

He became a student at Cambridge and (quirkily) pursued a possible set of universal rules that govern nature - which laid the foundation for modern science.

Michael Faraday, an English scientist, had previously demonstrated a magnet could produce electrical current but was unable to explain why. Maxwell set out to find an explanation and used a - new - mathematical language (he had invented) to accurately demonstrate the functionality of electromagnetism. His four equations not only showed the precise relationship between electricity and magnetism - but they also had the capacity to include light given the speed of electricity and light is exactly the same. He realised light, electricity and magnetism are intrinsically the same thing which means: light ... is an electromagnetic wave.

He determined the spectrum is a varying wave frequency - which means: the red colour oscillates slowly and then increases through the colours to violet which vibrates rapidly. Science also began to understand light operates beyond the visual range of infrared and ultraviolet.

Faraday created the first electromagnetic generator to show electricity can be controlled reliably. Joseph Swan, a British physicist and chemist, produced the first incandescent light bulb after he carbonised cotton and placed it in a vacuum so the filament wouldn't burn up.

Swan managed to install a number of homes and assisted miners and the navy - while Thomas Edison, an American inventor and businessman, worked to perfect the brilliance of the light bulb and establish a national power grid with the backing of J P Morgan. The problem Edison had was - electricity is difficult to contain and, as such, he had to convince the public to purchase other electrical items so electric current would flow continually. Edison realised he had to sell a luxurious lifestyle and his marketing campaign remains the blueprint for all that followed - whether we sell: cars, books, carpets, toys, kettles, soap, they all sell a dream.

Wilhelm Roentgen, a German professor of physics, took up vacuum technology and discovered light would emit when electricity was passed through an empty tube.

This became known as a cathode ray.

He took a photograph of his hand, with the cathode ray behind, and discovered x-ray technology when the images showed the bones under the skin. This prompted an intense interest in spiritualism - which demonstrates an unseen pattern emerging beneath the surface. A pattern that highlights Christian values have been eroded to the point where people start to actively pursue non-Christian values.

Having said that... the cathode ray lead science in a new direction when Joseph Thomson, an English physicist and Nobel laureate in physics, developed his own cathode ray in order to measure the effects of magnetism on the beam.

When the beam shifted he realised the ray is a stream of particles and this ultimately led to the discovery of the electron with the first subatomic particle. However... Thomas Young, an English polymath and physician, was investigating wave frequencies in sound and realised the pulsating effect can be attributed to light.

He, having noticed waves on a pond have troughs and peaks, was inspired to set up the double-slit experiment (or Young's double-slit interferometer) to show a beam of light would cast a series of lines on a screen because the waves would cause an interference pattern. Young submitted his findings to the Royal Society but it was met with scepticism because it undermined Newton's theories on corpuscular light - which was founded on particles.

By the end of the 19th century - physics had become a pursuit for better measurement because the world thought there were no more discoveries to be made - even though there were glaring anomalies in the understanding of the nature of light.

Business executives wanted to improve the quality of the light bulbs - they were making - so they asked physicists to find a solution.

Max Planck, a German theoretical physicist, was tasked with trying to find such a solution so he looked to Maxwell's equations which focused upon light being a wave. He realised the equations suggested the more energy applied to something the more light and heat it would emit - but this was wrong.

Planck then looked at Thomson's work which focused upon light being a particle and noticed light was deeply mysterious. John Wheeler, an American theoretical physicist, proposed observing the particles as they passed through the slits.

This caused the interference pattern, on the screen, to become two slits - revealing the observation caused the light to change from a wave to a particle. Light, it seemed, had split personality - that was responsive to consciousness.

Through the work of Max Planck, Albert Einstein, Louis de Broglie, Arthur Compton, and others, science has determined all particles (including light, atoms and even molecules) have a wave nature - and vice versa.

This has brought science to postulate many theories that are currently undergoing rigorous testing (or philosophical debate). Niels Bohr, for example, regarded the "duality paradox" as a fundamental or metaphysical fact of nature because a quantum object will exhibit wave or particle functionality in respectively different physical settings. Werner Heisenberg considered the duality as present for all quantic entities, but not quite in the usual mechanical terms Bohr postulates.

The point here is... science is dumbfounded by the schizophrenic nature of light - and all they can do is postulate theories that use 'probability' as the scapegoat for their lack of understanding: so as to appear intelligible. When I look at these so-called 'geniuses,' I see a circus of clowns arguing like The Three Stooges over their ideas - and it's tiresome. Therefore... let us put things into perspective.

First of all, science was not born out of early philosophy in Greece because we have a reliable scriptural account that tells us Daniel (the Prophet) was knowledgeable in science. Enoch told us to observe nature, so we may note nature does not transgress the Laws of God. This is what science has been doing (regardless of its origins) since it discards any theory that fails to stand rigorous scrutiny. It actively seeks to assert nature adheres to laws.

As for understanding the nature of light... we need to include the scriptural content because it may yield inexplicable answers. For example... we are told God said, "Let there be light," which means it was not created - but, rather, it already existed before the creation of the space-time universe that was described in the first verse of Genesis.

In the beginning (time) God created the Heaven (spiritual) and the Earth (physical).

The spiritual realm = the Higgs-Field.

These components allowed God to prepare the foundation (the two base-dimensions) for a hologram that required the introduction of light to bring reality into existence. When He said, "Let there be light," It was the audio manifestation of a wave that became a visual manifestation of the wave-particle - we observe as a dual-paradox.

Please note... I'm referring to the function and not the properties of light here.

This was something that pre-existed the initial creation - which means light, in its capacity to function as a wave and a particle, is the third component that affects all of reality: given the fact consciousness has evidenced such effect. The fact our universe has demonstrated the fundamental properties of a hologram does not mean it is an undesirable place of habitation for the soul to exist.

The problem is sin - which has led to suffering and death since the creation fell into the hands of the serpent (angel of light - charmer). The scripture is clear: the failure to appreciate the Word of God has resulted in catastrophic consequences that will ultimately culminate in the passing away of this reality.

The only way to escape the great conflagration is to be perfected - so we can be transferred to the new Heaven and Earth (hologram - reality that is eternal). How is a hologram created? Basically... a hologram captures the interference pattern between two or more beams of coherent light (such as a laser). A laser is a coherent light source because it contains a pure pattern. The hologram is created when a laser beam is split into two beams and one reflects the object onto a surface while the other goes straight to the surface. The coherence of the light reflecting off the object will converge with the reference beam onto the surface to create the 3D image.

When I consider this eloquent process - I can't help but notice the Godhead:

The Father (main coherent light source) is split to send the Holy Spirit (reference beam) straight to the (two dimensional) surface: and the Son is then reflected light onto the surface to create the living soul in this reality. Science can actually see this because they understand light, having a duality in function, facilitates the two dimensions of the physical (classical) realm and the spiritual (Higgs-Field) realm.

Science has direct evidence for this (not indirect evidence) so we know the reality we see around us is the surface - which is often referred to as the 'fabric' of space-time. The point is, we have come to a point where we, in our limited capacity to understand anything, can see the mechanism that sustains the reality we are inhabiting. The question is... what is going on?

The object that is reflected is real - and the 3D image is illusion: which means the phrase "Your Will be done... on Earth as it is in Heaven," is drawing attention to the fact we, in our illusionary state in this reality, have a real state beyond this reality. The call to 'come out of her' in the Book of Revelation is telling us to perfect ourselves so the image we reflect is pure. It would seem the state of illusion is where we can make the state of real flawless. When this (real state) is made perfect - we can be transferred to the new Heaven and Earth.

This means a time will come when this reality will pass away - because entropy is the evidence for a flaw that is eroding everything - and it's ironic science has stated it could be turned off with the flick of a switch.

THE NATURE OF REALITY

The history of science includes a plethora of notable people who worked to secure their observations as a true perspective of the nature of reality. Take, for example, the caloric idea, by Antoine Lavoisier, that was intended to secure the belief heat was a substance rather than the product of atoms vibrating.

After publishing a memoir, "On Heat" Lavoisier and Laplace designed an ice calorimeter apparatus for measuring the amount of heat given off during combustion or respiration. These experiments were difficult to refute, given the fact the scientific community were also reluctant to accept the notion of vibrational atoms but there was one man, Count Rumford, who made it his mission to disprove the caloric idea after he noticed heat coming from boring cannon barrels.

He never saw the caloric idea proven wrong but his legacy, of establishing an institute dedicated to the pursuit of science and his divorce allowed him to pursue science with zeal - and this led to the caloric idea being scrapped. The point here is... science is the noble pursuit of truth but prejudice and mediocrity within science often causes the advancement of understanding the nature of reality to stumble - into a cold brick wall.

Science has, since the erroneous idea of caloric, moved toward a greater understanding of the nature of reality. Caloric was just one example that illustrates how science struggles to move forward.

Albert Einstein often rebuked the scientific community for being mediocre - because people struggled to understand his theories - yet even he refused to acknowledge Quantum Theory stating, "I'd like to think the Moon is still there when I close my eyes," because he abhorred the idea 'probability' was at the heart of reality.

He coined that 'Moon' phrase to portray the struggle scientists encounter when the facts, observed, defy conventional thinking in science. He wanted science to portray the uniformed mechanical working of reality - so he had a hard time dealing with the measurement problem - and probability in quantum mechanics. Since then... science has developed a strong theoretical aspect - which it expects people to venerate as truth (without evidence) because the mathematical formulas work.

This has gained strength following the correct predictions, such as the Bose-Einstein Condensate, that have emerged. Making correct predictions helps to reassure science when they are confirmed - so it's understandable this is an important feature of science. We often look to people like Albert Einstein with reverence - because he had a fervent love for science: yet even he suffered a bias in refusing to accept what science observes.

Science has a natural inclination to be sceptical as it attempts to ascertain knowledge of the world we live in. Today... science is losing its identity because philosophy is stepping into the forum to explain the inexplicable - while the 'debate mindset' of atheists demand evidence from those who hold to a faith in a divine creator. On the surface... it looks like everything is starting to unravel - as 'qualified' scientists debate the theories that offer an explanation to the Measurement Problem.

What people do not realise is... the scientific community has been struggling to figure this out since the 1920s - when the double-slit experiment refused to yield the collapse of the wave-function.

Science and truth, it would seem, are strange bedfellows - and a typical conversation between them might go something like this:

Science And Truth Conversation

Science: Quantum Mechanics starts at zero point - as a quark takes on mass through the scale of Scalar Interactions to create a particle

Truth: You can only see the first manifestation of gravitational field effects at the fifth scale

Science: That's not at the zero point !??!

Truth: Yep... it's only at the fifth scale you can see the manifestation of mass with measurable density

Science: What does that mean?

Truth: Well... quantum effects are only measurable at the fifth scale.

Science: I'm not following you.

Truth: Q-bits and quarks are not matter. They are information with properties that exhibit the vector-magnetic potential which draws them together. They produce field effects, almost, all of which are non-local and non-linear. They are all holographic

Science: The universe is holographic.

Truth: These sub-quarks are the interstitial constituents that are intrinsic to everything that forms at a higher scale above the fifth scale.

Science: Are you saying we can observe the relationship between the classical model and quantum mechanics - to solve the Measurement Problem?

Truth: From the physical vacuum we see the zero point is where the Virtual Ensembles come together and go back in.

Science: That's the first scale.

Truth: At this scale they are spinning with periodicity and inside these periodic oscillations you can see sub-quarks that are occupying the same time and space because they are not matter.

Science: ...but Q-bits are at the second scale.

Truth: Yes... by this scale they already demonstrate characteristics that are predictive and dispositive as to what they will become.

Science: You're describing what seems to be some kind of pre-gravity quantum electro-dynamic pump.

Truth: The third scale are sub-quarks and quarks are at the fourth scale. By the time you see hadrons, neutrons, electrons etc, at the fifth scale, gravitational field effects kick in.

Science: Is there a post-gravity quantum electro-dynamic pump?

Truth: The mechanism, you call a pump, ensures the Virtual Ensembles continue to go into and out of the physical vacuum within the sub-quarks so the growing particle establishes cohesion with reality.

Science: Are you saying we are (actually) observing what we can't see when we measure the collapse of the wave function.

Truth: Quantum Theory is a product of observing gravitational effects on particles - but, as you can see, gravity only comes into existence at the fifth scale.

Science: What has that got to do with consciousness affecting the double-slit experiment.

Truth: Consciousness was a pre-existing element before the

emergence of gravitational effects.

Science: That defies logic.

Truth: At the quantum level of the electrons and neutrons and protons etc. you can see three finer levels of complexity where the electromagnetic, weak nuclear-force, and gravity operate while the mechanism continues to function.

Science: Yes... your point being?

Truth: You can see this happening.

Science: Yes !??!

Truth: You see this happening because your own consciousness is able to perceive it.

Science: You're not making any sense.

Truth: You set up a controlled experiment. You established the parameters for measurement. You facilitated the results.

Science: Yes. I remember that.

Truth: You experienced it.

Science: Are you saying... consciousness, being a quasi-element of reality, functions constantly beyond the range of scales we've been discussing?

Truth: Yes.

Science: Is consciousness the pump?

Truth: No. It allows the mechanism to function.

This conversation illustrates how science is struggling to accept the inevitable - even though this information is verifiable. This outline will meet with scepticism and robust denial because it calls into question the way each person takes responsibility for the thoughts they have. That leads to specific types of behaviour - whether good or bad: which means morality is at the heart of the way reality functions - and that is something - few are willing to accept (let alone the scientific community).

THE FUTURE OF SCIENCE

In mathematics, the Fibonacci numbers are the numbers in the following integer sequence, called the Fibonacci sequence, and they are characterized by the fact every number after the first two is the sum of the two preceding ones.

0 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 5 - 8 - 13 - 21 - 34 - 55 - 89 - 144

Applications of Fibonacci numbers include computer algorithms such as the Fibonacci search technique and the Fibonacci heap data structure, and graphs called Fibonacci cubes used for interconnecting parallel and distributed systems. This may not sound particularly interesting, to those who don't care for mathematics, until we discover the sequence appears in biological settings, such as:

- 1) branching in trees
- 2) phyllotaxis (the arrangement of leaves)
- 3) the fruit sprouts of a pineapple
- 4) the flowering of an artichoke
- 5) an uncurling fern
- 6) the arrangement of a pine cone's bracts etc.

The sequence is so pervasive in nature it has relation to the Golden Ratio (Φ) - which has led to the mathematical wonders of fractals that dazzle our imagination.

When we are faced with overwhelming evidence for a symmetrical universe it poses the question, 'Is reality intended to be the way it is... or is such symmetry mere coincidence?'

Philosophical questions leap to mind - and draws attention to the reason why reality exists: the way it does - which opens the door to the existence of a God that has purposed reality for some reason. As science continues to abate the information, it assimilates, toward naturalism - it runs into problems with anomalies that cannot be explained away.

They explicate theories - that use plausible mathematics - to patch up the anomalies they encounter: then set out to find the proof. Science deliberates with an eye for naturalism because intuitive information proved to be less effective than logical information. This is because the logical substructure of knowledge has allowed man to excel in technology - which facilitated improved living conditions.

For example... a plough improved the capacity to sow seeds for the harvest - so man developed a natural inclination toward logical understanding: and gradually considered intuitive understanding mere superstitious belief. Indeed... many things that were once considered the act of some god - such as thunder and lightning - have been explained in natural terms.

Therefore... it is no wonder modern scientists have developed a superiority complex given the fact they have killed gods with science. The problem is... technology that once helped to sow the seed was a practical application of such knowledge - whereas today man is developing sex robots. This means - science is lacking moral authority in society: especially when it is aware of the effects of such immorality.

This has heightened philosophical questions: that elude to reality being nothing more than a pervasive illusion which is a proving ground for moral responsibility. Does science have the authority to enter the arena for philosophical and theosophical debate? Given its track record of utterly denying these disciplines in the past - I would say it is equally as unqualified to say anything on the subject.

Science has, however, done a marvellous job of drawing attention to the question of 'why' rather than 'how' the universe is as it is - but it only has inclination toward 'how'. Thus... it is inept at answering 'why' type questions - even though it is attempting to broach the subject of morality.

This is to say... science would do well to humble itself and leave the question of 'why' to those who have reconciled themselves to the one who created the universe we inhabit. While science argues over the nature of a creator - often with malcontent toward the Old Testament God - people are starting to appreciate the need to embrace a faith: given the fact scripture has told us we live by faith.

Unfortunately... philosophical ideologies are emerging to bamboozle the masses to embrace some ancient mystery religion - and people are flocking like moths to a flame. The good news is... many scientists are turning to the scripture for answers - but they are like lambs to the slaughter because they have no idea of the apostasy that exists in the church. Thus... they are guided by a malign urge for power which means only the false doctrines will appeal to them.

What will emerge from the ashes is a discipline that continues to abate information toward naturalism with a religious foundation. Such a discipline will be likened to the ancient account of the City of Atlantis that was fabled to be a perfect society where man and the gods cohabitated together in perfect harmony.

This kind of nonsense stems from a desperate attempt to explain the inexplicable - which even precludes 'aliens' as ancestral to mankind - but there is mention of such a return in the Hebrew and Christian scripture. There seems to be two stories emerging that have similar themes but incline toward one direction or the other.

This means - one of these accounts is the propaganda of a God or gods while the other is a truthful account of a God or gods - and this is where the whole picture starts to unravel in a maelstrom paranoid conspiracy - even as the world is starting to experience bizarre phenomena.

Science is not going to save anyone from what I can see on the horizon - because authority has slipped through the fingers of those who failed to recognise moral responsibility. This reality is the product of consciousness that uses light to facilitate the two base-dimensions of physical and field into the hologram we inhabit.

This means every person, on the planet, is effecting the way this reality continues to exist. This may sound, somewhat, strange but science has confirmed this to be a fact when they conducted the double-slit experiment. The problem is... science is playing down the significance of the role consciousness plays while philosophers - including theosophers - over emphasis the role of consciousness plays: because both have a vested interest in securing authority on the subject.

Neither are addressing 'why' reality is the way it is - even as they attempt to wash over such a question with doctrines that allows man to maintain control over his own destiny. As far as they are concerned... this universe was here for billions of years and, as such, it will continue to be here billions of years.

However... this is not consistent with the information we have at our disposal - because we know consciousness affects reality - and we are undergoing a time when that consciousness is experiencing change - which means all of reality is going to be affected. While the theosophers and scientists debate and argue over the nature of reality - people are being drawn away from something more profound.

The Old Testament tells us Moses asked God to show him his glory - and He introduced Moses to Yeshua (Jesus) declaring Him to be His glory - presumably because He walked in perfect humility even though He had all the authority of God bestowed upon Him. Then we are told Elujah had the opportunity to meet Yeshua as well - on a different occasion.

The New Testament tells us Yeshua took two of His disciples to witness Him meeting with Moses and Elujah on what has become known as the mountain of transfiguration.

Here we see a situation where the creator of all reality has brought three separate times in history together: so people from different times can meet - and He declared Yeshua to be His glory and not the capacity to effect reality as He did.

This tells me the scripture is clearly telling us - while understanding the nature of reality is a wonderful thing: the morality of the Son of God (Yeshua) is much more important - and for good reason too.

He showed us how to live a life that was radically different to what we are taught in our schools. This is because He had circumcised the heart of leaven which means his thoughts were not like that of ours.

He had conditioned His consciousness to align with that of the creator - and that is why He was able to raise from the grave and ascend to the Father in Heaven. Now... if you're a sceptic of this... I can understand how it may be difficult to accept - but you see: science has confirmed consciousness effects reality so it is probable a person can achieve such a thing.

This is to say... science has a few frontiers to explore - so as to understand how the current consciousness of the human race effects entropy. Entropy is the evidence for a flaw in the consciousness of mankind - and that flaw is predicated by the notion we need hierarchy in the world: when the truth is we don't.

This means the future of science will either: establish an honest discord with those who look for answers - or it will continue to abate information toward naturalism with a religious foundation in ancient mystery. If science chooses the latter - it will continue to ignore or fudge the cause of entropy: to the demise of all humankind.

PHILOSOPHICAL TROPHY

I saw contention between science and philosophy - so I thought I'd investigate the situation: and it looks like philosophy is the comedy act in human understanding. This is because it makes sweeping statements, as bold assertions, under the assumption they are - true - beyond reproach. In 1985, for example, Annas and Barnes declared, 'At the gates of knowledge the sceptic stands guard; before we can enter the citadel we must answer his challenge.'

Challenge?

Yeah ... right !??:

Philosophy proclaims: reality and values may be as they are; if we are without knowledge of them, all theorising is vanity. This is to say... knowledge is central to the enterprise of philosophy.

Philosophy, it would seem, sacrifices understanding: at the altar of knowledge because it ascribes power to those who embrace thinking - and, as such, the initiate enters a mindset that scoffs at information beyond the walls of the citadel.

The citadel, therefore, can be perceived as the City of Atlantis or Mystery Babylon the Great - that seeks to glorify naturalism. Indeed the original word used to describe emerging science was: 'natural philosophy'.

Plato describes knowledge as justified true belief because he argued having a belief and justification for that belief is critical for knowledge to exist. In other words... philosophy is founded upon the idea a belief that is justified is asserted as factual. This sounds plausible enough but for the problem it has the capacity to smash open the gates of delusion - which is, let's be honest, an overpopulated city these days.

They describe knowledge as a citadel because it is a place where a person can live (and prosper) within the confines of its walls as an honoured student. They consider information within the citadel noteworthy and information outside the citadel inconsequential. Thus... a mindset of idiosyncrasy is created - at the gates of the citadel - because the dismissal of information, outside the citadel, renders the mind incapable of having freedom of thought. This, then, is exasperated by the deluded who decide what is noteworthy or inconsequential?

Philosophers now look to science for noteworthy information: and considers scripture to be inconsequential information. That's where the face of the clown is painted - because that is the mask it wears in a pretentious veil of credulity.

Science then responds with adulation because philosophy empowers the scientist to theorise beyond hypothesis and secure interest in the ideas they postulate as

theory. However... this relationship is strained and deeply fraught with malign resentment because both are vying for the same crown.

That being... the 'crown' of: understanding. This is to say... science and philosophy are not very neighbourly within the citadel - and so: we see contention. The fact the initiate is required to sacrifice understanding at the gates of the citadel means philosophy plays the role of a mindless clown in the circus called life.

Why?

They have no idea how true knowledge and true understanding is sourced into a world that is drowning in a sea of ignorance. Science, for example, has demonstrated the basic function of the wave (photon of light) is a component of consciousness that allows the two base-dimensions of the physical and non-physical to create the holographic universe we inhabit.

That's the 'function' of the wave and not the actual photon itself - so we know consciousness affects light and that, in turn, determines the way the physical and non-physical behave.

Philosophy and science sees this and they cannot fathom what is going on - so they both enter into theory mode: which philosophy admits is vanity and science adopts the position of denial it lacks understanding of the situation.

Yet ... the scripture, which is considered inconsequential, has already given a full outline of how the wave function was used to create the universe they are seeking to understand. How can a person understand anything after they sacrifice understanding?

This is why philosophy is a mindless clown: dancing in the streets of (ignorance) the citadel they think is resplendent with noteworthy information. The darkness cannot comprehend the light - which is why science is absolutely obsessed with trying to understand it.

They know it renders their knowledge moot.

Philosophy, however, admits it is a religion based upon the justification of its own beliefs - which is why: the perfidious always demand proof. The scripture tells us we live by faith - so the attitude of seeking justification for ones beliefs is highly destructive to the soul.

They (philosophy and science) describe the acquisition of knowledge in terms of gradual development through the historic stages of:

- 1) Platonism era - birth of science
- 2) Galelian era
- 3) Newtonian era - foundation of science
- 4) Einsteinian era
- 5) Post Einstein era - advance of science

Truth is... science was probably birthed in Egypt - if not pre-flood era - when they practiced the Hermetic Black Arts: which later became alchemy and then chemistry. If you look into the history of alchemy you'll find a foray of disciplines that include philosophy and science both in the physical and the spiritual for the purposes of progress in civilisation.

Practices in attaining knowledge, since the dawn of man, have specialised into these disciplines: while religion sought to embrace current knowledge to accommodate a core faith that venerate the reprobate angels.

That core faith has remained - consistently - the trinity which has (even) permeated Christianity to pervert the Word of God: thus leaving mankind in a wilderness parched of understanding.

The reprobates are using the knowledge, within the citadel, to propagate propaganda in their attempts to lull everyone to sleep in ignorance. You see... they [reprobates] don't want mankind to have true understanding and, as such, they are obsessed with destroying it. Even as you read this - they want you to laugh at the prospect of leaving the citadel: because they want you to assume understanding is a natural by-product of knowledge.

Yet ... degree holders are (often) useless in truly understanding anything - since they have learned what to think rather than how to think. Make no doubt about it... the clown will continue to amuse mankind - even though it is brain-dead - because distraction is the name of his sidekick. Distraction empowers the elite to control others - under the guise of enlightenment and divine right to rule.

I'm not saying all the information within the citadel is putrid nonsense - but you'll be hard pressed to find any treasure there. The difference between those who reside within the citadel and those who refuse to acknowledge nonsense is:

Those who live in the citadel have learned how to weave a good lie - and they, who tell these lies, actually believe they are truths: because they have seen (apparent) justification for such belief.

Those who refuse to sacrifice understanding are the people who come to know who they are. Science considers the shifting sands, of their foundation, to be a wonderful thing - because they do not understand 'self-correcting' is an excuse for pursuing the next distraction.

Philosophy attempts to answer the big questions - but it is shackled by the inability to distinguish between subjectivity of one who is the personification of truth and the objectivity of the multitude who claim to own the truth.

Why do you think the scripture says, 'the Father sent His only begotten Son,' when a true-Christian calls himself a Son of God?

How can 'many' Christians call themselves a Son of God when Yahushua is 'the' Son of God - knowing there is only 'one' begotten Son?

They have the subjectivity of one who are the personification of truth.

They do not need to prove anything, to anyone, because they have personal experience, as their justification, and that gives rise to understanding rather than 'knowledge'. Philosophy claims to have knowledge - but its lack of understanding is so pervasive: it doesn't even know how to assess the value of knowledge. At least science knows about that - even if it does fail to fully appreciate it.

Perhaps the fear of clowns - coulrophobia - isn't so irrational after all - coz the clown really is out to get you: with all the lies he can speak.

PRUNING THE SYNAPSES

A person who studied nature in ancient times was indistinguishable from being a philosopher or scientist because the role they played, in striving to understand nature and our place in it, ensured science was intrinsically connected with philosophy.

In fact... philosophy and science were considered equal because the capacity to apply mathematics was somewhat limited in describing the functionality of nature beyond rudimentary weights and measures etc. This was primarily the result of scientific thought and understanding being demure of the methodology we take for granted today.

In other words... science was dependent upon philosophical thought to inspire understanding of what was observed. Philosophy was struggling by the end of the 17th century because Isaac Newton successfully used mathematics to secure a cohesive acceptance of his theories.

The introduction of gravity into the mechanistic picture was, initially, completely rejected because it was considered a departure from intelligibility.

Newton, himself, publicly admitted gravity was occult - which means mystery - because he didn't know how mass gave rise to it - so his equations were only able to demonstrate an understanding of the effects of gravity. The application of mathematics, however, rendered his opponents inert in their assertions - which is why he is considered with such reverence.

Science had discovered the power of applied mathematics that ensured a hypothesis or theory can take the fast track to publication. This 'scientific method' had gained interest, among notable peers, and this left philosophy clutching a question mark because mathematics demonstrated an effective method of asserting an exclamation mark.

The only prerequisite this emerging method needed was predictivity so a theory can secure irrefutability and even demonstrate a law in nature.

Scientists have always grappled with different ideas as they seek answers to questions that appear unanswerable - so the essence of philosophy (which is free-thought) has never truly departed from science.

Philosophy is more like science thinking out loud without the mathematical grammar to assert cogent understanding. The difference is - science has the means to establish facts whereas philosophy is a cauldron of ideologies that science can investigate further.

This is where theoretical science plays a vital role in distinguishing between philosophy and science - because a theory, developed from some idea, can offer predictions that placate science into action.

I say 'placate' because science and philosophy often irritate each other - like a married couple who divorced and only speak to each other for the sake of the child (theoretical science) - while family members (mathematics) look on with bemusement and concern.

I'm describing a dysfunctional relationship between science and philosophy because they need to appreciate the wider implications of their efforts to secure pertinent accord. It can be likened to the brain that functions as an intuitive and logical mechanism where the left and right hemisphere are refusing to function as one. Such a collection of issues will affect the mind (thinking) of mankind where the brain and consciousness are observed as separate in its duality because such relationships are necessarily opaque to understanding.

Science is aware consciousness is separate from the neurological physicality in the mind - but the relationship is so immutably innate the brain has the capacity to experience awareness. This relationship is so deeply intrinsic - science has postulated the brain and consciousness have non-reductive physical or material properties that need to be examined to understand the collective properties of the phenomena they observe in neurological activity.

Understanding, what they call the Whispering Mind, is typically one of the areas where science and philosophy are struggling to reconcile their differences.

However... they refuse to acknowledge they are in counselling - even though the collapse of the wave-function of light has stirred science to grudgingly reach out to philosophy. Not to mention science has started to use theories to support theories that failed to yield results with prediction.

For example... String Theory was postulated to reconcile the Theory of Relativity and the Theory of Quantum Mechanics - but it only works if there are ten+ dimensions. This led to Membrane Theory (M-Theory) which postulates the universe we inhabit is one of many space-time fabrics, like slices of bread, where gravity is regarded as the only component that permeates the brane of each reality.

They used mathematics to develop these ideas so they became accepted as theory relatively easily - but the truth is: such theories are not demonstrable so many scientists consider them philosophy.

This means philosophy, which is the intuitive component of the brain, is starting to secure a foothold within scientific thought - so the collective mind of mankind is starting to function as a healthy brain.

Is this a good thing?

On the surface... it would (certainly) appear to be wholesome for science and philosophy to placate cogent accord. However... the brain, during infancy and early teens, undergoes the process of pruning - which means it discards the surplus of synapses as it becomes aware of its environment.

If we liken synapses to hypothesis and theories - we can see how those that failed to stand the test were undergoing the pruning process. This means it is important to appreciate the need to discard any theory that failed to withstand the rigor of testing: if mankind is to attain good mental health.

This is to say... both science and philosophy need to appreciate each other so the mind of mankind can function effectively. Science needs to acknowledge the intuitive power of perception philosophy has while philosophy needs to acknowledge the logical power of perception science has.

Science (husband) will otherwise be stifled by the inertia of pure logic because he does not possess depth of perception (even though the language of mathematics explicates the beauty of logic as a perceived comprehensive understanding).

Philosophy (estranged wife) may have suffered at the hands of an abusive husband - but her conclusions gives rise to religion which is extremely dangerous when it comes to understanding anything. Religion is when the mind enters a repetitive state as it attempts to reassure itself of some belief (doctrine) it considers to be true. This does not mean 'belief' in of itself is counterproductive to mental health - but, rather, the content of the belief is - because it can either cripple or exemplify understanding.

For example... the Theory of Evolution is bereft of scientific sanction because the increase in genetic information has never been observed in any scientific research laboratory. The theory was postulated on the back of previous philosophical observation where animals were able to adapt to changes in the environment.

This means there was sufficient indirect evidence to postulate the possibility of development from a simple life form into the array of complex life forms we see today. This brought science to develop a profound understanding of genetics - because they wanted the direct evidence - but this has failed to materialise.

This means the 'Theory of Evolution' should be downgraded to 'Evolution Hypothesis' until such time as the direct evidence for the increase in genetic information has come to light.

The problem is... science considers the idea of evolution to be a theory - so it has the stamp of approval to continue searching for something that probably does not exist. The assumption of a knowledge that does not exist is the basis of delusion.

The point here is... any 'theory' in science is considered fact (before it is verified) which can cause delusion - so the question is:

When does a failing theory become rejected?
What does it take to discard nonsense?

The philosophy that created the idea of evolution has undermined science - because it, like any religion, has caused a repetitive state as science desperately sought to find the direct evidence for the increase in genetic information.

This means that - although science has behaved like an abusive husband - philosophy has caused considerable damage to science because evolution has established a defect in the thought processes of science. Many scientific theories were inspired by evolution - so this issue is serious.

When a person is incapable of accepting an obvious point of fact - it is because they are in a state of delusion. This means the mind of mankind may need to address the relationship between science (logic) and philosophy (intuition) - but it cannot function if it does not root out delusion.

For this reason - science should introduce a reasonable cost for closure (which may be means tested against progress) in order to determine when a 'theory' has failed or succeeded. Otherwise... mankind will never develop his full potential if he persists in a state of delusion.

The potential for growth is phenomenal.
The potential for understanding is awesome.

Humankind can achieve this - but I fear the cost may be greater than anticipated if we do not recognise the opportunity to change. Perhaps there is something to the words, 'Do not judge lest ye be judged,' because an open mind is incapable of assimilating dogma.

SHIFTING FOUNDATIONS

Philosophy approaches morality in three ways:

- 1) **Practical Ethics** - which involves assessing a particular action as right or wrong.
- 2) **Normative Ethics** - which includes good and bad in the assessment of right and wrong.
- 3) **Meta-ethics** - which primarily deals with the ideas that attempt to combat practical and normative ethics.

These are examined by highlighting dilemmas that give rise to golden rules which are often considered with perspective in an attempt to secure a just and civilised society. Indeed... these categories are self-correcting (even self-serving) because the meta-ethical aspect examines ideas that arise from the practical and normative assessments - thus creating a kind of cyclical reasoning within philosophical thought.

This is why philosophy has 'failed' to formulate a solid foundation upon which it can build a reliable structure - to deal with moral issues. This is evident in the way philosophers pose dilemmas to justify what's called a meta-ethical perspective. For example... a philosopher may ask, "Is abortion right or wrong?"

They pose this practical type question and assess the normative responses so they can formulate ideas which can (then) be assessed with meta-ethical deliberation.

Technically... this question should never be presented as a valid source of contention within society. To question an innocent child's right to life is to admit the failure of civilisation.

You see... civilisation is governed by the few who are influenced by the demands of many - and philosophy (often) intervenes with moral relativism to justify wrong as right and bad as good in the name of progress. This is because philosophy is dispassionate and incapable of recognising morality beyond its own insane defamation of scruples.

Therefore... let us be clear on this subject:

A society that practices piety will have none who need abortion - because the citizens will not engage in sexual immorality. This may sound like an idealistic society - but hold on to that thought: while I clamber through this wretched abyss called 'philosophical thought'.

A society that practices impiety, on the other hand, will have many who demand abortion - because the citizens have engaged in or want to engage in sexual immorality. In such a society... the issue of murdering unborn children will become an insane topic of discussion - where philosophy plays the role of arbitrator in deciding the fate of children.

This is to say... abortion is:

- 1) opposed by the pious.
- 2) demanded by the impious.
- 3) a neutral issue to the mindless.

A pious person would agree with this.

An impious person would attempt to deflect attention away from their sexual immorality - by trying to assert abortion as a necessary component of modern society. The mindless tend to reflect the immorality of society - because they have not thought things through or they ignore pertinent detail.

Thus ... I refer to them as mindless.

They will (naturally) use dilemma, such as rape, to determine their decisions - because they know rape is wrong and they know it can lead to impregnation - so they consider that scenario and ignore any idealistic model where rape does not exist in a pious society. They use words like 'idealistic' to dismiss the possibility of having a pious society.

The problem with this is the mindless are (unwittingly) justifying impiety - by accepting the way things are - and this empowers the impious to assert their demands. Thus... philosophy empowers the immoral to assert the (insane) right to murder a child in the womb - because government is moronic enough to entertain moral relativism.

Moral relativism is the foundation of politics because it facilitates the rights of one person over the rights of another - because it considers rights on the basis of values. This is to say... philosophy is (often) instrumental in creating problems because it uses dangerous ideologies to resolve issues that result in the loss of inalienable rights of the weak / innocent.

In this particular instance... it is the rights of unborn children that are trampled on.

The point (here) is... philosophy asserts itself as an authority on morality - but the truth is: philosophy is incapable of making basic decisions, let alone important ones, because it encourages contention within society.

This contention creates a political minefield where laws - based upon opinion - are used to define a civilisation. Philosophy (then) includes benign observation, such as the golden rule, to lull people into a false sense of trust in their deliberations.

This world is rank with people pointing the finger at scientists or politicians, who failed to meet their expectations - with whispers of an elitist power struggle, when the real culprit is leaven that exists in practically everyone.

Leaven, as spoken of in scripture, is the ego that asserts all manner of delusional aspiration upon everyone it comes into contact with - including the self - and philosophy is there in the heart causing the soul to pursue self-serving interests - because it massages the ego (leaven) to experience puffing up of pride.

Philosophy asserts the mind is genderless - but it doesn't even understand what gender is. Gender is established attitude - thus: it would be more accurate to describe the mind as gender-shifting rather than genderless.

The world defines man as one who is unfaithful (sowing his seed for example) and a woman as faithful (dutiful wife for example) - which is often associated with right-brain left-brain function in psychology.

Of course... we are referring to spirit when we speak of attitude - so we should clarify that: spirit is attitude toward the sovereign authority of the Most High - which is why the scripture describes the anti-Christ mindset as feminine and the Christ Mindset as masculine.

This means a woman can have an attitude that is male - when she is faithful to the moral authority of the Most High: just as a man can have a female attitude - when he is unfaithful to moral authority of the Most High.

The point I'm making here is... philosophy says the mind is genderless - because they are unable to recognise how it is gender-shifting. This error causes those who approach philosophy to acknowledge the mind is without gender and that leads to a cascade of other errors - such as: using dilemma to address issues that create political inference.

This happens because philosophy does not acknowledge the possibility of securing a pious society as it grapples with the progress of civilisation that is steeped in immorality. It passes itself off as the only hope mankind has in securing a perfect society as described in tales about the City of Atlantis.

This is because philosophy has gripped the imagination with phrases like:

- 1) The means justifies the end.
- 2) There is nothing outside the text.
- 3) Knowledge is power.
- 4) Man is the measure of all things.
- 5) Society is indeed a contract.
- 6) Reason lives in language.

These phrases inspire the soul to ponder on insignificant issues - that create dangerous ideologies - within a political context. They cultivate nonsensical issues that diminishes the capacity for thought - because a solution that finds its way into law will render the mind moot in understanding. The issue of abortion, for example, continues to frustrate government (even after legislation) because it is considered a pervasive problem.

However... the truth is: it should not even be a topic of discussion given the fact the innocent life of a child has immeasurable value. This goes to show how the delusion is so strong - the immoral have (already) lost the capacity to appreciate the life of a child. How have we arrived at this horrific point?

The history of philosophy can be traced to 5th century BCE when a Greek philosopher, Thales of Miletus, sought 'rational answers' to questions about the world we live in. Thales used simple observation to conclude everything is made of water - which was inspired by the Babylonian creation myth, Enûma Elliš, that describes the primal state of the world as a watery mass.

569 BCE - Pythagoras combined philosophy with mathematics.

Pythagoras asserted number is the rule of forms and ideas - which set the foundation for the use of equations to describe nature.

551 BCE - Kong Fuzi (Confucius) focused on respect and tradition.

Confucius, a Chinese philosopher, attempted to develop a doctrinal approach to relationships between:

- 1) Sovereign - Subject
- 2) Parent - Child
- 3) Husband - Wife
- 4) Elder Brother - Younger Brother
- 5) Friend - Friend

Confucius is probably most notably known for the Golden Rule of, "Never impose on others what you would not choose for yourself."

508 BCE - The birth of democracy.

The powerful Greek city-state of Athens adopted a democratic constitution - which was the result of philosophical deliberations in establishing a civilisation that administrates executive powers within a political forum. In other words... philosophy established a platform where think-tanks can decide laws and policies to govern a society.

469-399 BCE - Socrates established the dialectical method of questioning.

Socrates' dialectical method is a simple method of questioning that can be used to expose false assumptions (which atheists rely heavily upon).

Indeed he used this method to show how the gods cannot know everything and this was probably why he achieved fame. He proposed, "The life which is unexamined is not worth living," and coined the phrase, "I know nothing except the fact of my ignorance." I won't argue against that.

c.460 BCE - Empedocles proposes the four Classical elements:

- 1) **Earth**
- 2) **Air**
- 3) **Water**
- 4) **Fire**

This was (probably) inspired by the Hermetic Black Arts of the 'alchemical' studies that were coming out of Egypt.

c.460-371 BCE - Democritus and Leucippus established the first atomic theory.

This was when philosophers began to consider the universe was made from a single fundamental substance - and is probably responsible for initiating the quest for the Theory of Everything in modern science.

c.385 BCE - Plato founds Athens Academy.

Plato proclaimed, "Earthly knowledge is but shadow," having realised everything in this world is a shadow of its Ideal Form in the World of Ideas. Plato is, arguably, the most influential philosopher who rested his ideas upon ideals which has inspired many to follow that plum.

Plato wrote about the City of Atlantis which strongly implies he was privy to ancient accounts of the civilisation that preceded the great deluge. He described that formative ancient society as a metropolis rather than an age of enlightenment - which was cultivated by a race of extraterrestrial beings - to avoid ridicule.

Philosophers, even in those days, were careful not to overstate the incredulous because reputation often rested upon ideas rather than proven testable theories.

Indeed... the scientific method wasn't even discovered until the great reformation of the Christian faith - when it was found in scripture by those who had access to scripture. Thus... Plato sold an idea - which took root in the minds of those who long for a society that is safe and happy.

You see... philosophers are driven by the notion of delivering mankind into a peaceful civilisation where everyone is happy. This is why the New World Order rhetoric is focused on the classic political sell of delivering mankind into an age of peace and enlightenment (blah, blah, blah).

This is the City of Atlantis blueprint that is driving the elites to cultivate insanity.

c.335 BCE - Aristotle founds Lyceum School.

Aristotle was a student of Plato but he felt the Theory of Forms Plato taught was wrong. Aristotle classified knowledge into branches:

- 1) Physics
- 2) Logic
- 3) Metaphysics
- 4) Poetics
- 5) Ethics
- 6) Politics
- 7) Biology

Aristotle developed the syllogism which, during the process of classification, utilises a systematic form of logic to determine categories.

c.332-265 BCE - Zeno of Citium formulates stoic philosophy.

This was made popular in Rome – and has influenced modern attitudes. Zeno proposed the goal of life is to live in agreement with nature - which may seem an obvious point to make: but was not something that was considered important then.

Stoicism found favour in Greece and the Roman Empire until it was supplanted by Christianity in the 6th century. This is a brief overview of how philosophy gained traction in the psyche of civilisation.

Here's the thing... philosophy is founded upon the idea knowledge is: belief that is justified. However... that is a contradiction in terms since the justification of a belief creates fact. This means... philosophy, throughout history, has proven to be puerile nonsense because the frame of reference (for understanding) has been in error from the beginning.

If there is going to be a judgement day: this will render their plea for clemency moot - because the scripture calls them reprobate: having been lead into a strong delusion. Of course... the issue is not abortion but, rather, the state of morality / immorality of a given society - because that determines the level of demand for such evil. The more pious a society is, the less abortion is demanded - whereas the less pious a society is, the more abortion is demanded.

We can (literally) gauge the morality of a country by the way it deals with abortion - because, technically speaking, even a rape conception does not justify the murder of an unborn child.

Is it possible to have a society that is pious?

Well... the scripture describes how a creator has identified the need to sustain an eternal reality rests upon a perfected mindset that is pious. He has described a great plan to bring those who circumcise the heart of leaven to a new creation called: Eternal Paradise. This means... it is there is room to entertain the possibility of securing a pious society where abortion is never in demand.

The only question is ... what are people going to choose to believe?

- 1) The rhetoric of philosophy that uses religion including dogmatic science to weave a lie.
- 2) The Word of God that was personified in a man who is the truth the way and the life.

This will shape up to be a spiritual war of words that has already been raging for centuries - only now is the time: people are being made aware. The stakes could not be higher - because ultimately: this will come down to deciding whether people want to be pious or impious.

BEFORE AND AFTER

Alchemy, having originated in Egypt, had no official name but it was referred to as the Black Arts or Hermetic Art - which was kept from the vulgar (uneducated) by means of cryptic language that often caused even the educated to stumble.

Al-Khemet means 'From Egypt' (Khem = Black)

The practice of transmutating base elements gradually evolved into the modern science of Chemistry - which cast the art of Alchemy into an unfavourable light: that was associated with charlatans and knaves. This is why, to this day, we associate Alchemy with the pursuit of turning lead into gold for the purposes to securing wealth.

Alchemy included a broad foray of disciplines including philosophy, biology, magic, medicine, spirituality, even sociological and, as such, the early experimenters meticulously took notes to understand the processes of purifying materials to improve the art.

The Christian church took a dim view of the practice of Alchemy which forced early scientists to pursue it even more secretively. Isaac Newton, for example, was an avid Alchemist who understood the danger of being exposed would most certainly have meant death at the hands of the apostate church. His translation of the emerald tablet is regarded as the most important work on Alchemy.

The church, having adopted pagan practices in place of the Jewish festivals, developed doctrines that were steeped in Mystery Religion to subvert the teachings of Yahushua to diminish the Word of God.

Why was the apostate church so vehemently opposed to the practice of Alchemy?

Alchemy was originally used to pursue and understanding of the relationship between the Heaven above and the Earth below. Indeed... the term 'as above so below,' which is now associated with satanic thought, was fixated within Alchemical thought - probably because Yahushua coined the phrase, "Your Will be done, on Earth as it is in Heaven."

This is to say the ancient art of understanding the relationship between the Heaven and the Earth has a, somewhat, unclear origin because it seems to have started in Egypt - but it became the noble pursuit to understand the Father in Heaven.

Albert Einstein was never seen as one who associated himself with Alchemy - but he gave us clues when he said things like, "To understand the nature of the universe is to know the mind of God."

If this was a hint it was certainly subtle.

You see... Alchemy may have become Chemistry - but it always held the notion of an unifying thread through all of reality: which happens to be the rhetoric (or Holy Grail as it were) of Physics.

Alchemy is (also) steeped in a philosophy of the spiritual connection between the creator and his creation - which has been heavily influenced by the Mystery Religions from Ancient Babylon - not to mention the swathe of symbols, from the Kabbalah, that festoon the pages of Alchemy books.

This means Alchemy, having its roots in religion, may not appeal to the atheist (who would be considered the vulgar in spiritual matters) anyway.

What is going on?

Humankind has endured great upheaval and calamity where deities, who seek worship and adulation, have interfered with the relationship between creator and creation. From the beginning... man was aware He had changed from the incorruptible into the corruptible estate and ever since - he has sought to understand the nature of reality in an attempt to regain perfection.

An adept in Alchemy will explicate the reason why humankind has pursued an understanding of the common thread that unifies all things is because he believes this will achieve peace. However... this is delusional - because man is aware the gates, that lead home, are guarded by two cherubim with flaming swords.

Ancient man must have considered the story of the flaming swords, told by their forefathers, as a challenge to conquer fire - which would account for his seeking mastery of the processes that break down materials.

Alchemy has produced many inventions that has improved our daily lives - but the motive for such pursuit has always been in question. This 'Black Art' seems to have become the craft of Pagans and Wiccans - who use such devices to invoke spirits to do their bidding.

How can we return home, to Eternal Paradise, when there are two cherubim guarding the gates with flaming swords spinning?

The Book of Genesis tells us about a time when Adam and Eve were in the Garden East of Eden and they were told not to eat the fruit from the Tree of Knowledge of good and evil. However... the serpent beguiled Eve, in her innocence, to believe the Most High had lied when He said they would die: so she ate and gave to Adam so he would also eat.

He, having not been deceived by the serpent, chose to eat because he could not bare to watch his beloved die alone. Thus, Eve was deceived into thinking the Most High had lied - whereas Adam wilfully defied the commandment to not partake of the forbidden fruit.

This - defiance - was the reason why they were cast out of the Garden East of Eden: which may explain why it is believed Adam was the first Alchemist. The flaming swords, which Adam would have seen, are both literal and allegory because Yahushua (the Word of God) is the truth and the truth is a sword.

Yahushua, we are told, will baptise the world in the fire - which means we can return to the garden if we accept Him as our Lord and Saviour: and learn to walk in humility before the Father in Heaven. This is because... we become the truth (sword) given the fact we are in Him - which means our words (of the Most High) are as a fiery sword.

This is where it gets interesting...

Adam and Eve could see the serpent while they remained in their estate of perfection. By the time we see Cain had murdered Abel - who were taught to effect sacrifice to atone for sin - God had warned Cain, sin is crouching at the door and it's desire is to have you.

This means... a veil had been set in place, by this time, because man was unable to see the serpent so satan, who had waged war upon the throne of the Most High, was now empowered to possess of the souls of people.

This is to say... the soul became a proving ground for the growth of light or darkness - depending on how the person receives the truth (Word of God).

This veil was set in place to force the man to consider his own actions in relation to the Will of God or the will of satan - lest he becomes of the darkness if not the light. The thing is... this may sound metaphorical but for the fact science has determined this reality is a hologram - which means the scripture was accurate when it stated: God created the Heaven and the Earth because these are the base dimensions that facilitate the holographic universe we inhabit.

It also means God is greater than the creation. However... when He spoke the words, "Let there be light," He was delivering a part of Himself into the fabric of the physical-spiritual base dimensions so the hologram could take effect.

This spoken Word of God (light) is the revelation we receive as we undergo the process of sanctification into the Name of God so as to create a perfect soul ready for translation into an incorruptible body.

This is to say... man can tinker with the elements to understand how the light facilitates the hologram (which is where Alchemy has taken mankind) but the reality is - this Heaven and Earth will pass away. Effectively... and ultimately... that means the only thing that will remain is the light that was crafted into a living soul as the person sought to overcome into the Name of God.

This means only the Word of God will remain - just as Yahushua said it would.

God told Adam his son would save him - and this was made possible by the way Yahushua established the benchmark for the fulfilment of the Law of God - so we may be separate from the world.

Technically - as Children of Light - this world is nothing more than an opportunity to grow in maturity in the Word of God (literally). Science has achieved little more than show mankind the only hope for salvation is to accept Yahushua as Lord and Saviour.

Yahushua may have set commandments that are difficult to embrace - but the reward for overcoming is great: because He has demonstrated a loving nature.

...that is eternal.

PROGRESS = DEATH

Philosophy is the personification of thought without the presence of divine understanding. When I say divine (understanding) - I mean it ignores the Word of God and entertains demonic influence to assert immortality.

This is why philosophy lacks moral authority.

Religiosity is when ideologies are cultivated, by perceived evidences, that are derived from cohesive truths. When truths, that reside between fact and belief, appear to be cohesive: they cause delusion - because the mind is convinced by the presence of several perceived ideas that appear to be linked. For example... a soul can seduce the ego into the delusional state of thinking they have the divine right to rule over others.

A person who reads the scripture, for example, can develop a series of doctrines that facilitate the means by which they can assert authority over others - under the impression they are doing the Will of God. This is called fundamentalism - where a person truly believes they are doing what is right.

In extreme cases ... this delusional state of mind can become radical - which results in the person seeking ways to murder and rape in the name of some god.

A more subtle case might include the assertion of a theory that cultivates racism - having the ideology of survival of the fittest among favoured races. The point is... philosophy, having the lack of moral authority, has created dangerous religions (which has even effected science).

Science has, supposedly, developed rigorous methodologies to root out errors in understanding - but the predilection to assert naturalism has lead to many incredulous and costly mistakes. These mistakes have caused more deaths than all the religions combined throughout all of history. This is because the lack of moral authority, in philosophy, has caused mankind to negate life - in the name of progress. Does this mean progress is death?

Well... I'm more inclined to describe the two as one and the same - because it allows those who seek self-aggrandisement to delude themselves as they try to justify their ways. People who assert progress are the fundamentalists - of science - because they have been conditioned by cohesive (apparent) truths that exemplify naturalism. This is to say ... the science fundamentalist is courting death because their morality is being eroded - just as the religious embrace the false doctrines that cause their delusions.

They assert naturalism because that ideology (supposedly) legitimises the laws established by man - which allows idolatry and sexual immorality.

Is 'progress' evil?

The scripture, which is the moral authority, says the Most High fashioned garments for Adam and Eve - so this can be considered the first unnatural item in reality. This was the first episode of progress. The point is ... who is directing 'progress' because it can be argued many inventions have improved the quality of life. Here's the thing... there was a fundamental change in the mindset of man and, as such, this had to be addressed.

The garment, fashioned by the Most High, was needed to cover the shame they felt - so we know 'technology' was needed after the fall. The scripture goes on to say man would have to till the ground (which would require tools).

The world is full of technology that has, arguably, improved the lives of many - but the reality is: technology will not be needed in Eternal Paradise. This means... progress has conditioned people to be dependent upon technology - which is why mankind is going to be stripped of such things. The elite have built bunkers because they dread the day this happens.

The question is... how are we to survive the great difficulties that are looming on the horizon?

This is where scripture can help because it even references the building of a space-station. The Book of Daniel tells us the King of the North destroys the King of the South - then he will fall and no one will lift him up. This is to say... mankind will experience a fundamental shift in paradigm from a self-aggrandising mindset toward a more self-sacrificial lifestyle - where joy will be fostered by the way we care for one another.

The scripture tells us the swords will be turned into ploughs - which means we will identify progress as death and render it inert. Humankind is, currently, deluded by the ideology of progress being a good thing - but this will change drastically (soon). Science will recognise naturalism fosters evil and they will include moral codes into the scientific method - to account for life.

I see all these things happening - soon - and those who refuse to embrace the Christ Mindset will not survive. You see... the garments, I mentioned earlier, are the garments of glory the Righteous Elect will receive after they overcome into the Name of God and achieve perfection.

Anyway... at the risk of ranting - I think I've said enough on this particular subject. I try to avoid speaking of religion - but we are facing great challenges and morality will become the central theme.

THEATRE OF DREAMS

The science of ecology has determined two types of animal in the world:

- The K-Selected carnivorous type
- The R-Selected herbivore type

K selection occurs, in nature, when a given population is near equilibrium and a stable environment provides opportunity for competition.

For example: carnivores (predators) are sustained by killing and eating a replenishable supply of herbivore (innocent) animals. Of course... carnivores aren't exclusive to eating herbivores - but the point is: r/K Selection Theory relates to the traits in organisms that utilise environmental conditions to distinguish between quantity and quality of offspring.

K-Selected animals produce few, slowly developing young, which results in a stable population of long-lived individuals.

R-Selected animals produce many, quickly developing young, which results in population explosion of short-lived individuals.

This theory attempts to explain why the world is divers in species - and sociologists sometimes refer to these traits, in people, when they assess diversity in society. However... science seems to have overlooked the Cambrian Period (rock strata) which clearly shows divers species were immediately present during the formative phase of Earth's development. This is because science considers 'natural selection' has contributed toward the development of divers species - even though it remains unproven.

Obviously... science has a favourable bias toward naturalism because it fails to recognise the spiritual aspect of creation - but that doesn't negate the fact there is a struggle to maintain equilibrium of diversity within nature.

Therefore... it may be prudent to consider the ramifications of the two selection groups, as function of darkness and light, to understand the way this facilitates the glorification of the Most High.

If we look to the Book of Enoch, which states the guardians were tasked with effecting moderate death toll, this scientific observation reveals tangible evidence for the way life is maintained in equilibrium between the two selection groups for the purposes of spiritual birth into the Kingdom of Heaven.

Yeshua told us the seed must die if it is to be born again, so we know this creation, in its fallen state, necessitates death - because the wages of sin is death.

The blood of the Lamb of God (Yeshua crucified) pays for the sin - so we have a conundrum, which focuses on faith in the resurrection, because people have continued to die since the crucifixion of Yeshua the Christ. Thus... death, in the flesh, means the opportunity to seek God has departed a soul and it is subject to the judgement of the Most High.

Death occurs when the spiritual aspect is separated from the physical aspect, of the body (soul), and enters into one of four chambers - which is for those who:

- 1) love the light
- 2) have not been judged
- 3) have died in Christ
- 4) hate the light

God recognises three kinds of human as:

- 1) Spiritual and love the light (R-Selected)
- 2) Physical - not (yet) established
- 3) Material and hate the light (K-Selected)

The spiritual kind enter into the first chamber and the material kind enter into the fourth chamber after death.

Those that are not (yet) established will enter into the second chamber or third chamber depending on the way they conduct themselves in life.

These are the people who may have accept the Word of God – but they have failed to undergo the process of sanctification to become a spiritual type: or they may be indifferent toward the Word of God altogether.

What's important to understand here is... during life, in the flesh, a person can undergo the process of sanctification or live a lifestyle of iniquity. The Most High is assessing each individual to determine how to bring everyone to a spiritual kind - but He knows many will become material kind which means there is no hope to effect repentance in them.

This is why those who enter into the fourth chamber will never be resurrected.

The first resurrection (in this reality) will be for those who are in the third chamber: because those who are in the first chamber will have - already - been translated to the place of safety where they will receive their garments of glory.

Those who are in the second chamber will rise after the Millennial Rule of Christ when satan is released. Technically... they will (then) have the opportunity to appreciate the process of sanctification - but the chances are: satan will be so enraged he will use them to come against the Holy City.

This is when this heaven and earth will pass away - as the abyss is cut off from beneath the throne of God: so there is little hope for those who were indifferent to the Word of God during their life in the flesh.

What does all this have to do with r/K Selection Theory?

Psychologists argue R-Selected organisms (people) have no honour or respect for rules and law - because they observe such organisms ignoring the competitive nature of the K-Selected organisms (people).

However... they are unable to observe such organisms effectively.

They see wolves display adherence to rules when they compete, against each other, for resources - but they cannot see sheep, that are domesticated, display adherence to rules when they follow the shepherd who provides for them.

Wild rams grow large horns to compete - so we know even sheep can display K-Selected traits when they have no shepherd. The domesticated sheep never grow horns because the shepherd provides for all their needs - even choosing suitable mates. The critical point here is... the Kingdom of Heaven will never have any K-Selected organisms - because the abundance of resource means there will never be any need to compete.

The shepherd will provide for all the needs of the R-Selected people.

The thing is... K-Selected animals can become R-Selected animals and vice versa - which means: there is a spiritual war going on for sovereign authority over all of creation. There is also a spiritual blindness with K-Selected people because they cling to the naturalistic mechanism as an explanation for the origin of life and ignore the scriptural evidence for the successful R-Selected means of living prior to Israel acquiring a king.

Israel may have developed issues, with corrupt judges, when they called for a king - but establishing a king was not the answer to the problem.

The Most High gave them a solemn warning how things would go wrong after they appoint a king. He could easily have refused them and advised Samuel to replace the corrupt judges with people of His choosing.

This was when Israel, as a nation, started to change from R-Selected type society to a K-Selected type society: and the society began to fall into degradation as people began to lose focus of the loving care of a shepherd and developed self-sustaining competitive attitude - which involved idolatry and sexual immorality.

The Most High intervened to establish a lowly shepherd boy as king - but even he was unable to maintain the Hallowed Name of God: which is why Yeshua said he was a man after His heart. He wanted to be right before God but he was not fully equipped to deal with the task of ruling over a nation - because the weakness of the flesh had caused him to falter.

That said... he was considered a righteous ruler and we see that the people rejoice when the righteous rule and lament when the unrighteous rule - so God bless him.

King Solomon was also considered righteous but, although he was astute in the Word of God having exceptional wisdom, he too fell short of the glory of God - having developed an idolatrous heart. Ultimately... the nation of Israel lost sight of their God and became a wicked nation - which incurred the rebuke of the Most High (when they were taken into captivity).

By the time Yeshua had arrived they were feeling persecuted by the K-Selected Rome and hungered for a Messiah - who would rule as a righteous king that allows their nation to thrive among all the nations in the world. However... this would mean Israel would be a K-Selected nation who had dominance over other K-Selected nations.

This is not what the Most High wants.

God wants the whole world to be R-Selected so everyone can enter into Eternal Paradise when this heaven and earth passes away.

How is this possible?

Yeshua revealed the true nature of righteous kingship is R-Selected mentality where no one seeks to assert authority over others.

This is why the Righteous Elect will be a nation of kings and priests. They will show those who want to enter into Eternal Paradise the process of sanctification is when K-Selected mentality becomes R-Selected mentality.

K-Selected mentality is the presence of sin - and sin is highly destructive to all reality - so it's imperative we learn how to be R-Selected people with no interest in asserting their own ideas of what is right and what is wrong over others.

In other words... when the whole world realises the evil nature of our own hearts predicate the establishment of hierarchy - they will look to the Righteous Elect to teach them how to be R-Selected people - and embrace the lifestyle of perfect equality: because they will see - with their own eyes - how K-Selected society has utterly failed.

This is why satan has been allowed to have what he craves - for a short time.

The Kingdom of Heaven is not a socialist ideal where equality prevails among the people because this 'political idea' involves governance by people in office - which means it has hierarchy: albeit a low rising power structure.

The Most High wanted Adam and Eve to keep their R-Selected mentality: but they disobeyed Him (thinking the serpent - shining one - had the ability to deliver on his promise of immortality) and, as such, they shifted from R-Selected mentality toward K-Selected mentality, and that was when sin entered the world.

This subjected all of creation to slow decay which science calls entropy.

Prior to this fall from grace: Adam and Eve were R-Selected in nature which means creation was able to function without decay. This is because their consciousness was not able to consider asserting self-authority (K-Selected mentality) as a means to establish hierarchy.

After they partook of the forbidden fruit they understood how to apply: politics, religion, force to assert authority over others - which is why God said, "Your desire shall be for your husband and he shall rule over you."

This was a clear statement, of fact, that: with the knowledge of good and evil - man learns how to assert authority over others which is the K-Selected mentality.

God warned Adam and Eve they would surely die if they partook of the forbidden fruit. This is because He knew the shift to K-Selected mentality would lead to entropy entering reality and He would have to create a new reality where only those who learned how to maintain R-Selected mentality could enter.

Faith in the resurrection facilitates the purification of the soul - because the K-Selected mentality is incapable of acknowledging an unseen (supernatural) realm. Thus... the process of sanctification prepares a person to live in R-Selected mode and faith in the resurrection establishes them in that mindset - sufficiently to enter into Eternal Paradise.

The Book of Enoch tells us God allowed the guardians to effect death in the world because death is an integral component to receiving the garments of glory (as Yeshua the Christ did). However... we also see, in the Book of Enoch, the guardians were too zealous in killing people - so the Most High has had to intervene on occasion.

The serpent (satan) is attempting to keep his promise of immortality for those who accept him. This means he is trying to establish a precedent that allows him to maintain this reality by controlling entropic variables - so he can forge a covenant with the Most High.

He wants to establish an agreement where he will provide a steady flow of R-Selected people (from this reality) who can enter into Eternal Paradise - while he maintains sovereignty in this reality: with K-Selected people living by the rules of competition.

This is to say... he wants to make the premise for 'natural selection' a mechanism for honouring the process of sanctification. Indeed... it's fair to say: people like Adolf Hitler had the aspiration to effect 'natural selection' to cull R-Selected people. This makes satans petition for clemency the worst job application in all history. Yeshua declared this heaven and earth will pass away - which means satan will not be in any position to secure any kind of agreement with God.

How arrogant of him to even imagine God would accommodate his treachery.

IDEOLOGIES OF DELUSION

The Theory of Cognitive Dissonance (1957), by Leon Festinger, proposed that people strive for internal psychological consistency in order to function within civilisation. He recognised a person tends to become psychologically uncomfortable, when they experience internal inconsistency.

This, then, motivates them to reduce the cognitive dissonance - either by:

- 1) Changing parts of the cognition, to justify any stressful behaviour - or...
- 2) Adding new parts to the cognition that causes the psychological dissonance.

They (then) actively avoid social situations and contradictory information that are likely to increase the magnitude of the cognitive dissonance. This perfectly describes the way science handles the lack of evidences that fail to validate their theories. Unfortunately, for Leon Festinger, someone beat him to the punch because the (non-canonical) scripture has already explained hearing the truth causes agitation to the soul - but after accepting the truth: nothing can agitate the soul. This highlights the awesome power of the truth - because the one who is in truth cannot be shaken in anyway.

Therefore... let us put this to the test.

If you (the reader) can successfully navigate this article without feeling agitated - we can assume you are in truth because I am going to placate the truth - to agitate those who are not in truth.

I will achieve this by burying naturalism.

Naturalism is the philosophical belief that everything arises from natural causes (and properties) and any supernatural or spiritual explanations are unacceptable. In other words... it is the atheist ideology that everything spontaneously arose from nothing - by chance.

The Theory of Evolution is used to support this belief and, as such, it is regarded proven fact when the truth is - it is a lie perpetuated by a satanic mindset to destroy morality. This is because naturalism is the wilful denial of godliness - so man can exalt himself as a god within a world full of lesser creatures (animals).

The phrase satanic mindset (here) is used to describe an anti-Christ attitude that defies the truth - thus: anyone who ascribes to naturalism is considered an elitist anti-Christ. This is because there are no grey areas between light and darkness given the fact they were separated by truth - some two thousand years ago.

Science is defined as: the systematic knowledge of the physical or material world gained by observation and experimentation. This means... if evolution is to be used to support naturalism - it must be observed by experimentation to qualify as science. Religion is defined as: something one believes in and follows devotedly; a point or matter of ethics or conscience.

This means... if evolution cannot be observed by experimentation - it is a religious belief that is used deceitfully to inveigle naturalism. This, then, demonstrates it is taught by a malign group - who have an agenda to manipulate perception. The anti-Christ I mentioned earlier.

When was evolution conceived?

Most people think it was the idea of Charles Darwin but it can be traced back to Nimrod who used a hairy man, as a prop, to preach the idea man evolved from an ape. This was documented by the ancient Chinese: who wrote of a priest travelling the world with a monkey. This became the theme for the TV show called Monkey Magic in the seventies and eighties - which you can easily research.

The idea of evolution can be traced further - beyond the deluge - but I will focus on Nimrod for the purposes of this article.

This ancient account reveals there was a desire to make the ideology widely accepted throughout the world (using deception). However... the theory never gained popularity until Charles Darwin published his book - and several charlatans perpetrated a series of frauds after Darwin had died.

These frauds were exposed but the theory had gained traction in the psyche of the general public - because it offered liberation from the church to pursue sexual immorality. Since the publication of the Origin of Species - the Theory of Evolution has continued to (fraudulently) pass itself off as proven true - when in reality it is bereft of scientific sanction.

Charles Darwin observed variation between the breeding of pigeons and wildlife in South America and made assumptions that if an animal can experience variation within the species - it would be possible for such small changes to aggregate as gradual development beyond the species.

This meant he could hypothesize that given enough time - this 'gradual development' means all life could have started from a simple life form in some primordial soup (as the starting point of evolution) in the distant past.

He failed to get the scientific community to extend the age of the Earth because the Sun, they believed used coal as fuel, could only have existed for several thousand years (maximum).

It wasn't until Rutherford came along, with radioactive dating, that the scene was set for evolution to gain popularity. After several hoaxes claiming they found the 'missing link' evolution became extremely popular - and it continued to grow even after the hoaxes were exposed as fraudulent. The history of evolution is fraught with disingenuous claims which persist to this day.

When an atheist asserts evolution is true, by claiming mutation is evidence for the increase in genetic information, they are typically continuing the disingenuous attitude that accompanies the whole subject.

Evolution can be defined as: the gradual development of something, especially from a simple to a more complex form. This is fine to describe the production of a car - but bad news for the origin of species because the evolution of life, as purported by Darwin, was brought about by natural selection.

He obviously (actually) believed his own hype because he declared, "If it is not possible to demonstrate the gradual development of life - the whole theory would collapse." Well... there is zero direct evidence for the increase in genetic information - but plenty of indirect evidence: which he saw in the variation that breeding brought about. Thus... the Theory of Evolution is an error.

The only thing Charles Darwin can be credited for is the methodologies he employed in categorising the variations he observed. Even though he plagiarised, Erasmus Darwin, his grandfather's book 'Zoonomia' he was an ardent lover of life and he appreciated the scientific method.

Scientists, especially atheist scientists, are experiencing cognitive dissonance with these facts - and it's sad to see. Science has realised the Theory of Evolution is bereft of scientific sanction - but there is scope to develop a new theory that describes what science has observed.

The problem is... they cannot redefine evolution without giving up the ideology of naturalism. This is the dissonance in their cognition. They refuse to acknowledge irreducible complexity is direct evidence for the existence of a creator - because they don't want to become subject to the Laws of God. Morality, it would seem, is at the heart of this subject - because:

- 1) naturalism means man's laws define us
- 2) creationism means God's Laws define us

Evolution, being an unproven theory, is subject to philosophical debate and it is therefore - personal preference that determines the position.

That preference being the desire to:

- 1) Pursue the lusts of the flesh ... or:
- 2) Pursue the love of the spirit.

A person who wants to pursue the love of the spirit will recognise the opportunity - to live in faith whereas a person who wants to pursue the lusts of the flesh will delude themselves - to die in sin. There is no reason to continue holding on to the Theory of Evolution - given the fact, science has failed to demonstrate the genome can increase in information.

Science can move forward without the lie of evolution destroying its credibility. Those who refuse to acknowledge the Laws of God continue to attack anyone who points out the fact evolution is a lie - such is the dissonance in their cognition. This subject is (obviously) the front line of some spiritual war - and cognitive dissonance plays a major role in frustrating the situation.

Why deny the existence of the Most High?

The deniers demand evidence because they think no proof legitimises man's erroneous laws - but the reality is: no proof does not mean God does not exist. They insist the zero direct evidence for the increase in genetic information is not admissible - in a discussion - but the zero direct evidence for the existence of a God is admissible - in a forum. Never mind the fact they are told we live by faith.

This goes a long way to prove their motive is the predilection to express lust for the flesh - rather than love for the spirit. If they were of the mind to remain pious and pure of heart - they would have no problem accepting the existence of the Most High. The Theory of Evolution violates:

- 1) Second Law of Thermodynamics and Natural Law
- 2) Mendel's Law of Genetics and Spontaneous Generation
- 3) Law of Information Systems and Information Theory
- 4) Specified Complexity and Irreducible Complexity
- 5) Genetic Complexity and Beneficial Mutation
- 6) Statistical Mathematics and Common Sense

Common sense has been thrown in for good measure - because mankind has never observed a new kind of animal appearing - but he has seen many extinctions. Every specie that has gone extinct is direct evidence evolution is false - because the specie failed to adapt to the environment. The capacity to adapt to the environment is the key component of the theory - so that's a rather obvious point.

If this information has caused agitation in you - it is because the article destroys the justification to live an impious lifestyle. The cause of cognitive dissonance is not the declaration evolution is a lie but the fact lifestyle is subject to scrutiny.

Please note... I'm not making accusation here. I am (simply) describing the spade.

At any rate... the dissonance can be so severe - it can cause the mind to create an amnesiac barrier (to deny the information it has seen) so it may continue to believe the lie. This is what's called delusion.

This is how ideologies, including false religious doctrines, can cause a delusion to become so great - the mind begins to lose grip on reality - and sanity goes out the window - along with the moral compass and any scruples. The evidence for this is the denial of the multiple violations of other proven scientific theories that were listed (above).

WHEN THE GRASP EXCEEDS THE REACH

Science ... involves itself with trying to understand the nature of reality - and the scientific method has helped this noble endeavour. Of course... there will always be a certain degree of 'belief' within science because many theories are structured upon indirect evidences that offer a specific viewpoint.

Unfortunately, belief leads to religiosity - which is the foundation of delusion. If we are to avoid causing delusion - we need to acknowledge theories are in the process of being verified and not 'proven facts'.

The theories may contain facts that are direct and / or indirect evidences - but theories are (basically) professional reports on a given subject that contain a prediction. Thus ... it is fitting to acknowledge the effort applied to present the theory. However... prediction is a belief until it is justified as knowledge - so we must also acknowledge it is as such to avoid delusion.

Religion = 99% lies with 1% truth - Science = 1% lies with 99% truth

If truth = good and lies = evil: the mix of these creates delusion.

Science Placates Naturalism

Mutation, for example, is direct evidence for speciation but only indirect evidence for transition making the Theory of Evolution appear to be true - when (in fact) it is lie. This delusion sets the stage for people to doubt the teaching of Yahushua - which kills the soul.

Religion Placates Paganism

The God-Head, for example, describes the power of creation but is twisted to empower destruction - because idolatry of the Son and Mother is (in fact) a dreadful lie. This delusion sets the stage for believers to pervert the faith - which kills the soul.

Science seeks 100% truth - but it refuses to admonish naturalism: because it only accepts what can be 'observed'.

Religion claims to be 100% truth - which is why the delusion is greater in its capacity to fester arrogance among believers.

Delusion is lethal to the soul - because it is the knowledge of good and evil that locks the mind in a state of perpetual ignorance.

By Kind Permission

THE VICE IS VERSA

Atheists often accuse theists of being ignorant of what theory means - when, the truth is, they don't appreciate what it is. Let us begin with the scientific method - which is the foundation of all scientific theory.

The scientific method was discovered during the reformation when Christian scientists understood the scripture that described it.

The definition of the scientific method is:

A procedure consisting the systematic observation, measurement, and experiment - that leads to the formulation, testing, and modification of an idea (to clarify the understanding of something).

The idea is then submitted for review so it may be published as: hypothesis or theory. If they are rejected ... the author will refine the idea (understanding) - or provide more details of evidences - until they are accepted. These classified publications are easy to edit and update at the hypotheses level and not subject to change when they are considered law.

Theory is the most popular classification because a theory can be published using (only) indirect evidences: thus securing popularity. Theories are - then - subjected to further verification by validating predictions: so any direct evidence, that may emerge later, will confirm the faith in such predictions. The confirmation of Gravitational Waves, for example, secured confidence in the Theory of Relativity and served to exemplify the faith the scientific community had in the theory.

The problem is... scientists have developed the predilection to ignore the hypotheses classification to publish a theory (that has no direct evidence).

This gave rise to theoretical science.

Unfortunately... a theory is not malleable - after it is published: so if it fails to deliver anticipated evidences: it cannot be changed. A theory cannot be rejected, either, until a new theory can replace it. Many theories, today, have by-passed the hypotheses class because scientists juggle the math to create a plausible model.

This means science has consistently churned out unproven theories - which are considered true before any direct evidence is observed. This is why those who ascribe to the processes of classification are gradually becoming delusional.

The occasional confirmation of a theory that discovers direct evidence - establishes other theories that may never find direct evidence.

You see... delusion is the assumption of knowledge that doesn't exist.

A true-atheist, for example, considers the belief in a God (creator) to be a state of delusion - since they reject all the indirect evidences that allude to an Intelligent Designer. A true-theist, on the other hand, considers the belief in naturalism to be a state of delusion - since they reject the indirect evidences that allude to the Theory of Evolution.

What is particularly interesting to note here is: these opposing sides have no direct evidence for the beliefs they ascribe to. The Theory Of Evolution, for example, followed the rigorous methodology, that adhered to the scientific method, and it was accepted as a theory after science was able to determine the age of the Earth could be millions of years old.

That is the reason why the Royal Society accepted the paper - and it is (also) why creationists seek to undermine the science of the atomic clock.

Nevertheless... it was accepted as theory - and, as such, we are expected to accept it is credible without questioning their decision. Charles Darwin used a plethora of indirect evidence, such as breed variation within the species, to determine the possibility of transition between the kinds - but science has only ever found indirect evidence by way of mutation that, at best, only leads to speciation.

The atheists declare this as direct evidence - for naturalism - when, in reality, it remains firmly seated in the realm of indirect evidence: making the 'theory' a perspective that is questionable. This is where delusion becomes apparent - because this is when atheists assume a level of knowledge that doesn't exist.

Direct evidence for speciation is not direct evidence for transition - but it is tantalizing: and perfectly understandable why they confuse the deferential in evidence.

Are theists delusional?

Those who believe in a creator are well aware they only have indirect evidence - so they understand the nature of faith is founded upon the Word of God that speaks to the soul.

The people who wrote the scripture were unaware their writings would cultivate the shadows and types that bring the spirit of prophecy to life. The shadows and types are both direct and indirect evidence depending on perspective.

The words, within the scripture, have a way of reassuring the humble reader - because they can see a correlation between the physical and the spiritual. The words give them a sense of peace - and they acknowledge the deferential in evidence - so they are not delusional.

The point here is simple. There are two opposing ideologies which present evidences that are subject to perception - which means: one is wrong.

Does it matter?

Atheists attribute religion as the main source of problems in this world - and they are right: since people consistently use religion to assert authority over others. The problem is ... evolution facilitates the assertion of authority over others - as well - because it is a philosophical ideology.

The Theory Of Evolution only brings shame to the science - which is why so many credible scientists speak out against it. The true-Christian will not seek to facilitate any kind of religion because Yahushua was unequivocal in preserving a walk in perfect humility before the Father in Heaven.

Christianity is a faith based upon a relationship with the Father in Heaven because we are required to worship Him in spirit and truth. In other words... we are to live freely within the confines of perfect humility - to manifest the Name of God into a state of glory. This is not difficult to understand - but it is, somewhat, difficult to put into practice: which is why so many (including many Christians) are reluctant to embrace.

The scientific method was given to mankind so we may learn to appreciate the creator has provided a place of habitation for us - and all He has asked us to do: is abound in the love of Christ (to care for one another) - because that will magnify His loving countenance into perfection.

Is this too much to ask?

The disagreement between the deferential in evidences is arbitrarily steeped in perception. Perception that is rooted in subjectivity masquerading as objectivity.

It's not about proof.

It's about morality.

In the red corner - we have naturalism asserting humanity (man) is the moral authority. In the blue corner - we have creationism asserting divinity (God) is the moral authority. This is a fight to the death - and scripture tells us which attitude is the winner.

In the end ... there will be no excuses.

THE END OF METHODOLOGICAL NATURALISM

The Theory of Evolution has been a fundamental concept of the ancient mystery religion since Nimrod travelled the world with a hairy man - posing as a priest in search of Nirvana. This was documented in China and became the theme for the legend of Monkey; which later became a popular TV show in the late seventies.

This shows how the Buddhist concept of reincarnation is fueled by the idea we evolve when the spirit returns to earth in a higher, more advanced, state - and: this has become the basis for the great delusion, of the modern era, where people believe they have the opportunity to evolve to the next level of 'consciousness'.

Many Christians (the elect) are also deceived by this because satan is crafty enough to call the next level of consciousness the 'Christ Consciousness' - yet they are unaware the origin of this concept is rooted in the ancient mystery religion: which scripture calls Mystery Babylon the Great.

It is called this because the faith is based on mystery and the religion is great in the world - because people are unable to perceive the doctrines as lies. If you ask a person to explain the trinity, for example, they will openly declare it a mystery - not realizing they are admitting they place their faith in the mystery religion.

The idea we evolved is something that has been simmering in the psyche for thousands of years and it took the combination of pseudoscience and intrigue to popularize the idea - which then gave government the leverage to establish the laws of man in place of the Laws of God.

This is why the truth that the Theory of Evolution is inaccurate is met with such resistance. People want the laws of man that facilitate iniquitous behaviour to remain in legitimate reference - because the moment society learns evolution is not true: we are obliged to return to the Laws of God.

Let us look at the 'so-called' science behind the Theory of Evolution.

The Theory of Evolution was developed and popularized by Charles Darwin in a book he wrote called the Origin of Species.

Nowhere... in the book does he give any credible reference to his grandfather who wrote a similar book called Zoonomia - so we can immediately see Charles Darwin, who grew up in a family who bred pigeons, lacked any credibility for his proposal - and given the fact he grew up in an environment where pigeons were being bred - he was well aware of the way adaptation can produce functional advantage before he even set off to the Galapagos Islands.

When he arrived there he made observations of the finches and documented the various beaks they had before returning to write his book. The book he wrote was given the long title of: *The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection or the Preservation of Favoured Races In The Struggle For Life*

This was the full title of Darwin's book which gave us the Theory of Evolution.

Other than the fact we can immediately see Charles Darwin was a racist, who wrote this book to justify his hate for unfavoured races, it is revealed within this book the logic of Natural Selection requires gradual (incremental) change to effect a fundamental advantage. Charles Darwin wrote: "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down."

Well... the Flagellum Motor is one such device that is used, by the cell, as a means of propulsion is something that could not have occurred gradually - because the Theory of Evolution states that if any single part were missing the motor would not work and, as such, the device would be discarded.

However... that's just the tip of the iceberg.

When we look to the *Origin of Species*, we see how Darwin used a tree to explain how all the complex organs might have arisen returning to a point where there was the single cell - but we see nothing to explain how the single cell could have started.

This is why there has been the search for the 'Missing Link' - because of all the millions of different species: scientific evidence how the genome has changed from one species to the next -and, of the billions of fossils, we have yet to find a credible link between species. This is what the 'Missing Link' fuss has been about.

The Theory of Evolution tells us this information should be available - yet not a single shred of evidence has been brought forward - either in fossil form or change in genetic code form.

Be that as it may... the *Origin of Species* may not contain any information on how the single cell was formed - but Darwin did write a letter suggesting the primordial mud soup idea: which later became the Chemical Evolution Theory by Alexander Oparin.

This is where it gets interesting because scientists began to ponder on these theories and it became apparent the simple cell was anything but simple - because it contained machinery that was irreducibly complex - which were made by other machines that - themselves - were irreducibly complex right down the chain of commands from the genome (DNA code).

This irreducible complexity is what the flagellum motor was - for all intents and purposes: because irreducible complexity means an machine needs to be engineered and it requires a great degree of intricacy of architectural design down in the cell units (in the protein forming acids). There are 30,000 types of protein which have 20 amino acids that are like letters which must be assembled in a fashion that is coherent for the genetic sequence to be effective.

Proteins, like computer code, have a high degree of specificity because the function of the whole depends upon the precise arrangement of the individual parts.

This is where the DNA becomes the mechanism to ensure the sequences are correct. The DNA has four letters GATC which is like a code, hundreds of pages, long to function. Each machine that moves parts or arranges parts or changes parts are themselves irreducibly complex.

The arrogance of science in assuming this level of irreducibly complex machinery is the product of chance has caused the scientific community to adopt a Methodological Naturalism approach to science. Methodological Naturalism says: if you are going to be scientific you must limit yourself to explanations that invoke only natural causes - you cannot invoke intelligent design.

This is why science is starting to lose credible standing in recent times. Scientists see all the evidence that points to intelligent design but they ignore the obvious and invent mysterious phenomenon such as multiple universes to contain the situation instead of admitting there is no explanation - other than intelligent design.

They are in for a shock - because the criteria for intelligent design is much more easily understood and acceptable than scientific inventions. Intelligent Design is something that becomes apparent when we see objective patterns. For example... when we see a recognizable pattern in an improbable object (see picture).

This is where we see information comes from:

- 1) High Specification
- 2) Small Probability

Science uses this in the search for extra-terrestrial life. The SETI observatory are looking for a signal that has High Specification and Small Probability such as a code that might have prime numbers - because this would indicate intelligent life.

The DNA has 3 Billion codes of information which science is yet to explain, and is unlikely to ever explain, how such information came from nothing.

Charles Darwin imagined the single cell to be a simple form of jello that would sufficiently explain the chemical building blocks of life... but even he couldn't have imagined how intricate and highly sophisticated the cell would be.

The flagellum motor has been called: the most advanced machine known to man in the entire universe - so I'd say this means if we are evolving: we are going backwards not forwards. The Theory of Evolution facilitates the iniquitous attitude man has by legitimizing his own laws over the laws of God.

In the end... it doesn't matter if evolution is right or wrong... what matters is... do you want to keep the Laws of God or keep the laws of man. Those who want to keep the laws of man are going to continue ignoring the evidence for Intelligent Design.

...and they will have their reward soon enough.

BEYOND EVOLUTION

Science has reached a point where it cannot continue to assert naturalism - since the theories founded upon natural evolution have failed to deliver anticipated evidence. The Rh-negative (blood type) - for example - is irrefutable evidence there is a class of human that has no connection to any animal whatsoever.

This is, technically, the final nail in the coffin of natural evolution - and people are already making outlandish claims the rhesus (Rh-negative) factor is evidence for the intervention of satan - or the seed of the serpent as it were.

This does not hold water (biblically speaking) because we are told Adam and Eve were two people - who had one blood type - and the reprobate angels introduced the other groups. I'm not saying this is fact - but it does seem more logical if we are going to employ scripture as an indicator.

The problem is ... evolution facilitates naturalism which is why it is highly regarded by those who want to operate within the confines of non-supernatural empirical evidences. This is understandable - considering: science is involved with demystifying the mysteries of nature to understand reality.

Science is, gradually, accepting natural evolution is a false premise - which means we are facing two possible scenarios:

- 1) aliens have manipulated the genome.
- 2) a divine being created everything.

Neither of these are palatable - to those who have a vested interest in naturalism being true. Nevertheless... naturalism has breathed its last - given the fact the Rh-negative cannot be found anywhere in the animal kingdom. This means science, in its quest to explain reality in naturalistic terms, is facing defeat: which brings into question the validity of facts over faith - as a precursor for progress.

Faith is perceived, by the perfidious, as the submission to ignorance because the so-called critical thinkers think faith is where learning stops and progress ends. This (probably) explains the reluctance to admit naturalism is debunked - given the fact they declare such submission is 'blind faith'.

They use the word 'blind' to infer ignorance.

Facts are considered necessary to continue the scope of development - gradual or otherwise - because mankind is empowered by the facilitation of evidence. This (actually) explains the resistance to accept the overwhelming odds naturalism is not viable in any demonstrable capacity.

This means they willfully choose ignorance.

Evolution, itself, is not the problem - but, rather, the fact natural selection was included within the Theory of Evolution is. This was where Charles Darwin became abstract in his deliberations - and it was why he tasked science to find the evidence.

He predicted we would find fossil remains that demonstrates a transition between one kind of animal to the next in its development. This 'missing link' has never been found - despite the fraudulent claims made by those who were motivated to secure notoriety. He also declared: if it cannot be demonstrated that life developed by gradual increments... the theory of evolution would be a failure.

Science has never observed the increase in genetic complexity in any scientific research laboratory or field - despite the (exorbitant) funding science has received to do this. Science has, however, observed mutation which is the rearrangement of genetic information or decrease in information - that results in variation within the kind of animal. In other words... science has confirmed we can see different breeds within a specie - as if that wasn't obvious enough already.

This is why science has adopted the position 'it's only a matter of time for such evidences to emerge' but in light of the various theories evolution violates: this is highly unlikely - and they know it. Indeed... the evidence that counters the theory of evolution is staggering, beyond belief, because natural selection (naturalism) - lacks any scientific credibility.

Evolution is the process of gradual development form a simple to a complex form.

For example:

- 1) Materials gradually develop into products.
- 2) Ideas gradually develop into businesses.
- 3) Community gradually develop into society.

This is to say evolution is real enough.

However... the means by which gradual development occurs is questionable - because the word 'evolution' infers the origin of life has no intelligent design. This is why so many theists displayed agitation whenever the word 'evolution' was banded around by those who were unaware the Theory of Evolution remains unproven.

The indirect evidence, such as mutation and speciation, has emboldened the perfidious to argue a flawed perception - to willfully lead people into a sense of perfidy. This is like a spiritual death sentence - so it doesn't take a genius to understand why theists are alarmed by the theory.

Nevertheless... evolution (itself) is real enough because things like products, businesses and societies are gradually developed. These are developed beyond natural evolution because people have a hand in 'creating' them.

However... nature does placate development:

Children gradually develop into adults.
Tadpoles gradually develop into frogs.
Acorns gradually develop into oaks.

These occur naturally - but science has determined there is a code in the DNA that allows them to develop according to certain conditions.

This strongly indicates intelligent design.

However, Richard Dawkins argued this merely gave the appearance of intelligent design - which, in context, means there is no creator.

This 'merely' highlights the scope of cognitive dissonance (delusion) the perfidious experience because they - literally - ignore the information that counters their position. I find it somewhat ironic Richard Dawkins used the word delusion in the title of his most accomplished work - because he, having declared God evil, is deluded about God. The question, therefore, comes down to the source of information (code in the DNA).

The source of this 'information' is critical in deciding how we are to proceed beyond evolution because this subject has far reaching implications for humanity – and: in my humble opinion - no government; scientist; wealthy person(\$) or religion etc is remotely qualified to make such decisions.

Science, having hit a brick wall, is forced to concede defeat and placate a faith.

However... they will (probably) draw on the 'alien' idea to save face - and hope no one asks the question: Where did they (aliens) come from?

If natural selection does not procure gradual development (natural evolution) - how did the aliens come into existence? Science is desperate to prove multiple dimensions theory so they can postulate they (aliens) are inter-dimensional.

The problem is... this will only serve to validate what the scripture has been saying all along - and still leave the question: How did they (aliens) come into existence? Ironically... the scripture answers that too.

Scripture tells us God made man from the earth which is like saying evolution (gradual development) is correct. However... scripture also tells us the Most High worked in several phases to effect creation - then rested on the seventh day (phase).

This would explain why science cannot observe the increase in genetic information - which implies: the process of gradual development (evolution) may have, at some point in the past, been an active component of history - but it was not naturalism.

The idea of 'natural selection' is predicated upon the notion of a survival of the fittest attitude - which incites the perfidious to hate those they consider to be weak.

This attitude (spirit) has caused immeasurable evil in the world from bullies in the playground to genocide in countries - because people take it upon themselves to select for nature. Even religious leaders have adopted the ideology of one being greater than another - which the theory of evolution advocates.

This is the reason why the Theory of Evolution must be declared utterly reprehensible and removed from the education system.

How do we proceed beyond evolution? At the grave of naturalism - the headstone may have the following eulogy: Here lies Naturalism - for the last time - beloved wife of philosophy: who (both) left two children:

Alien and Angel

- 1) Alien, who has a history going back to the fabled City of Atlantis, promises immortality.
- 2) Angel, who has a history going back to the first man and woman, offer eternal life.

Alien and Angel conferred over the inheritance of all reality - and Angel give up everything for the sake of a new reality. This may sound absurd... but it cuts closer to the truth than meets the eye.

Media will, eventually, announce aliens are real to explain the origin of life - and millions will fall for it: because few understand the seed needs to go to the ground before it can come to life.

Many Christians (the Elect) will be deceived by this because they failed to root out the false doctrines of the apostate church. What happens beyond evolution is immortality or eternal life - which means: every individual is going to be faced with the choice. It cannot be both or neither - because great calamity (tribulation) will have struck the Earth and everyone will be forced to choose.

Everyone will have to choose between:

- 1) Immortality - then be cast into the lake of fire when Yahushua returns.
- 2) Death - then be resurrected to eternal life when Yahushua returns.

This will be a dreadful time - so I pray you find the courage to overcome to eternal life. That is when we will evolve beyond evolution.

THE ALIEN AGENDA

Given the size of the universe, and numbers of exo-planets that have already been confirmed, it seems ludicrous to imagine we are alone in the universe.

However... science has only ever been able to observe the mutation or decrease in genetic information - which means they are unable to confirm naturalism is true. In other words... science has failed to show life has the capacity to spontaneously arise from a pre-biotic soup or develop into a complex life form (gradual or otherwise). The attitude science has adopted, on this issue, is the 'Theory of Evolution' is assumed to be true because the evidence will (supposedly) come to light sooner or later.

In the meantime... the theory provides the platform for racist attitude to flourish - even as it offers the perfidious an excuse to pursue the desires of their own hearts: in denial of the moral code set in the scripture. Given the fact religion after religion have exasperated the Word of God to fester in corruption - it's no wonder they cling to an unproven theory such as evolution.

The dilemma runs deeper than this though.

Those who believe in evolution will consider the discovery of exo-planets a leap in confirmation - life exists beyond our solar system. Thus... any factual discussion on the lack of evidence for the increase in genetic information - is going to spoil their appetite for adventure in discovering new forms of life.

To put that another way: their Star Trek dream of exploring the universe is dampened by the rigor of reality - that says naturalism remains an illusion.

Of course... it may be argued conditions on this planet may be adversely effecting the capacity for genetic information to increase - but, generally speaking, the fact Earth has a complex array of plants and animals means life arrived here somehow: so that would be a moot argument.

Some, including Francis Crick - the co-discoverer of DNA, have postulated the idea aliens have genetically engineered man; but this would open a gulf of unanswered questions regarding where the aliens came from. You see... as long as naturalism remains an unproven ideology - we don't even know how aliens came into existence (assuming they do exist of course).

It does seem rather sad to see ourselves as the only life in the universe - so it's understandable people cling to the Star Trek dream of high adventure in defiance of the Word of God.

The rise in UFO sightings, which may simply be advanced technology created by our secretive governments, is fueling conspiratorial discussion on this very issue. The more evidence that comes forward regarding aliens etc the more people are being conditioned to accept them - like a frog in the pot.

The problem is... if naturalism is not verified - the aliens must have been created just as we were created - and this opens a can of worms on the possibility they are demonic in source rather than benevolent in intent.

Besides... if aliens evolved to the status of Ascended Masters - that is claimed - why would we need them to interfere with our 'natural' development. The scripture does offer an explanation for everything we see today.

For example... we are given a creation account which science has observed - given the fact the information in DNA is likened to a computer program that is so complex it makes the mind boggle.

This means the DNA was programmed by a programmer who has left markers of His handiwork in multiple systems that have irreducible complexity (which is a fancy way of saying a cell has several working parts that came together simultaneously - so gradual development is not a plausible explanation).

The scripture also tells us who the 'aliens' are and what their intentions are. In fact... the scripture even tells us what the aliens (or reprobate angels) have done in the past and what they are doing today.

The fact evolution remains unproven - the UFO sightings can only mean one of two things are going on. Either the government is becoming bold in revealing their secrets or we are seeing demonic manifestation.

Given the fact helicopters and planes have been observed pursuing these crafts - it would be fair to postulate they are demonic in origin. Yahushua did say - there will be fearful signs in the skies; but we should not be alarmed. Surely... all we need do is remove the sick (elite) mindset of those who think they are gods - so the humble have the opportunity to express their love for each other.

This whole alien agenda seems nuts to me.

ANCIENT ASTRONAUTS

The Ancient Astronaut idea has been galvanised by the Theory of Evolution because there is evidence of a race of 'aliens' called the Annunaki who have visited planet Earth in the past. The Theory of Evolution is considered proven true (precisely) because it allows for the approbation of the Ancient Astronaut idea.

However, the purveyors of evolution are unaware the development of this idea was an inevitable consequence of their inability to reconcile the anomalies within the Theory of Evolution. You see... science has never been able to demonstrate how the genome increases in information - by natural means - so they are (now) looking to explicate the intervention of aliens who, apparently, spliced the genome to create man from monkeys.

What's particularly interesting here is: there is a prophetic warning that speaks of a strong delusion - which alludes to this very subject - so it's important to understand what is going on. Humankind has, for the later part of history, been presented with two accounts for the origin of life:

- 1) Deism - The Creation Account
- 2) Naturalism - Theory of Evolution

Prior to the introduction of the Theory of Evolution, the natural inclination of the soul was to cultivate a pantheistic society which was steeped in myth and legends of giants and gods such as:

- 1) Egypt - Isis, Ra, Horus etc.
- 2) Babylon - Ashur, Enlil, Ishtar etc.
- 3) Medo-Persia - Soma, Mithra, Bhaga etc.
- 4) Greece - Zeus, Hades, Apollo etc.
- 5) Rome - Janus, Mars, Venus etc.

These idolatrous religions offered a flimsy - mythological - idea for the origin of man: which was based on gradual evolution and, as such, the idea of evolution has progressed through the ages. Even when Darwin postulated his theory it required several staged archaeological finds, which were later proven fraudulent, to gain the interest of the public.

Then... the government started placing the Theory of Evolution into the text books, as scientific fact, to brainwash children into accepting it as proven true following the Roswell incident. This brought the Theory of Evolution and the Creation Account to the fore of debate forums where theists and atheists engage in a futile attempt to prove their observations are correct.

This is to say... science has mobilised the rebellious attitude against the Word of God. They have done this under the banner of humanistic values they claim are the moral authority. Thus... the argument always comes down to moral authority - which is why they ignorantly argue 'religion is the cause of all evil in the world.'

The truth is - religion is an excuse to assert self-authority.

This is the real reason why the world is saturated in evil - and atheists are using fraudulent theories, in science, to assert their own brand of self-authority - which is based on humanistic values.

How do we know the Theory of Evolution is bereft of scientific sanction?

1) Evidence For Life-Explosion

The Cambrian Period, rock layer, is considered the time when life began on Earth but the fossils found there are rich in complex diversity.

This (alone) proves the Theory of Evolution is error because this period should contain simple life forms and not a diverse collection of complex life forms.

2) Information From Nothing

The amount of information contained in the genome exceeds a stack of tomes, that would reach the Moon, yet science has never (ever) observed information coming from nothing to increase the genome in any capacity.

The moment Francis Harry "Ricketty Cricket" Compton Crick OM FRS (the co-discoverer of DNA) saw the magnitude of information in the genome he immediately postulated aliens had intervened to create life. Instead of recognising the evidence for intelligent design was pointing toward the scriptural references of a divine creator - he chose to imagine aliens (ancient astronauts) had a hand in the creation of life.

By declaring aliens had intervened in some way he was, technically, admitting the Theory of Evolution is a failed explanation for the origin of life. What we see here is clear evidence for fraud, within the scientific community, to maintain naturalism as the authoritative voice on the origin of life.

Therefore, the Ancient Astronaut Theory is undermining science - because it is, by default, declaring the Theory of Evolution is not sufficient to explain the origin of life: if aliens intervened in some way.

The people who assert these lies claim the Theory of Evolution was sufficient to create a monkey - then, at some point, aliens intervened to create man from monkeys: or they claim aliens have intervened from the very beginning.

This means mankind is now presented with three options for the origin of life.

- 1) Theism - The Creation Account
- 2) Naturalism - Theory of Evolution
- 3) Alienism - The Intervention Account

This is beyond a joke - but it's no laughing matter when we consider the ramifications of what is going on. Thus far, we have seen 'how,' 'what' or 'when' type questions to the origin of life - because the question 'why' seemed absurd when intelligent design was not part of the equation.

However, now that they have postulated the Intervention Account (of intelligent design by aliens) we can pose the question 'why' and this is where we begin to appreciate the ramifications of the situation.

The biblical account tells us a group of angels (called Watchers) decided to leave their first estate to cohabit with the daughters of men. This is saying a group of reprobate angels corrupted the seed (genome) of humankind. This led to the giants that were destroyed by a great deluge because they had corrupted all flesh - and those that survived were wiped out by the Israelites.

While they ignore all the evidence to deny there was a flood: they are (now) willing to accept there is - at least - a grain of truth in this account because their Ancient Astronaut Theory is backed up by the fact the reprobate angels (they call the Annunaki) had, at some point, interfered with the genome (seed) of mankind.

Indeed, this is exactly what they claim was responsible for the creation of man.

However, the scripture tells us they corrupted the seed (genome) to create a race of giants called the Eluit and Nephilim. The question is: was the genome altered to create man from monkeys or was the seed corrupted to create a race of giants. Well... this would require a look at the evidences archaeology has uncovered:

The problem is, the discovery of giant skeletal bones have mysteriously disappeared and the character of the archaeologists are assassinated. All the evidence for a world flood has been ridiculed and vehemently denied. This means we are witnessing a sinister agenda to suppress the truth and lead the whole world to believing the lies that show aliens in a benevolent light.

The people who vehemently pursue the assertion of these lies are those who have a vested interest in decrying the moral authority of the Most High - so they can assert the humanistic values as the moral authority: knowing full well man has a nasty habit of legalising perversion. When we see the affect all these lies have, as they seek to asset the authority of man over the authority of God, it becomes apparent 'why' this delusion persists.

Those who hate the Word of God are intent on usurping the sovereign authority of the Most High so they can justify their perverse nature - but you see: the ramifications go much deeper than the abominable behaviour of sexual immorality and idolatry.

This 'Alien Agenda' has a level of evil that is staggering beyond comprehension because all of reality, as we know it, is under direct threat of complete destruction.

When we cut through all the nonsense and get to the core of each argument we discover: one message is catering for those who pursue self-empowerment and the other message is catering for those who pursue self-sacrifice. In other words... the Ancient Astronaut Theory will appeal to those who love the darkness while the Gospel of Christ will appeal to those who love the light.

Those who want to pursue self-empowerment will convince themselves they are children of light and the aliens are their creators - because they use buzz-words like 'love is the only truth' to lull the soul into a false sense of security.

This is the delusion.

The Alien Astronaut Theory is founded upon lies to deceive the whole world into accepting the reprobate angels as gods. Given the fact science has failed to demonstrate life has evolved by natural means and the Ancient Astronaut Theory is based on upgrading our genetic code so mankind may evolve - you'd think they would take a moment to consider the seriousness of the situation.

The government has systematically:

- 1) Removed evidence of giants
- 2) Denied the worldwide flood
- 3) Lied in the text books

Are they so desperately unhappy they want their genetic code tampered with - regardless of the consequences?

While the aliens allowed humanity to back-engineer their spacecraft technology they have been working to back-engineer our DNA to create hybrid-children.

The story they have presented is they want to live among us - which means they haven't got the slightest inclination to actually upgrade anyone - unless, of course, they intend removing the freewill so mankind becomes a servant to them and their hybrid-children.

This is exactly what scripture has warned us they (reprobate angels) will attempt to do in these end days - so we have a warning from Yeshua: this situation is more serious than people can begin to comprehend.

They may be the same visitors from the skies - but they will seek to enslave all mankind (again) and corrupt all living flesh (again). Only this time, it won't be a flood that destroys all the wicked but fire.

WHO ARE THE ASCENDED MASTERS?

Well... they call themselves the Annunaki and they claim to have spliced the genome of apes to create the archetypal man we see today. This would mean the Theory of Evolution is proven untrue because evolution is supposed to be a process that occurs by natural selection and not by alien intervention.

Science has only ever been able to demonstrate how the genome rearranges to effect adaptation - which they call micro-evolution.

Science then, in a desperate attempt to validate evolution, claim a new specie can be formed by the process of speciation - which occurs when a specie is separated by some barrier and they adapt to their new environment until they become a new specie.

However... this does not validate the appearance of the different kinds because the two species created are still the same 'kind' of animal.

This means two things:

- 1) The Theory of Evolution is a lie because aliens intervened to advance the ape to man.
- 2) The Annunaki are lying because the Theory of Evolution means we evolve naturally and not by alien intervention.

This means we are being lied to.

However... let us imagine one is true - because they cannot both be true.

If the Annunaki have said the truth about splicing the genome to evolve ape into a man... the Theory of Evolution is a lie - and if the Theory of Evolution is a lie - we cannot trust the Annunaki to give us any kind of genetic upgrade - because we are not designed to advance physically. Man was created, from day one, by the Most High - as man - thus there is no need to evolve (because evolution is a lie).

If the Annunaki are lying... we cannot trust the genetic upgrade to immortality because we are supposed to evolve naturally. Either way... the genetic upgrade is not a good thing - whichever way you look at it.

My personal feelings tell me they are both lies specifically intended to delude the unrepentant to imagine they can become 'like' God. This is why they [atheists] are desperate to prove God does not exist - so they can justify their wicked ways.

If, by some miracle, atheists manage to prove God does not exist - I will continue to keep the commandments of the Lord and the faith of the risen Christ.

Why?

The manifestation of the Name of God is the only way mankind can survive - because otherwise... we will always experience continual conflict as one person seeks to assert their moral values over another. The sad news is... a day will come when the atheists will be brainwashed into thinking the Christ-like mindset is stopping mankind evolve to immortality.

This is what will trigger the great tribulation.

To think, satan is laughing at us because we will be annihilating ourselves - even as the deluded atheists look to the Ascended Masters (Anunaki) to evolve.

So... who are the Ascended Masters?

Well... scripture tells us of the reprobate angels that corrupted the seed of man to create the Nephilim giants in the days of Noah. Given the fact this is corroborated by the ancient monuments that depict giants in the land, that assumed the authority of gods - such as the titan legends of old who had Zeus from Olympia as their father etc, we can see this was a real problem during the days of Noah.

The seed (genome) was corrupted in those days and it is being corrupted today because man, with the assistance of the Ascended Masters, is developing the means to genetically modify people to attain immortality. This will incur the wrath of God because man is appointed to die before judgement - and the immortality upgrade would upset this divinely decreed remit.

The Ascended Masters have revealed themselves to be the Anunaki who established early civilizations on earth - such as Babylon and Egypt etc. - so we know they are the reprobate angels spoken of in scripture. The name Anunaki originally came from the Egyptian legends that spoke of extraterrestrial beings that descended from the heavens to teach mankind how to build civilizations.

Even the secret societies retain the ancient account of the Atlantis Legend that spoke of a time when mankind was more advanced in his spiritual essence - but a flood destroyed this, so called, great civilization.

This flood account was attributed to the problems the Ascended Masters had when the brotherhood of the snake saw arguments originating from the old conflict between the two brotherhoods of Shambala and Argatha (from Atlantis) which arose and flared up time and time again.

The story goes... this came to a climax in an argument between the Babylonian and Hebrew class who argued they were the god race of the extraterrestrials.

This is what the initiates of the illuminati are taught - so they can be bamboozled into thinking the Hebrew class won with the help of High Atlantean Technology (such are the Ark of the Covenant) as they continually fought with lower races from the time of the flood up to the time of Moses.

This highlights how the Ascended Masters are manipulating the Words of God, in scripture, to try and justify their evil nature. The Atlantis Legend goes on to say the space brotherhood secretly inspired the Hebrew priests to carry the spear of destiny to direct them as the newly chosen people of God.

This story even speaks of Yeshua who would be more powerful than all the kings in the world and glosses over the ministry of Yeshua in the context of bringing the chosen to a new era of enlightenment. Their version of events describes the Messiah as the sign of the end for Atlantean Rule but the path was difficult and cruel because the brotherhoods worked underground to thwart this demise.

Here we can see how they are trying to set up the illuminati for a fall - while maintaining the Ascended Masters in a favourable light. The satanic nature of this message is to deceive the ego into thinking man is like God who has this created reality as a playground to fill the soul with many experiences. This is steeped in mystery religion - which places the Ascended Masters upon a pedestal as gods to be worshiped. ...and it is actually what spiritualists teach the vulnerable who are desperate for answers - even as they deny the Holy Spirit when they read scripture.

These are sad days indeed - because the judgement of God was to remove all the wicked by flood in the days of Noah. The judgement of God will be to remove all the wicked by fire in the end days. The corruption of the seed - caused by the reprobate angels (Ascended Masters) then... was what brought on the wrath of the Lord.

The corruption of the seed, now, is what will bring on the wrath of God in the end. Therefore, the answer, as to who are the Ascended Masters, is: They are the reprobate angels that are causing humankind to follow the path to destruction.

The fact they caused this destruction before is bad enough: but governments that are too dumb to appreciate this is happening again is sheer insanity. Therefore... it doesn't really matter who the Ascended Masters are - because:

- 1) Government is too arrogant to appreciate the gravity of the situation.
- 2) They will be cast into the lake of fire, with all the wicked, soon enough.

We cannot pray for the Reprobate Angels because the Book of Enoch tells us God denied their plea for clemency. However... we can pray for the government, and the wicked, to open their eyes before it's too late.

TWO MESSAGES

There are two messages, concerning end times events, being evangelised in the world today, and they are:

- 1) The Message of Yeshua
- 2) The Message of Ashtar

These messages speak of the same events only they are completely opposing each other in the context of their delivery.

Message of Ashtar (Ascended Master)

The people who leave the planet during the time of Earth changes do not fit in here any longer, and they are stopping the harmony of Earth.

When the time comes that perhaps 20 million people leave the planet at one time there will be a tremendous shift in consciousness for those who are remaining. This was a channelled message to Barbara Marciniak who published this in her book: *Bringers Of The Dawn*.

The book outlined five key events:

- 1) Cataclysmic events will come upon the earth and they will help us overcome these events.
- 2) They will take those who can't or won't evolve to the next level (remove them from the planet) and those who remain get to evolve to the next level.
- 3) A man from among us will be raised up with special powers and knowledge.

If human beings do not make the shift in values and realise that without Earth they could not be here - then Earth, in its love for its own initiation and its reaching for a higher frequency, will bring about a cleansing that will balance it once again.

There is potential for many people to leave the planet in an afternoon.

As the probable worlds begin to form, there will be great shifting within humanity on this planet. It will seem that great chaos and turmoil are forming, that the nations are rising against each other in war and that earthquakes are happening more frequently.

Earth is shaking itself free, and a certain realignment or adjustment period is to be expected. It will also seem that the animals and fish are departing the Earth. Those animals are now moving over to the new world as it is being formed. They are not ending their existence, they are merely slipping into the new world to await your joining them.

Our rescue ships will be able to come in close enough in the twinkling of an eye to set the lifting beams in operation in a moment. And all over the globe, where events warrant it, this will be the method of evacuation. Mankind will be levitated by the beams from our ships. These smaller craft will, in turn, taxi people to the larger ships overhead, higher in the atmosphere, where there is ample space and quarters and supplies for millions of people.

There is a method and great organisation in a detailed plan already near completion for the purpose of removing souls from the planet in the event of catastrophic events making rescue necessary.

The Great Evacuation will come upon the world very suddenly. The flash of emergency events will be as lightning that flashes in the sky. So suddenly and so quick in its happening that it is over almost before you are aware of its presence.

The Great Evacuation

Phase I: of the Great Exodus of souls from the planet will take place at a moment's notice when its determined that the inhabitants are in danger.

Phase II: This second phase, immediately following the first, is vital as we return for the children of all ages and races.

Left behind?? Not to worry says Ashtar:

Do not be concerned nor unduly upset if you do not participate in this first temporary lift-up of souls who serve with us. This merely means that your action in the plan is elsewhere, and you will be taken for your instructions or will receive them in some other manner. Do not take any personal affront if you are not altered or are not a participant in the first phase of our plan. Your time will come later, and those instructions are not necessary for you at this time.

Message Of Yeshua (Son of God)

The people who are taken from the planet, in the blink of an eye, do not fit in here any longer - and they will receive their garments of glory in the place of safety. When the time comes that people leave the planet, at one time, the veil will be lifted and people will be able to see the spiritual realm. Yeshua (also) outlined five key events:

- 1) Cataclysmic events and distress will come upon the earth and the (angels) will watch over those who are in the faith.
- 2) They will take the 144,000 who overcame the world to the place of safety while those who remain face the hour of trial: to accept or reject the mark of the beast.
- 3) The son of perdition will raise up with special powers and knowledge - to deceive the whole world (even the elect if it were possible).

Yeshua is asked to reveal what will transcend - so He provides an outline of the effects of sin that has matured in the world. He explains the Earth will experience many earthquakes, in diverse places, because sin causes the foundations of heaven to shake - and people will become greatly distressed by fearful signs in the sky (which is a reference to the veil being lifted).

He goes on to say, nation will raise against nation and there will be wars and rumours of wars - which is similar to what Ashtar said.

However... the difference is Ashtar (who is obviously satan) is using the birth pangs of the Kingdom of God as a means to deceive the whole world into accepting him as the saviour of the mankind from himself - when the reality is: he (satan) has caused all the problems in the world. The Book of Revelation tells us the animals and fish will die and we have already seen vast numbers of mysterious deaths recently.

While Ashtar tells us these animals and fish are moving over to the new world as it is being formed: he seems to overlook the simple fact he describes their death as a transition from this world to another - whereas the gathering of people does not involve death as a transition from one world to another.

I'd say that's a slam dunk in proving his message is riddled with inconsistency.

Yeshua continues to explicate the things that will transpire when He speaks of fearful signs in the skies. This would account for the (alien) rescue ships that will be able to come in close enough, in the twinkling of an eye, to set lifting beams in operation all over the globe.

Ashtar (satan) clearly wants us to accept being ushered off the planet without resistance - and pity the people who do go because they will receive a serum to upgrade the DNA to become a hybrid alien-human. These people think they will be translated into a god when the reality is: they will lose their freewill and become subject to serve satan as their god.

Ashtar wants everyone to think the people who were raptured to the wedding supper, in the place of safety, by the holy angels are undesirables who were removed because they can't or won't evolve.

What's particularly interesting here is Yeshua told us no one knows of that hour, not the angels or even the Son of Man but only the Father in Heaven - and I would postulate this is because the fallen angels had not yet devised a plan to counter the events preceding the rapture.

This means the method and great organisation, in a detailed plan, which Ashtar tells us is near completion reveals much of what Yeshua and the angels did not know about at that time - and they cannot know exactly what will happen because this will take place while they are with the raptured elect in the place of safety. Ashtar reveals the plan as having two phases and it is presented to humankind to indulge the ego to embrace godhood status.

The Great Evacuation (Plan)

Phase I: of the Great Exodus of souls from the planet will take place at a moment's notice when its determined that the inhabitants are in danger.

Phase II: This second phase, immediately following the first, is vital as we return for the children of all ages and races.

The first thing that raises red flags here is they intend removing people claiming they are being rescued from cataclysm - but they leave the children to collect afterwards. Surely... if there was a serious cataclysmic event, that required immediate evacuation, the children should be the priority.

You see... this sounds like they want to remove the adults (who have been duped into thinking they are being rescued) so the children can be easily accessed by the demons for goodness knows what. Thus... the angels nor the Son of Man know what will happen at this time - because the place of safety exists outside this time frame.

Ashtar (satan) goes on to reassure those who have been left behind will not escape the plan - even as the great tribulation is implemented to remove those who refuse to accept the mark of the beast. He presents the information in a positive (you'll be fine) light - so they don't become concerned nor unduly upset with the (invading) aliens.

As if participation in this first (temporary) lift-up of souls, who serve with them, is a good thing. This is a foregone conclusion that holds no guarantee of delivery. Ashtar goes on to say they have an action in the plan for those they are unable to remove from the planet - and they will be taken for instructions or, perhaps, receive them in some other manner.

They ask those who escaped not take any personal affront so they can be altered later - which sounds (suspiciously) like a very polite, "We're gonna get you."

The point of all this is... the world is presented with two simple options:

- 1) Trust Yeshua - who laid His life down.
- 2) Trust Ashtar - who destroys innocence.

The Ascended Masters have already succeeded in creating a hybrid human-demon creatures. They want to introduce to the Earth as their new home - so how does any transhumanist know for certain the demons (who have a track record of lying and deceiving) are going to let any of them live when they have created a race that can inhabit the earth. For all they know... they may eliminate every last human so they can secure a home for their hybrid children.

Last time I looked... the other planets didn't have much tourist attraction - unless you're into desolation. The thing is... those who were translated (raptured) in the beginning will return with Yeshua - and this is when the people who were deceived by satan (Ashtar) will realise the serum that altered the genome is going to keep them alive as they burn in the lake of fire.

This means they may well be cast into the a star where they will never escape. This all comes down to who owns the creation:

- Does it belong to satan and his demons?
- Does it belong to man who worship satan?

It belongs to the Most High creator, of all reality, who is Father to those who were murdered in the name of some fallen angel. It is His great pleasure to give the Kingdom of Heaven to His children. Thus... when Yeshua returns with all the hosts of heaven - they will reclaim what is rightfully theirs: but the world will be deceived into thinking they are the invading aliens, when the reality is: the invasion has already taken place.

The shift in consciousness is actually the veil being lifted and the serum, that renders a person into a kind of zombie that has no freewill, is the mark of the beast.

These zombies will think the Son of Man is an invading alien - but they will be filled with utter terror (even as the graves give up those who died in Christ). The question is... who do you believe: Ashtar or Yeshua? Keeping in mind Ashtar will try to say Yeshua was his servant who was sent to teach people to love one another.

Ashtar defines love as the assertion of authority over another - like a rapist or arsonist - because he has established a hierarchy placing himself at the top.

His kingship is to rule using hierarchy.

Yeshua defines love as walking in perfect humility and purity of heart the way children look without guile or prejudice at others.

His kingship is the perfection of equality.

THE RELATIVITY OF REALITY

Richard Dawkins wrote in his book 'The Magic Of Reality', "...although we can't see dinosaurs directly with our senses, we can work out that they must have existed, using indirect evidence that still ultimately reaches us through our senses: we can see and touch the stony traces of life [by the way we understand how fossils are formed]."

Let me rephrase that to a more profound allegory. "...although we can't see God directly with our senses, we can work out that He does exist, using indirect evidence that still ultimately reaches us through our senses: we can see and touch the holy scripture [by the way we understand how they were written and preserved].

Scripture gives personal eyewitness accounts that testify to the existence of a God.

Dawkins, it would seem, is quite capable of understanding the way indirect evidence can provide a picture for us to see the unseen - but he is biased in purporting such a method is only valid if it is used to exemplify naturalism.

Now... I don't want to condemn Dawkins because I understand he has personal issues which he attributes to the way people use a religion to abuse authority over others - and his anger is directed towards the God of Abraham, he claims does not exist, because he thinks God is responsible for allowing such evil to happen.

I'm quite sure he would be surprised to know: Yeshua (Jesus) took the very same issue with the priesthood in His day - because they should have known better to not assert authority over others given the fact that is the true source of evil in this world.

The point I'm making here is - atheists demand evidence, fully aware direct evidence is not available, even though the scripture tells us we live by faith - which means there is a reason why direct evidence is not available. We do, however, have plenty of indirect evidence which requires a particular mindset to recognise - and this can lead to direct evidence which, following a given path, will result in a personal experience.

These personal experiences can vary from feeling a hand upon the shoulder to light being poured into the eyes - but most experiences are mundane in the way a person might feel the presence of the Holy Spirit. Dawkins focuses on the five senses to determine the way reality we perceive and goes on to explain how instruments accentuate these senses to study reality that exists beyond the five senses.

Technically speaking... this is sufficient in order to qualify for the scientific method used to determine the nature of reality - because: even indirect evidence can provide sufficient insights into understanding the things beyond normal perception.

Logic is when one plus one is three - because the understanding of one part plus the understanding of another part reveals an understanding into an unperceived part. The only problem with this is science can enter into a frenzy of guessing where theorists crunch numbers to validate theories - that may never be proven true. Thus... many of the modern theories can only be seen as philosophy where they can be applied in academic universities.

String Theory, for example, may not have a practical application - but it has a functional application in the way it can teach students how to work with equations. The way we can work with direct and indirect evidence can facilitate a philosophical stance on the nature of reality - which means science is experiencing a kind of shift in paradigm: even as it clings to empirical methodologies.

This is because - the consciousness of mankind is moving toward a kind of split-personality that is characterised by perfidious and faithful people who only accept naturalism or intelligent design.

This scenario is arguably more real than the reality our five senses perceive - because the fundamental basis of all that is perceived is in danger of dissolving away leaving the residue of attitude people have in its wake. This may appear to be a bold claim but the scripture does testify to the existence of entropy - which, being the third law of thermodynamics, is the slow decay of all matter - and rigorous study can reveal this is the result of a flaw in the way a soul exemplifies its own characteristics.

Since science undertook the double-slit experiment - it has been struggling to reconcile the way consciousness affects reality using several philosophical ideologies that incorporate consciousness or theories that ignore the component of consciousness altogether.

The fact of the matter is... science doesn't want to acknowledge consciousness affects the wave-function of light - because they understand light is the source of all that is real given the fact we inhabit a holographic universe. This was confirmed by the study into black-holes that demonstrated a three dimensional object reverts back to its two base-dimensions as it tumbles into the black-hole and nears the singularity where the laws of physics break down.

This, essentially, means the object is destroyed because the atoms (information) return to the natural state of their two dimensions which is caused by the failure of the wave-function of light to maintain the hologram. Therefore... it would be fair to postulate - the scripture that says, "God created the Heaven and the Earth," was the preparation of the two base-dimensions and the moment He said, "Let there be light," then His observing the light was the initial account that brought the holographic universe into existence.

This is because His consciousness was what facilitated the wave-function of light - and His perfect mind was what ensured the original creation was perfect without the presence of entropy. The scripture goes on to say the perfect mind of the man, He created, became flawed when he partook of the forbidden fruit and learned of the possibility to assert authority over another.

This was the presence of sin - which is basically a flawed mindset that affects reality and causes entropy to permeate. We know it is the assertion of authority over another because - the creator commanded the man to not partake of the forbidden fruit and his disobedience is the assertion of authority over the creator.

God then confirms this by informing Eve her desire shall be to the man and he would rule over her - thus began the process of hierarchy which is the manifestation of sin - and a belief in the presence of hierarchy is sin - because all forms of idolatry and sexual immorality can be attributed to the assertion of authority over others.

Yahushua demonstrated a humble personae even though he had all the authority of God bestowed upon Him - which means He renounced any temptation to assert authority over others: even unto death. He would have learned to be responsible with the power (He already had) to use it only for the benefit of others - before He started His ministry.

The reason why I'm making a point of this is because Yeshua told us to be perfect as the Father is also perfect in Heaven - which means it is possible to be perfect.

Thus we are required to have faith in many things attributed to the Most High including His belief in you to be perfect. Perfection is required of all mankind to ensure reality does not experience entropy so it can remain eternal.

The problem is... this reality has already been contaminated by sin - so it is: Yahushua will return to teach everyone how to be perfect (if they are unsure how to achieve perfection now) with the Righteous Elect. During this time... those who refuse to acknowledge His authority to teach the truth will be discarded - so those who want to be pure can attain perfection.

After this Millennial Rule of Christ - the second resurrection will come and these will become incensed as they come against those who have been perfected. That is when the perfected will rise up to enter into Eternal Paradise - which will render this reality into a state of conflagration because the flawed mindset will not be able to sustain the hologram we currently inhabit.

This is to say... this reality does not even exist - regardless of the five senses or scientific evidence - because (ultimately) it will dissolve away given the fact it was ruined by the introduction of sin in the beginning.

Now... I'm sure you never expected to read about Yahushua in an article about the nature of reality - but this information confirms the message in scripture, to walk in perfect humility, has legitimate application in the way the creator wants to ensure the Eternal Paradise (He has prepared for the Righteous Elect) will remain eternal.

Science has observed the veracity of the three components that facilitate the wave-function is wholly dependent on each other to sustain a place of habitation - we can see is a hologram.

The three components are: the physical, the spiritual and the conscious soul that uses light to create the holographic universe. What this all comes down to is: everyone is faced with a choice to lust after power or walk in perfect humility. Yahushua is the perfect example of how to walk in perfect humility - so a faith in Him would be an important starting point.

THE THEORY OF TRUTH

There are three, presiding, theories of truth - that highlight the methodologies used in science to derive truth. One describes the truth as a correspondence that exists between belief and fact. The second describes the truth as a coherence, rather than a correspondence, which requires demonstrable logical relations with other truths (in a package). The third portrays the truth as a practical value which is referred to as a pragmatic truth.

Corresponding Truth

This is when a sentence, or proposition, corresponds with the reality involved.

For example ... the Moon shines so it may be considered a source of light (creates light) so statements regarding such a belief may be considered truth because they correspond with something that is observed.

Coherent Truth

This is when a package of information provides an understanding.

For example.... we (now) know the Moon reflects the light of the Sun because we can observe a waxing and waning of what appears to be a sphere object in space.

Pragmatic Truth

This, basically, means truth is what works - or to put that another way: a pragmatic truth is the (on-going) corroboration and verification of processes that function in an anticipated manner.

For example... a solar panel can draw power from the Sun because it creates photons - whereas the reflected light from the Moon is lacking in photoelectric energy.

This is how science determines what is and what is not truth - but these three theories display a fundamental flaw in the ability to articulate truth in accurate terms. This is because... accuracy, of truth, is dependent on information that has precise perception - which is why science has developed a method to verify deliberations. Then... there is a process of scrutiny after a hypothesis is submitted to determine if an idea can be considered a theory.

The problem with this is ... theory, having data that alludes to a coherent truth (such as mathematics that add up) is regarded as truth especially is it becomes pragmatic.

The truth is ... even pragmatic truth may contain error that is misleading.

The Theory of Evolution, for example, has corresponding truth because we can observe the changes within the kind of animals.

Then, at the genetic level, the corresponding truth is confirmed when we observe the rearrangement of genetic information is coherent with the changes observed. At some point we can see a pragmatic truth appear because we can genetically modify animals and plants to improvement.

However... evolution is predicated upon the increase in genetic information because life supposedly originated from a simple life from (having 1 chromosome) to the complex diversity of life we see today (having 46+ chromosomes). This development has never been demonstrated in any scientific research laboratory - so the data is not comprehensive enough to qualify for coherent truth.

Furthermore... the ability to manipulate the genome may have practical value - but that is not 'natural selection' so it cannot qualify for pragmatic truth.

Other arguments for evolution include the fossil record - but the first layer consists of complex diversity of life: so this fails to demonstrate any gradual development. The point is... the three theories of truth serve as the foundation for scientific methodology - but they are flawed because they do not address morality (even though science endeavours to sharpen the perceptive quality of observation).

For example... the Theory of Evolution is based upon the preservation of favoured races which means it deludes the elitist mentality to discard the basic rights of another to life. In other words... it fosters racism. The truth is beyond simple definition because it is relative to the subjective observation of objectivity.

This is why it can be considered correspondent, cohesive or pragmatic.

The difference is: a truth that is relative to the subjective observation of objectivity can encompass a personal level that extends beyond the correspondent, cohesive and pragmatic. For example... a person can feel the heat of the Sun, streaming photons of energy, but they cannot feel any heat emanating from the Moon - so it's easy to note the difference. This, then, means the subjective experience of the heat is sufficient to share as an objective appreciation with others - since the Sun can be seen - and felt - by anyone who looks to a clear sky during the day.

Thus... everyone can agree on this.

On the other hand... a person may be a subjective observer of change within the kind of animals and this can be shared as an objective reality with others - but this requires diligent observation so the results are documented.

Thus... others are dependent upon the credibility of those asserting such observations - and this was why science took up the role. Of course... it would only require one person to notice animals only change within their kind to realise 'evolution' is not true.

This happened and evolution became vehemently defended under the pretence of scientific credibility - when the theory was challenged. Moral issues were ignored by those who want it to be true - and this is the problem with truth in its capacity to manifest itself. People are motivated to believe what they want to believe because the subjectivity of an immoral individual cannot relate to the subjectivity of a moral individual.

The ground for objectivity, then, becomes a no-man's land as the battle for authority ensues. Science has suffered greatly at the hands of those who refuse to bury naturalism - even as it began to assert mathematical modelling as a guarantee of accuracy. Under these conditions the truth (defined by philosophy) became increasingly subject to interpretation to contend with anomalies.

If science included the fourth stage of establishment (after pragmatic truth) called the Moral Truth - they would have the means to ensure the truth they establish is absolute. For example... they would recognise evolution would cause erosion in society and immediately scrap it - before allowing it to damage the credibility of science.

Moral Truth

This is when the truth is relative to the subjective observation of objectivity - or to put that another way: truth is the purveyor of morality because it distinguishes right from wrong.

In Conclusion

There are three standing theories of truth that allow a gradual understanding of that which is observed - but we need to have a fourth to root out errors that cause apostasy.

In other words... to know the truth is to personify the truth: because an appreciation for the moral implications associated with understanding fosters a society that holds morality to high esteem.

Anyway... I guess we can all hope for this.

THE DEATH OF INTELLIGENCE

I hear atheists lay claim to reason and critical thinking - but I see no evidence for this.

In fact they display the opposite.

They don't even understand logic is $1+1=3$ - given the fact one part information plus a second another part information provides a third part (assumed) information. When I point out this basic premise: they scoff and argue - which only serves to highlight the delusion they have embraced.

I mean... seriously... how hard is it to understand: prediction within a scientific theory is the third part information?

Delusion is the greatest problem facing humankind - because the whole world is awash with so much confusion: everyone is convinced their own truth (which they glean from various sources) is 'the' truth. They express their ideologies in various ways - which include: pretending, pleading, demanding, influencing, deceiving, disparaging, threatening etc. We have all seen narcissistic behaviour in those who cannot abide by any disagreement with their worldview.

Technically... everyone is tolerating each other - for the sake of peace and quiet - as they struggle to secure some measure of happiness in a world gone mad. I could see there was something wrong with this world when I was seven years old - but it took a spiritual journey to overcome the quandary of nonsense.

It started with a challenge.

You see... I took John 1:5 as a challenge to make the darkness comprehend the light - since I was (rather) inept at articulating myself. This may appear to be hubris - but I set my goals ridiculously high because I thought success would bring depression - so I humoured myself - thinking my goal was an impossible task to achieve.

Unfortunately... this led me down some strange paths for two obvious reasons:

- 1) I had to comprehend the light (myself).
- 2) I had to confront the darkness (personally).

To comprehend the light - I had to remove all the darkness from my presence.
To confront the darkness - I had to be in the presence of darkness.

As you can imagine ... this was a paradox that would be a non-starter but for the fact I had no idea what I was getting myself into.

Had I known the challenge involved such an absurdity - I would have been overwhelmed by the scale and scope of such a quasi-postulate oxymoron - and not bothered. Thus ... my lack of understanding allowed me to venture a pilgrimage beyond quotidian. I started by seeking answers in the churches and quickly discovered they were inept at appreciating my questions - let alone delivering any plausible answers. I met up with two individuals who, feeling disillusioned by the teachings of the church, showed some promise - because they were passionate about exposing lies.

They helped me appreciate delusion was a horrific component of society - which heightened my sense of intrigue. However... I was, at this time, unable to effectively participate in their discussions - so I decided to read (all) the scripture for myself.

I even delved into the non-canonical scripture with the humility to placate the Will of God - and it was there is discovered the Name of God transcends the audio into the visual. By this time I had found a good mentor who confirmed the Name of God is made manifest in the way we abound in the love of Christ - which is exemplified in perfect humility - such as the lifestyle Yahushua lived.

This is to say... a comprehension of the light is when the soul can identify with the cohesive personification of the perfect light. This manifests the unutterable, ineffable, Name of God - when the soul becomes truth: because that fulfils the Law of God.

Having received this profound insight - it was time to confront the darkness - so I could broach the challenge. In other words... I was equipped to take the spiritual war to the dragon and face / off with satan himself.

This was (truly) an epic journey because the darkness flees from the presence of light - and uses any seat to attack those of the light. The attacks were not limited to verbal abuse - because they included false accusations that lead to personal suffering too.

This never bothered me, as such, because the Father in Heaven is the judge of all - and He knows the heart of every individual. They should remove all sources of delusion - so the world can choose to embrace reality or illusion. You see... delusion, as I'm sure you already understand, denies us the right to choose - and leaves us with illusion as the only viable option

Anyway... long story short - I decided to provide examples of how delusion infects the mind - so we can courage the vicissitude of ascension. This is where the distinction between the light and darkness needs to be clarified - so people can wield the sword (truth), we were given, to decisively undo all the work of the evil one - and everything rests upon intelligence - or, to be more accurate, the capacity to learn.

The problem is... intelligence is (literally) being murdered - because delusion is acting like a stranglehold on any hope of learning. I have seen ants display more intelligence than some people - because they understood a simple request to not enter my home.

Atheists assume they have knowledge - yet: they dismiss pertinent information, such as the difference between direct and indirect evidence, which (then) renders them incapable of learning. Theists assume they have knowledge of the Word of God - yet: they are oblivious to the duality within the scripture - which (then) renders them incapable of learning.

The ability to learn is characterised by the capacity to receive and assimilate information - which means: receive light (enlightenment).

The darkness, being arrogant in its ignorance, cannot receive light - because it refuses to acknowledge the source of light. The darkness is aware of the light but it considers the light inferior because it can drive out light from the soul.

This is a false premise for power because it is usurped and not earned.

This is to say... intelligence is practically dead - since people are convinced their own understanding (perception) is the truth. To make matters worse ... there are people who preach the 'know your truth' attitude that is murdering intelligence. These people claim to be 'enlightened' or anointed to preach the truth - yet ... they lack any understanding of the truth.

They only thing they do is defile the Name of God claiming their efforts are done in the Name of God. Likewise... the atheists, in their delusions, are under the impression Yahushua (Jesus) set out to establish a religion that is the same as the ancient mystery religions. The level of ignorance is staggering.

The question is: how have all these people developed their delusions?

Basically: self-interest has galvanised their world views within the environment they live. Everyone wants to be happy, secure and accepted - so we (all) pursue:

- 1) a perceived happiness
- 2) a perceived security
- 3) a perceived acceptance

Money plays a significant role in seducing the soul to develop perceptions - because we imagine wealth empowers the freedom to find happiness, security and acceptance.

However... this is a false premise - because:

- 1) happiness = a clean conscience
- 2) security = a clean heart
- 3) acceptance = a clean lifestyle

This means... we only need to be clean in all areas of our lives if we are to avoid delusion. In other words... perversion causes delusion because the soul seeks to justify uncleanness and it is the belief in it's own reasoning that formulates the delusion. The word 'confusion' in scripture is the same as perversion - so there is a connection.

This is to say... we are all capable of deluding ourselves if we pursue self-interests that causes the soul to become unclean. This 'uncleanness' is the feeling of guilt.

Guilt is the impetus for the creation of delusion because such feelings demand restitution of a clean conscience - and that leads to the creation of religion.

Religion, then, is the key component that allows delusion to fester in the world.

This is because a set of apparent cohesive truths (doctrines) establish a foundation for the mind to build a belief system. Apparent cohesive truths include:

- 1) The mystery religion doctrines
- 2) The unproven theories in science
- 3) The legislation of secular systems

These are deadly in their delivery - because the mind is galvanised into a deception that is virtually impossible to escape. Take, for example, the Christian faith that has adopted a plethora of doctrines from the ancient mystery religion.

If you explain a doctrine is false and highlight how it defiles the Name of God: they choose to deny the Name of God - because the false doctrine is linked to other false doctrines that locks the mind in its delusion.

The same goes for the atheists who see a plethora of 'indirect' evidence and assume the Theory of Evolution is proven true. Delusion, then, depends upon several (believed) lies to exists in the mind. The ego loves to think it knows the truth - regardless of any information that throws a point of view into question.

Now ... I'm not here to bash anyone.

When it comes to the unproven theories in science - I don't know if they are true or not: but I can see the moral implications of establishing a belief founded upon the ideologies they represent.

When it comes to the pagan doctrines in the churches - I don't know if they true or not: but I can see the moral implications of establishing a belief founded upon the ideologies they represent. Thus ... it is the immorality - caused by a flawed belief system - that exposes the delusion.

There was a certain man who decided he would spend the day at the beach.

He parked his car and found the path leading to the beach and made his way through the shrubbery - which had been cut or trampled. He heard the sound of a strimmer and thought the grass had been cut - so he continued along the path and found two gloves on the path. He realised the gloves belonged to the person strimming the grass - so he picked them up and started looking for the workman.

The hedges were as tall as twelve feet on either side - so he could only hear the sound of the strimmer in the distance as he made his way toward the sound. Suddenly... a man appeared at an entrance so the man thought he might be the owner of the gardens and enquired, "Do you live here?" as the buzzing sound continued in the distance.

He smiled and said, "No... I just work here."

The man continued toward sound of the strimmer and came upon a gate. He could see he would have to go into someone's garden to find the worker then realised he had just passed one of the workers. He turned to find the worker had walked toward the gate himself - and, there, he offered the gloves.

"These must be yours," he said.

He accepted the gloves with a smile and said, "No they're the Boss's."

The man continued toward the beach.

Now ... this parable (which did actually happen to myself recently) highlights how easy it is to overlook pertinent information.

My mind was fixed on the sound - so I missed the opportunity to hand over the gloves when I stumbled upon the worker. It was only after I realised, I couldn't venture into someone's garden, my mind was able to appreciate I had passed one of the workers.

This means our minds become locked in a belief it creates, from the information it perceives, and the only way to escape is when something forces us to stop and think.

That's what this article is intended to do.

Side Note - To The Parable

Israel (the certain man) has found something (the gloves) that belong to the Father.

They search for Him: but they failed to appreciate Yahushua (the worker) can legitimately take possession of the item.

They will find the truth (the gate) soon and realise who Yahushua is.

They will - then - continue toward Eternal Paradise (the beach) after they return the item.

The problem is... delusion is systematically manufactured to create a world that is rank with confusion (which is perversion). This is, supposedly, because the elite want to be in a position to foster an entrepreneurial mindset (from the herd) so technologies can advance mankind to that of godhood status.

A system that cultivates strong leaders, who only acknowledge the authority of man, will inevitably support the manufacture of delusion - because the system is secured by the separation of master and servant. There cannot be a master if there is no servant who empowers the master and servant system - and vice versa.

This means... the manufacturer, and management, of delusion is purposed to secure an usurped authority over others. This suggests there is a concerted effort to assert a hierarchical system which is counterproductive to the scripture which teaches us to not lord it over one another.

Ironically... these opposing points of view can be described as:

- 1) The Name of God - which is made manifest in the way we abound in the love of Christ: in true humility (perfect equality) and...
- 2) The number of the beast - which has a six within the equation for three pyramids: in a secular system that fosters authority and power among men.

These diametrically opposed views are only discernable when we strip (all) the false doctrines from the Christian faith.

The true teaching of Yahushua - was intended to cultivate a relationship with the Father in Heaven (and not establish any kind of religion). The ability to acknowledge the relationship is a faith means it is not a knowledge assumed - so there is no danger of delusion (unless, of course, doctrines are falsified).

How are we, then, to determine the true nature of reality to avoid delusions?
If all of reality is shown to be an illusion how can we scale the walls of delusion?

Well... the scripture tells us: the Most High described Himself as 'I Am That I Am' which was (literally) telling us - He is the only thing that is real. Then... Yahushua tells us this reality will pass away and only His words will remain - which means: those He names will survive the great conflagration that is due.

This seems pretty wild - because we are being told the only thing that is real in this reality are those who are sanctified into the Name of God. This is like saying ... the two (opposing) ideologies of hierarchical secularism and perfect equality are going to polarise: prior to this reality dissolving away - and it would appear - the only mentality that is going to survive is the attitude of humility one has for the Most High.

Atheists say 'belief is the death of intelligence' - but the truth is: delusion is the death of intelligence - since they their own beliefs. Belief is what locks the door after a perception (be it delusion or otherwise) is galvanised by the attitude one has toward the Most High. The worship of anything, other than the Most High, will create a delusion - because the tainted soul will ignore the warnings (guilt) and rationalise immorality and idolatry - then deny obvious facts to continue in its immoral behaviour - thus rendering the mind incapable of learning.

THE STORY OF H₂O

Phrases such as nuclear physics or nuclear fission are derived from the word nucleus which is the central mass of atoms. The atom is made of one or more protons with a similar number of neutrons that are called nucleons and one or more electrons in a kind of orbit around the nucleus.

All three constituents are called fermions.

Protons have a positive electric charge.
Electrons have a negative electric charge.
Neutrons have neutral electric charge.

If the number of protons and electrons are equal, the atom is (electrically) neutral.
If an atom has more or less protons than electrons then it is a negative or positive ion.

The electrons are attracted to the protons, in the nucleus, by electromagnetic force. The protons and neutrons, in the nucleus, are attracted by the nuclear force to each other. Nuclear force is usually stronger than the electromagnetic force - which repels the positively charged protons from one another.

If the (repelling) electromagnetic force becomes stronger than the nuclear force, nucleons may be ejected from the nucleus, creating a different element.

This is called nuclear decay (radiation) which results in innuclear transmutation. The number of protons in the nucleus defines what chemical element the atom belongs to.

Hydrogen, for example, is made up of one proton and a nucleus - having no neutrons while the hydron ion has no electrons.

Oxygen, on the other hand, is made up of eight protons and a nucleus.

The number of electrons influences the magnetic properties of an atom whereas the number of neutrons defines the isotope of the element.

Atoms can attach to one or more other atoms, by covalent bonds (chemical bonds), to form compounds called molecules. Molecules provide a physical presence of things we see and the ability of atoms to associate and dissociate with and from each other is responsible for the changes we observe in nature.

A molecule that combines two parts Hydrogen and one part Oxygen, for example, creates water (H₂O).

H₂O can take on different states depending on the temperature it is subjected to:

- At zero kelvin - H₂O is condensate (unstable)
- Between 0 k and 273.15 k - H₂O is ice
- Between 273.2 k and 373.15 k - H₂O is water
- Between 373.2 k and 142 Q k - H₂O is gas
- At 142 Q kelvin - H₂O is plasma (unstable)

Zero kelvin is 'absolute zero' and is -273.15 °c.

142 Quintillion kelvin is the Planck Temperature of $1.416833(85) \times 10$ to the power of 32 k which is maximum heat. The extreme conditions of hot and cold causes atoms to become unstable and lose their identity where the physics breaks down.

This identity crisis is called molecular disorder and is, at absolute zero, when matter becomes a superconductor - which means the flow of electrical charge has no resistance. During the state of molecular disorder the particles revert to the wave and behave like strings that become enmeshed in a kind of chaotic soup.

However... if the collapse of the wave-function is controlled - they can determine the direction of spin the particles have which means they can utilise instantaneous transfer of information using quantum entanglement.

The Einstein–Podolsky–Rosen Paradox

Einstein, and his colleagues, submitted a proposal to explain this 'spooky action at a distance' - as it was called - because it flew in the face of his theories. Science had observed a super position of function that showed how connected particles would affect each other regardless of distance.

They observed a transferral of information, that was instantaneous, but they had no explanation for the phenomena.

This defied the Theory of Relativity - that said nothing can travel faster than the speed of light - so Einstein, obviously, didn't approve. He, and his colleagues Podolski and Rosen, developed an explanation that said particles had Hidden Variables - which meant particles were pre-programmed to respond to each other before they came into existence.

The problem with this is - there would have to be a vast amount of information contained within the particle - and that sounds unintelligible.

Nevertheless... the idea took hold and science (now) has two possible explanations for Quantum Entanglement. However... there is a third possible explanation that is much more plausible.

The quantum wave-particles, they observe, are in the process of collapsing from a wave to a particle - which is when the direction of spin is determined. If this process is not subject to time - it would be easy for the particles to communicate with each other instantly. This means, science is actually observing the particles beyond the frame of time they, themselves, are operating within - when the atoms change state.

This may sound strange - but we are talking about particles and waves that are so small - the gravity fluctuates as they change state: which means time (itself) has variance in these conditions. Furthermore... the collapse of the wave-function, observed with these atoms, are also intelligible for understanding the collapse of the wave-function of light.

The difference between these is: light is not trapped, the way atoms are contained in some defined structure, so the photon particle of light (packet of energy) is unrestricted in its capacity to change into a wave.

This lack of restriction allows consciousness to affect the constitution of light the way science observes in the double-slit experiment. I'm not proposing the wave / particle is not existent in time but rather the moment of transition from particle to wave and vice versa is. The transition from particle to wave is contained within the structure of the atoms, in the molecule, so it is possible to observe the moment it syncs in and out of time. This is (then) governed by the nuclear and electromagnetic forces involved.

The moment the molecule enters a state of condensate or plasma it breaks down so any return to normality (between the entropic variables in thermodynamics of hot and cold) will not deliver H₂O but, rather, the individual elements of Hydrogen and Oxygen. This depends upon the severity of disorder the molecule experiences - because it is possible for nuclear fusion or fission to begin creating other elements in these states.

Science recognises time is dependent upon entropic variables which is non-existent and / or omni-existent beyond the states of plasma and condensate (negative temperature).

Negative temperature = reverse time.

Positive temperature = forward time.

Thus... the moment time flips from one direction to another - it momentarily ceases to exist: and in that moment, of non-time, all of reality is motionless in eternity. This all sounds rather sci-fi or philosophy that is used to explain the strange phenomena they observe at the quantum level.

Of course... science is focused on studying the oscillations between the directions in the positive and negative of t-prime (t') and t-squared (t²) which are inversely proportional to each other as they undergo transition. This occurs when they collapse and revert at the moment of change between plasma and gas or condensate and solid.

Technically... this is the cross symmetry that describes how Quantum Entanglement, Spooky Action and the Uncertainty Principle work within a kind of temporal loop. Science is pursuing answers in the mechanism of this time-loop because the non-locality of particles allows them to develop instant communication possibilities.

In other words... they want to establish sub-space communications [Beam me up Scotty] so they can make space-travel easier - and build super computers.

The wave-function of light also exists in a non-time state because light-speed causes time (for the photons) to shift near zero.

The subject becomes increasingly complex because they recognise several types of particles that have various charges and spin with a myriad of forces and even two Higgs-Fields where particles interact with reality. Science has developed the hypothesis (become theory before demonstrability) attitude that exasperates the situation at every level too - so things are not looking good.

It's like science has created a meat grinder for data and they just pour the info in one end and crunch the numbers until they get a product that resembles meat flushed out the other end.

The truth is... mince meat is not actual meat but it is edible - and that's the problem.

They are digesting their own theories with a pinch of seasoning (celebrity status) convinced they are drawing accurate conclusions - which renders them vulnerable to mistakes and in extreme cases: delusional. Science can observe the effect of the shift in time-direction - even as the evidence for each shift is an observable paradox that results in quantum entanglement.

The capacity for time to be non-existent within an environment where it does exist means there is a profound relationship going on that is beyond our perceptive powers.

The double-slit experiment, for example, demonstrates the wave-function is subject to the conscious mind which renders the relationship: serious. Science can see all mass is subject to the relationship between existent and non-existent time: where the wave-function yields to consciousness. If you are understanding me here you will realise I'm showing how science is attempting to demystify magic - or sorcery - in their attempts to empower man.

What has all this got to do with H₂O?

H₂O is vital for precipitation (cycle of water from sea to cloud to rivers etc) to ensure life has the nourishment it needs to survive. Water can do this, with relative safety, because it can change states within the thermodynamic reference where physics (standard model) operates - yet they insist on studying the effects beyond these parameters. Science is like an inquisitive child smashing a toy to understand how it works - which means their lack of care is going to render this reality it a state of ruin (they cannot repair).

They are (basically) reading the oscillations between the entropic variables to try and understand how reality exists. What they have failed to consider is the conditions, in which molecular disorder can occur, will yield varying results - just as the change in state between water and ice can create different patterns of snowflakes.

Science has studied the creation of snowflakes at a molecular level in various environmental conditions - with a relatively high degree of success: but they are (still) unable to accurately explain exactly how snowflakes are able to form. The point I'm making is... the study of molecular disorder is vastly more complex than molecular structuring: so it's unlikely they will ever succeed in resolving this puzzle.

This is especially true when we are aware the change in state (at the molecular disorder range) is the moment time fluctuates between forward and reverse - which technically facilitates two timeless moments.

It's like the broken clock is right twice a day.

Of course... the clock is not a good representation of time anyway - so it doesn't matter if it's broken or working. The point is... science is studying these fluctuating states like a person observing a broken clock - and twice a day the moment of fixed happens (unnoticed). Science is in a situation where it can see the water it is drowning in - but instead of learning to swim: its trying to describe the water.

The question is... at what point will they realise they are drowning?

You see... this reality will conflagrate in a flash when entropy accelerates out of control - so the notion of gradual decay taking billions of years is not practical. Science knows this is a real possibility - so it defies all colours of logic to deny the existence of a creator: knowing such a thing.

Their efforts will amount to zero in the end.

If they are motivated by their insatiable lust for knowledge - like an inquisitive child that wants to understand - so it would be sad to see them forfeit the opportunity to escape the impending doom they see. The double-slit experiment was unequivocal in procuring consciousness as an integral component of reality.

The problem is... this has burst open the floodgates for mystery religion and philosophy to assert their mystical nonsense - even as they prepare studies into the effects of applied psychic abilities (with meditative discipline).

This information is telling us this reality is effected by our consciousness because light is subject to observation. Given the fact science has recently postulated the universe is holographic in nature - we can see the conscious mind plays a fundamental role in the sustainability of the hologram.

The scripture has told us how the three components, that create a hologram, were used to establish reality in the Book of Genesis. A hologram requires a two dimensional surface and light to create the three-dimensional image we can see.

The two dimensions are called Heaven and Earth (classical mechanics and quantum mechanics) and light was spoken into existence then observed.

The moment light was observed (as explained in the Book of Genesis) we are told it was good: so it doesn't take a genius to recognise this was the moment the holographic universe came into existence. The problem was... the consciousness of man became flawed when he decided to assert his own authority over others - and that was when the flaw introduced entropy (which is the presence of sin that causes decay). From the perspective of the creator - this reality was destroyed in a flash when that happened because He exists outside time.

Thus... He decided to let mankind observe the effects of sin which includes the rank evil that crawls and festers within society.

Those who recognise this and care to effect change within will look to the only person who established a benchmark for morality. The truth is... that's the only thing that matters. The scripture tells us we are born into flesh (baptised in water) and then, after accepting Yahushua as Lord and Saviour, we are born into spirit (baptised in fire).

This may have much symbolic reference - but we are made of 60% water and the baptism of fire is (technically) the endurance of tribulation - in which we learn to placate the heart to the Father in Heaven.

This is to say... there is a process of cleansing spoken of here where the consciousness of the soul can circumcise the flaw from the heart (which is the root of self-indulgence) so the soul can purge itself of sin. If science has observed this reality is a hologram that is brought into existence by consciousness: we have an irreducible complex account - that cannot be reconciled to naturalism.

In fact... science is not only able to confirm the possibility Eternal Paradise has been prepared (as Yahushua promised) - but we also know this reality will pass away (as Yahushua said it would) which means we are all caught in a deadly situation.

A situation where Yahushua is the only one who can save us - by baptising the soul in fire: so we may be purified ready for translation.

You see... if Eternal Paradise has been prepared for us - there is no way the Father in Heaven is going to allow sin to ruin it. This means... we are all, whether we like it or not, are faced with a simple choice to:

- 1) make the most of the brief life we have in this world and face entering a reality that has no place of habitation as vapour or...
- 2) purge the soul of all sexual immorality and idolatry with the help of Yahushua through faith to enter into Eternal Paradise.

Of course... it's not easy to achieve this - but a soul that is called to the Father: will not hesitate to endure the vicissitudes of sanctification into the Name of God. The body, being made of water, is the perfect vessel that allows the soul to develop a living spirit - as it goes through purgatory - to create a perfect Child of Light.

Everything else is distraction or nonsense intended to seduce the soul to death.

That is the true story of H2O.

(This section was a roller-coaster for me too – Ed.)

THERE IS NO SPOON

I thought I'd write about the human condition to cook up answers that are inaccurate. I use the word 'cook' because the word is often associated with accountants fiddling the books - because a chef can add or subtract ingredients to make a meal edible.

Thus... we can see how information is a digestible commodity in modern society - so it would behave us to approbate the truth.

Take for example... $4+5=9$

If we see $?+5=9$ we know ? Is 4 because we understand how adding works.

However... in today's climate of increased knowledge: we often see $?+5=?$. Thus, a so-called 'expert' will come along and make up the numbers to create an answer such as $3+5=8$. This answer looks correct because, let's face it, $3+5=8$ is accurate but for the fact - the three might be a two making the eight a seven or the eight may be a six making the the three a one.

Scientists, in their struggle to understand the nature of reality, and theologians, in their struggle to understand the creator, decide which combination is correct. They (then) call the equation that creates an answer a prediction or discernment of prophecy.

Of course... this is a basic equation where one number (five) was assumed to be correct - so as to highlight the problem mankind is facing.

That problem being ... human understanding is founded upon guesswork which means the edifice of understanding, that adorns civilisation, is built upon shifting sands. This is evident in science because we see the 'experts' present several theories to explain what science has observed - and they argue over which one they 'like' best.

Theologians also deliver idea after idea in their assertions - regarding prophecies - but they (often) lack the courage to actually discuss their ideologies.

Everyone, it seems, is a chef - and their favourite ingredient is leaven. This is because they embrace philosophy both consciously and subconsciously to make their own understanding intelligible. Unfortunately... the situation has gotten so out of hand they don't even care if the one number (five) in their equations / deliberations is actually accurate. It's like they have started using $?+?=?$ to make up anything that feels right - and this concerns me: because it is effecting a great delusion.

The equation $3+5=8$ is right so it's easy to believe the answer is correct - when the truth is: it could be $4+5=9$ or $1+5=6$ or $2+5=7$ (assuming the five is accurate).

This is what science critics are trying to tell scientists or the truther trolls are trying to tell theologians. They highlight the anomalies they see - because it's only natural to point out the inconsistencies we see in things. This, atmosphere of contention, is not healthy - because it leaves the soul drained when we argue over such things.

The human condition, it would seem, craves simplicity because it intrinsically knows: peace is the product of simplicity. Sane people want to live and let live - but peace is elusive in a world rank with confusion. The problem is... the human condition also craves power because it intrinsically knows: security is the product of power. Sane want to live in safety - but power is corrupted in a world leavened with pride. Vanity (which is self love) blinds the soul to light - so, it is, we see the increase of confusion and power that leads to the denial of truth.

The world, then, grows colder and darker - as each soul becomes convinced: it's every man for himself: creating a runaway effect that leads to the perversion of purity.

Ultimately... the delusion will become so great: people will accept a charismatic individual who promises peace and safety that apricates civilisation with technology and ideologies. However... that 'utopian dream' will be founded upon the shifting sands of uncertainty - because this reality is a full-blown illusion.

It is not possible to secure peace in a world where one person asserts authority over another - because peace is achieved when the mind is resting beyond the presence of fear. Fear is felt by those who are subject to the whim of another - such is the nature of evil that seeks to establish hierarchy.

The number of the beast is said to be a human number - six hundred and sixty six - which means it is an equation. The equation for a pyramid has a single six beneath a common denominator - which means the number of the beast is describing the three pyramid structures of:

- 1) Politics and Business = false prophet.
- 2) Apostate Religions = anti-Christ
- 3) Military / Police = the beast.

The false prophet calculates prediction, such as science and business does, and also makes empty promises of a brighter future - which is what politicians do. The anti-Christ deceives the soul, such as mystery religion and philosophy does, and also seduces the ego to pursue power - which is what ideologies do.

The beast wields fear, such as a dictator and government does, and it subjects the individual to capitulation - which is what bullies do.

These describe the presence of sin in the world and, as such, they manifest the name of satan - given the fact self-aggrandisement is rebellion against the Word of God.

This means we can plainly see, with our own eyes, how evil is growing in strength in a world that is saturated in confusion. This does not mean we should retaliate against the people who insist hierarchy is necessary (such as the government or the elite) but, rather, we need to edify people to root out apostasy and circumcise the leaven from the heart. They (elites) have declared, what they have called, a war of ideas - but the reality is: there is the showdown between good and evil.

On the one side - we have the elitist mindset who claim hierarchy (that is clearly defined and organised) is the only way peace can be achieved and sustained in this world. These are the children of darkness.

On the other side - we have the elect mindset who understand perfection (that preserves the Name of God) is the only way all of reality can be sustained as an eternal habitat. These are the Children of Light.

The difference between the two is:

The children of darkness want to use this reality to explore other realities - while keeping the multitude in a state of ignorance (death). The Children of Light want to bring the multitude into a state of remembrance (life) - because they know this reality will pass away.

Therefore ... it is (critically) important to understand $?+5=?$ is $?+5=?$ and not $2+5=7$ or $4+5=9$ or $1+5=6$ etc. You see... it's not always prudent to pursue an answer - when answers create more questions. Many of the great figures, in scripture, went into the wilderness to clear their minds - so as to receive revelation.

In the wilderness... the soul (person) can rest from all the nonsense, in civilisation, to placate the mind into a malleable frame of reference so the truth can reveal itself.

This is where the mind can see all the possible answers (such as $2+5=7$ an $3+5=8$ etc.) and realises: there's nothing wrong with $?+5=?$.

Most people are told the answer is this or that and they accept it is as such - so they never seek the truth (which highlights the point). Atheists see $3+5=8$ and they argue that is the truth while theists see $2+5=7$ so they argue that is the truth - and the merry go round goes round and round to the song of insanity.

Then ... a gnostic might see $4+5=9$ so they are content with being different - even as the satanists might see $1+5=6$ and think they know some secret. Unfortunately... many see things like $2+5=3$ and they like to play their hand - which does little more than exasperate the situation.

A wise man comes along and points out $?+5=?$ is $?+5=?$ and they kill him - because the soul is naturally inclined to obsess into religiosity. How can one save a world spiralling into an abyss of insanity - when they refuse to accept the answer is in the question? This reality is going to pass away.

The only thing keeping this universe together (where the entropic variable is low) is the presence of light - which resides within the hearts of the pure. Science is aware consciousness (divine aspect of the soul) affects reality because it is a hologram dependent upon light to exist.

Science knows all of reality, as we know it, can disappear in the blink of the eye. This should raise pertinent questions such as: what else does the wise man know?

He will tell you, "Do not try to bend the question mark to create an exclamation mark. Instead, only realise the truth - there is no question mark. Then you will see ... it is you who bends."

WHAT'S WRONG WITH SCIENCE?

During the early 17th Century - science was undergoing a transformation where it would separate itself from philosophy and religion. Prior to this time - science and philosophy were considered the same thing (which is why many ancient philosophers were seen as scientists and philosophers in equal capacity).

While there were people like Galileo and Copernicus who presented their findings in a methodological manner - science was developing the empirical means to explain nature using mathematics gradually. It wasn't until Sir Francis Bacon inspired the establishment of the Royal Society of London - that holds the scientific method as the means to explicate the veracity of accurate observation - science started to emerge as a discipline of reputation in its own right.

By the time Sir Isaac Newton presented his empirical understanding of optics and gravity - the stage was set for science to separate itself from philosophy - having (once) been strange bedfellows. This is to say... the scientific method gradually evolved into the modern application of understanding nature and reality - and this caused friction between those who are perfidious and those who are invested in faith.

Of course... philosophy has never (really) departed from science because the use of mathematics to predict understanding of reality gave way to Theoretical Science - and, as such, logic was defined as one plus one is three: which means - one part information plus another part information allowed us to recognise another (often unseen) part information.

This means science uses 'logic' to describe the inexplicable - because the intuitive nature of philosophical ideology has proven to mislead our understanding of reality. In other words... science has developed a perfidious attitude that fosters naturalism under the impression this approach is unquestionable. Unfortunately... this is the great delusion - because modern science cannot empiricalize the source of information while it fails to consider the nature of reality is the product of two dimensions where consciousness facilitates the third dimensional aspect we inhabit.

Where is this leading?

Well... science has the experience to appreciate intuition is an integral component of understanding reality - but it is reluctant to acknowledge the necessity for intuition because it considers the intuitive approach, used by philosophers, to be blindness.

Science, by its nature, wants to see (understand reality) - because this kind of sight allows man to empower himself - and that's the problem. Science has been used as the means to improve living conditions.

The court magicians were, for example, able to build air conditioning machines for the king - so it is understandable science has received the stamp of approval throughout history - especially when scientists have invented war machines to improve the odds of preserving a way of life and sustain (perceived) peace.

Today... science is already aware it cannot ignore the evidence it sees - lest it embraces mystery religion to sustain its own survival - and it has become a religion in its own right - because many of the theories it considers true are improvable.

Many will follow science into the abyss - when this happens - because they refuse to acknowledge only the pious can maintain the reality of any given realm. A time will come when science will quantify the cause of entropic variables - as levels of fluctuating iniquity in the world - and that is when they will know: the opportunity to live by faith is gone. This is the tragedy of science - as it seeks to destroy itself (under the impression it has the authority to empower mankind).

I feel quite sad for science - really - because I love the noble pursuit of truth: when it observes the nature of reality in awe (without guile).

If science has a mind to embrace oblivion - there's no reason for others to follow.

This reality is going to dissolve away - a lot faster than science is willing to appreciate - someday: so it may be wise to recognise the only hope of survival is to embrace the mindset of the one who revealed a path home to Eternal Paradise.

SCIENCE HAS LOST THE PLOT

Science is when a person, or group of people, work to make observations - then undertake experimentation to create an idea. After the idea is confirmed the person, or group of people, can then produce a theory or hypothesis which undergoes the rigour of scrutiny among peers – which includes further experimentation.

The theory will (most likely) include certain predictions that, when verified, will confirm the theory as law - when the scientific community is satisfied the new 'law' cannot be challenged or undermined in any way.

This is how many theories such as thermodynamics, for example, have been classified as law. Science, therefore, qualifies a perceived understanding of reality as a fact.

Many hypotheses or theories, considered for greater status, are often refined or scrapped in favour of another - if they fail to pass any of these stages. Some of which may be set aside until such time when further information can validate the hypothesis or theory. This makes science an exciting place to work within - because discoveries often come from unexpected sources and it feels like an adventure to explore uncharted areas.

However... there is a darker side to science because some theories, such as evolution, have failed to pass the prediction stage - which means: the model must either be amended or scrapped.

The problem with this particular 'theory' is - it is the foundation of naturalism.

Science, having learned from experience, has adopted a naturalistic approach that requires the commerce of ideas to secure a consensus of opinion as the authority. The collapse of the evolutionary model would mean the authority shifts from naturalism toward a creator - which means science no longer has authority on the perceived understanding of reality.

Thus... the floodgates will burst open for philosophers and religious people to make wild assertions that may affect society adversely. Given the fact religion is often responsible for causing so many problems in the world - it is understandable science is reluctant to relinquish the authority they ascribe to.

Unfortunately... the general mood among the multitude is invoking a seething resentment toward their lack of candour: and this is why we see so many science deniers squabbling over issues they consider to be pertinent. This is likely to continue because such people are incapable of appreciating the scientific method and the processes mentioned here are necessary to establish facts.

This is to say science is well aware the evolutionary model needs to be refined or replaced - but they are, as yet, unable to defer the foundation for naturalism to another location: which confirms science is built upon a foundation of shifting sand. Now... I'm not interested in bashing science - because the Book of Enoch specifically tells us to observe nature so as to recognise the handiwork of the creator.

Science has to find a way to put naturalism in the grave - and accept the sovereign authority of the Most High - or admit they are utterly clueless about everything. In other words... their position is not a pleasant one - because either one means shame in having the arrogance in thinking they were the authority on truth.

I would recommend the former so we can continue to explicate the scientific method in qualitative and quantitative research and development to honour the Most High.

Otherwise... they are openly declaring a war to secure the authority on truth.

Other theories, such as the Theory of Relativity, are struggling to secure the position of law because - despite accurate predictions having verified the theory - the scientific community want to cover all bases and demystify the weirdness of Quantum Mechanics to bring it in alignment with String Theory.

This is why all eyes are focused on the Large Hadron Colliers they have built.

They are studying the structure of reality from the vacuum of Zero Point - but reports of strange entities have perturbed their efforts: even as strange black goo has been sighted on buildings in America. It's like science has gone full fiction as they cause anomalies that are reminiscent of some horror movie.

Reputable scientists have spoken out against the work science has engaged in - so it would seem: they have actually engaged in this war to secure authority on truth. Seriously... I find it hard to believe I'm (even) writing this kind of article because I'm supposed to sustain some degree of rationale on this subject. The thing is... how can I sustain rationale when I'm talking about people who are insane?

I'm not some doctor - who can rationalise insanity for the purposes of entertainment.

You know the situation... what more can I say?

Anyway... I suppose the only thing I can do is let everyone know why things appear to be turning ugly in science. I (personally) love science because it embraces the adventure of discovery - and I consider this quality one of the most admirable in the human condition.

Pray for those who need the strength to repent.

THE CHAOS OF THEORY

Chaos Theory describes the behaviour of dynamic systems that are highly sensitive to initial conditions. Imagine, if you will, smoke from a candle streaming steadily upward - then suddenly: the steady stream becomes erratic.

This was caused by an anomaly that became apparent after the stream lost its sense of orderly flow. This is to say, a small anomaly within the state of a deterministic nonlinear mechanism can result in a large change in the state of the mechanism after a tipping point.

Computer simulations - used to determine weather patterns - lead to the 'butterfly effect' phrase - because a subtle change in initial conditions, when numbers were rounded off differently, produced a different diagram. The plot of the Lorenz Attractor, for example, used values $r = 28$, $\sigma = 10$, $b = 8/3$ to create a butterfly shape.

This plot inspired Edward Lorenz to describe the initial condition variable to be so subtle - it can be likened to a butterfly flapping its wings in Brazil can cause a tornado in Texas. Chaos is, therefore, the science of assessing the initial cause of disorder that becomes apparent later.

In other words... chaos is an interdisciplinary theory that observes the apparent randomness of chaotic complex systems to ascertain underlying:

- 1) repetition
- 2) constant 'feedback' loops
- 3) fractals
- 4) self-similarity
- 5) patterns (*)
- 6) self-organization

These factors are reliant upon programming at the inceptive point which are known as sensitive dependence on initial conditions. The theory formed the basis for such fields of study as complex dynamical systems, edge of chaos theory, self-assembly process - and is applied in management, medicine etc. This is how "chaos theory" is described in general terms - but the reality is: the science of chaos is involved with assessing hidden order. You see... once a deterministic nonlinear system becomes erratic the chaos is 'apparent' because a former sense of order has gone.

However, it would be more accurate to say a system has changed from a state of order to another state of order (which is hidden). This means the word 'chaos' is an inaccurate description - since the deterministic nonlinear system has only become 'apparently' chaotic. The people, who deal with these models, are well aware they are searching for hidden order within systems.

Basically... chaos studies involves the acquisition and understanding of hidden knowledge. The word 'occult' (which means hidden knowledge) is an adjective in medicine used to describe a disease or process that is not accompanied by readily discernible signs or symptoms.

Psychology, for example, defers to the trauma experienced during childhood as the initial condition that causes disorder (mental illness) in adulthood.

The predilection to acquire and understand hidden knowledge (occult) was contemplated long before science used mathematical equations to exemplify the phenomena of recognising hidden order within chaos. For example.. people, intent upon ruling over others, established secular governance that use ignorance (locked within delusion) to perpetuate perceived realities.

They achieve this by creating a sense of religiosity within a culture - which then seeks to exemplify a hierarchical structure. History is replete with examples of empires using religious dogma to assert authority: while knowledge of natural philosophy and science was guarded by a priesthood - who served some insane monarch.

This relationship has been strained throughout history - because the resplendent allure of knowledge is highly seductive to the soul. Those who had possession of sacred (hidden) knowledge began to plot against their kings - and ended up creating secret societies. The phrase Order Out of Chaos is used to mock the ignorant - as they fester ideologies to create delusions within society.

This is to say ... Chaos Theory has played a vital role in the progress of human civilisation - especially in modern times. In sociology, for example, the government introduce legislation, budgeting and regulatory controls that affect the economy.

They tweak the initial conditions (such as price of beer etc) in an attempt to pre-determine a desired pattern within the mechanisms that allow society to function. This is regarded as normal practice - because trade is considered a necessary function of human civilisation - but the predilection to tweak initial conditions also involves manipulation of perception.

The modern era has lead to the age of information where people can learn a vast range of disciplines - so the only line of defence the ruling elite have is:

- 1) Misinformation (fake news)
- 2) Delusion Management

This is referred to as psychological operations or psy-ops for short - which is to say: the programming of mind is prolific today.

The programming of mind is Spiritual Warfare because belief determines behaviour (attitude) and attitude is spirit. Unfortunately ... those who involve themselves with the occult - to manipulate perception - are in a constant state of delusion because hidden knowledge is assumed and assumed knowledge is delusion.

This means the delusional are using delusions to perpetuate delusion - because they can see the hidden order within chaos offers the opportunity to establish absolute power. Now ... I do not (particularly) care for conspiracy theories - but I am sick of all the delusion in this world.

Delusion, you see, is sourced from apparent truths (indirect evidence) that come from:

- 1) Science that asserts all theories as fact
- 2) Religion that asserts all doctrines as truth

The nonsense in this world is beyond belief. Unfortunately ... science is at the forefront of causing delusions - because it abuses the scientific method to create (imaginary) theories - that contain predictions. This, in of itself, is not such a big deal: but for the fact - science refuses to acknowledge theories are not proven fact. The reluctance to admit this means science is assuming a knowledge that does not exist - and that is delusional.

This has caused people to consider the possibility science has lied about everything - which has, then, in turn, caused delusion to manifest within sceptics. This means delusion spreads like a disease. You see... delusion is deadly - because it is the knowledge of good and evil that imprisons the mind within the worship of ego.

The ego blinds the mind to the perspective of another - and, as such, it renders itself vulnerable to programming into self-worship. The sceptic sees a cohesive pattern in their ideologies and this garrisons their mindset because they see science / religion refuses to acknowledge their ideologies are in error.

In other words ... people are being locked within their own delusions because:

- 1) They refuse to acknowledge their own interpretation of indirect evidences may be in error (because they perceive indirect evidence as direct evidence).

Mutation, for example, may be direct evidence for speciation - but it is only indirect evidence for transition.

- 2) They can see their opponent refuses to acknowledge their interpretation of indirect evidences is (in their view) in error.

The Coriolis Effect may be direct evidence for centrifugal motion - but it is only indirect evidence for flat-earth.

Indirect evidence is interpretational and not sufficient to prove anything - because it can trigger cyclical reasoning to establish a delusional perspective.

Cyclical reasoning is destroying mankind - because it locks the mind within delusion. These locking mechanisms are then used to establish hierarchical structure because such a system can secure variation with degrees of knowledge that remains hidden to the deluded. Here's the interesting part...

Who cares if, say, evolution is true?
Who cares if the Earth is flat?

What does it matter?

The people who ascribe to these delusions are locked in a state of denying facts because they have a vested interest in their beliefs. Evolutionists want to ignore and avoid the moral authority in the scripture. Flat-Earthers want to prove God exists (despite the fact scripture says we live by faith).

Science is grappling with the possibility this reality is an illusion - that is holographic in nature - and it is aware consciousness is a key component of reality. If consciousness is a key component of reality: those who are free of delusions are able to understand how perspective affects reality - both positively and adversely. Thus ... it is vital for the continued existence of mankind to stop festering delusion. This means we must (all) take measures to:

- 1) remove corruption from science.
- 2) remove apostasy from religion.

Apostasy In Religion Is Evil

Any doctrine that facilitates hierarchical power structure is false - because it promotes idolatry and sexual immorality.

Corruption In Science Is Evil

Any unproven theory that is asserted as proven fact is deception - because it causes delusion and mental illness.

While the (insane) elite are playing god with their delusion inducing nonsense - to establish absolute power using Chaos Theory - they are, effectively, jeopardising all of reality. Consciousness affects reality - thus: delusion, in its various forms, is also affecting reality: making this a critical discipline to understand.

Science has a responsibility it is barely able to appreciate - even as we scratch the surface of understanding complex systems.

Perception is malleable - which means we are susceptible to delusion. Therefore, we must learn to embrace perfect humility before the Father in Heaven if reality is to be restored to zero entropy. I understand this article may seem abstract - but it describes the dangers of delusion and offers the only solution mankind has - lest we embrace oblivion - at the hands of those who refuse to acknowledge truth.

The truth will set us free.

THE PROMISE

Quod Erat Demonstrandum, or QED for short, is the Latin phrase for: "which is what had to be proven". The phrase is placed, in its abbreviated form, at the end of an argument when the the terms of reference, in the beginning, is restated as the conclusion. The abbreviation, therefore, signifies the completion of the proof.

QED also means Quantum Electrodynamics - which is ironic considering Quantum Theory remains an enigmatic postulate of probable cause. The Theory of Quantum Mechanics was developed during the early 1930's after Albert Einstein explained the nature of particles in his 1905 paper on Brownian Motion.

Robert Brown had observed the random motion of particles, that were suspended in a fluid in 1827, and Einstein explained how they were colliding with quick atoms or molecules in the gas or liquid.

This lead to the development of:

- 1) The Theory of Relativity
- 2) The Theory of Quantum Mechanics

The Theory of Relativity explains how the reality of time-space works at a macro level of the whole universe. The Theory of Quantum Mechanics (somewhat) narrates how the reality of quantum works at a micro level of the whole universe. I say 'narrates' because science is a spectator that is watching strange - illogical deference - to the way things work at the microscopic level.

They struggle to make any sense of the quantum so they call this strangeness 'probability' and from this perspective they have developed theory after theory based on 'probability' to try and explicate what they see.

Brownian Motion is the simplest of continuous-time stochastic (random) processes, and it places a limit on both the simple and the complicated stochastic models. Therefore... we can see some of the greatest minds in science were faced with trying to establish a means to describe a variable in the context of a non-variable - and this is why they have consistently failed to develop a theory that full explains the nature of reality at the quantum level.

The model for Quantum Theory has (within probability) adequately explained the nature of particles, at the quantum level, and science has found ways to observe particles better - but science has realised: reality is an illusion in which perception, of the conscious mind, is a key component to their deliberations.

This was caused by the double-slit experiment which revealed particles, that collapse into a wave, become a particle when they are observed. This was called the 'measurement problem' because there was no way to observe the collapse of the wave function - and the implications of consciousness affecting the experiment opened the floodgates for religious and philosophical ideologies to latch on.

Since the development of Quantum Mechanics science has postulated several theories to explain the role of consciousness as a significant or insignificant component of reality. Some are downright bizarre while others are obviously a concerted attempt to apply logic to a problem that is, by definition, extremely illogical.

The strange thing is... this quandary is like a red flag advising humankind to take a moment and reevaluated the desire to attain such knowledge.

What's more worrying is... the scientific community has attempted to keep this quiet until it was ready to present several theories that embrace stochastic processes - so they could face the philosophical and religious inferences that would attempt to infiltrate the forum.

The problem is... This quandary is best described as: mankind is staring into a mirror and he sees himself staring back - but he is unable to recognise what he sees.

Greek philosophers - of antiquity - would argue the physical body, of a person, grows old and withers but the ideas they have can endure so they postulated the physical realm is decaying while the spiritual realm is eternal. However... the Third Law of Thermodynamics tells us everything is in a state of slow decay and this is true for both realities because they are interconnected.

Science is aware the physical reality has an interconnected reality (spiritual realm) and the consensus is - the adjacent reality, of the spiritual realm, is not subject to entropy. The truth is: the interconnected reality is also subject to decay because the two realities are dependent upon each other to function.

This means science, in its state of quandary over the Measurement Problem, is entertaining the ancient philosophical premise the spiritual realm is eternal - even though they know it is inter-connected.

This 'premise' is also the focus of attention for many religious people who attempt to assert their New Age Spiritual ideas that are founded in the ancient mystery religions.

Even the Christian faith has been duped into thinking the soul transcends into Heaven or Hell after death because this premise is etched into the psyche of humankind. The Christian faith is, supposed to be, founded upon the resurrection - which means a restoration will take place for judgement to take effect.

Therefore... Heaven and Hell, as an eternal home in the Christian faith, is something that will take effect after resurrection not before resurrection.

The question here is... why should philosophy or religion enter the equation at all: and why is the premise (of physical decay - spiritual eternal) so indelibly pervasive?

There is obviously a scramble to secure a consensus on the subject and people cannot resist the opportunity to pioneer the field - because the subjective perception, of one person, can inspire the objective perception, of many people, and the ego of one desperately wants to connect with others.

This is often called the 'great minds' or 'same wavelength' that allows the individual to feel it is not alone. Ironic - really - when you think about it. Science has postulated reality is like a hologram in which the universe has the illusion of three dimensions that is lifted from the two dimensional fabric of space-time.

Which is (basically) saying the physical and spiritual are the two dimensions that allow the conscious mind to perceive a three dimensional reality. This is to say perception is vital in the creation of reality because perception plays a vital role in the creation of all reality. This means the cognitive ability to perceive (which is consciousness) effects reality at a fundamental level - so consciousness, it would seem, has a qualified place in the equation.

Thus... religion and / or philosophy have a contribution to make.

Therefore... it is necessary to appreciate perception is a factor that establishes the true nature of reality in a qualitative and quantitative manner. The nature of perception determines whether the created reality is a holographic illusion of lies or a holographic illusion of truths.

In the movie called 'The Matrix' a character named Cypher wants to be plugged back into the matrix where he will be oblivious to the truth. This is to say... the illusion is preferable to the desolate wastes he was familiar with.

Therefore... there is nothing wrong with the illusion because it is a place where the essence of our being can inhabit. How can we ensure this place we inhabit is restored to its original state - before the flaw caused entropy to initiate decay?

To achieve this we need to understand: entropy is the result of a flaw in perception - precisely because consciousness allows the illusion (perceived reality we inhabit) to exist. It is important we root out the cause of such flaw to ensure a collective consciousness allows the three dimensional illusion of the hologram, that is created from the two dimensional reality of the physical-spiritual, is restored to its initial setting.

The (legitimate) question is... what exactly is the flaw?

If a person treats others equally and simply performs his job - he will be perceived as a brown-noser and his co-workers, who don't treat others equally, will develop a hatred for that person. I'm sure people can identify with this because it is universal and subtle as to the source of the flaw.

Those who don't embrace equality feel hate for those who do embrace equality - while those who embrace equality feel persecution from those who don't embrace equality.

This is because the perception, of those who do not embrace equality, is flawed.

The person who embraces equality has no interest in making anyone look bad or impress the boss - because they treat everyone equally. This is to say... the source of the flaw is the predilection to assert authority over others - because those to do not embrace equality want to live in a world that is governed by pride of heart (hierarchy): while those who do embrace equality want to live in a world that is governed by humility of heart (love).

This is where religion enters the equation because they all (even satanists) profess unconditional love is the hallmark of truth. The problem is... they all profess to know the truth and they claim to know what love is - yet: religion has often been the cause of so much evil in this world.

What is going on?

Well... the problem is... the subjective reality of the individual wants to experience the objective reality of many - so the soul will look to the world or the heart or scripture or science or politics to try and make sense of reality - and it clings to what appears to be truth. For example... people think everyone has their own truth - which means they need to know thyself to fully appreciate truth.

This is not a practical means to establish a reality that has no flaw It inspires the soul to imagine it has the authority to assert its perception as truth over those who have their own perception of truth. Seriously... this is about as messy as it can possibly get - because the flaw is the predilection to assert authority over others (thinking it has the right to assert authority over others).

In the workplace scenario we see it is the person who refuses to embrace equality that has the flaw - which even inspires them to hate. If everyone in the workplace was equal and all wanted to embrace equality - there would be no flaw in the perception of the people working in that environment.

This is not philosophical or religious observation here - but hard facts that can be backed up by study after study that shows people are healthier and happiest in environmental conditions that foster and nurture equality. I have avoided quoting specific religions but if you look to all of them - there is only one that tells us not to assert authority over others (to avoid the flaw).

...and that is Christianity.

Therefore... any Christian denomination that does have a hierarchy have ignored the teachings of Yeshua (Jesus) and they do not have the Christ mindset. Here is a short article that outlines the various theories science is grappling with at the moment.

It is for everyone to recognise the opportunity to repent - if they want to stop this reality passing away. I have presented this article to inspire restoration of reality - but I am aware this physical-spiritual reality will ultimately dissolve away: because many people will not pay attention to the seriousness of the situation and refuse to relinquish their predilection to assert authority over others.

This is why... this heaven and earth (physical-spiritual reality) will eventually pass away - but those who took these words seriously and accept Yeshua as their Lord and Saviour will enter into Eternal Paradise. It will be an eternal reality because everyone will embrace the subjective Christ mindset to establish perfect equality.

That is the promise.

QED

THE DEATH OF SCIENCE

The journalist James Gleick wrote, "Isaac Newton was born into a world of darkness, obscurity, and magic. He erred at least once to the brink of madness - and yet: discovered more of the essential core of human knowledge than anyone before or after.

He was chief architect of the modern world. He made knowledge a thing of substance: quantitative and exact. He established principles and they are called laws."

Authors Richard Koch and Chris Smith noted, "Some time between the 13th and 15th centuries, Europe pulled well ahead of the rest of the world in science and technology, a lead consolidated in the following 200 years. Then in 1687, Isaac Newton - foreshadowed by Copernicus, Kepler and others - had his glorious insight that the universe is governed by a few physical, mechanical, and mathematical laws. This instilled tremendous confidence that everything made sense, everything fitted together, and everything could be improved by science."

Before Newton demonstrated the power of applied mathematics - knowledge relied upon philosophical debate where a consensus of opinion agreed upon the strength of argument. It was Galileo Galilei who played a central role in the transition from:

- 1) natural philosophy to modern science
- 2) Renaissance to a scientific revolution

Galileo was able to confirm - through careful observation - the heliocentric model, that was previously proposed by Copernicus, is accurate. Newton brought us modern science when his theories employed mathematical equations - that explained universal laws. The application of such equations provided the traction science needed to secure predictive reasoning which lead to theoretical science.

Albert Einstein said, "Eventually, theoretical physics outgrew Newton's framework, which had for nearly two centuries provided fixity and intellectual guidance for science." This eloquently describes the way Isaac Newton inspissated the confidence in science to assert ideas as knowledge (theory).

Technically speaking ... the spirit of philosophical debate continued within the scientific community - because theories, having unassailable rhetoric, are still subject to verification. Einstein, for example, was made an overnight sensation when he predicted we could see stars behind the Sun during a total eclipse.

The Theory of Relativity says light follows the gravity trough of high-mass objects, such as stars, because space and time are essentially the same thing.

This was confirmed when light, from a distant star, was affected by the gravity of the Sun. Though they confirmed light bends in strong gravity - science continues to subject the theory to verification: such is the nature of modern science. Gravitational Waves, for example, was a recent discovery that confirmed another prediction.

This kind of 'predictive' power caused science to develop a sense of arrogance in the confidence it expedites from mathematics. This, in turn, lead to theoretical science: which pioneers in the various disciplines: to confirm the volition of naturalism.

Steven Hawking wrote, "I do not agree with the view that the universe is a mystery. This view does not do justice to the scientific revolution that was started almost four hundred years ago by Galileo and carried on by Newton. We now have mathematical laws that govern everything we normally experience."

Of course... mathematical laws do not explain the spiritual experiences many have had. Science is, primarily, focused on the natural because the super-natural lacks the aggregation of observational evidence - even though - quantum mechanics has yielded evidence for the 'probable' existence of a super-natural reality. Having said that ... science has matured with the potential to grow effectively into a fully functional adult. For the time being, however, science remains a rebellious teenager because it continues to assert theory as fact.

This arrogance will dissipate when science takes measures to affront delusional ideologies - and set predictive reasoning within acceptable parameters. Delusion is when knowledge is assumed to exist - thus every prediction is a forbearing delusion until it is confirmed. For example ... science assumes evolution is fact - while it continues to secure the direct evidence for transition.

This means evolution is the product of 'potential' delusion: because it is assumed the evidence, that does not - yet - exist, will materialise sooner or later. Unfortunately... the tolerance of such forbearance is causing science to make horrendous mistakes.

These mistakes have brought the credibility of science into question among religious fanatics - who employ the scientific method to assert their own ideologies.

The situation appears to have marred the opportunity for growth - because cognitive dissonance is festering within the delusions they entertain.

Science has, basically, hit a brick wall impasse. Philosophy and theosophy offer a foray of rhetorical solutions - to problems - that fail to deliver any meaningful answers. This is unsettling the confidence science has developed - even as they imbue the category of fringe science with pseudoscience to placate the sense of dissension.

The ambiguity of evidence, being direct or indirect, is causing delusion to take root in the psyche of humankind. Author John Horgan said, "Science is a bounded enterprise, limited by social, economic, physical and cognitive factors.

Science is being threatened, literally, in some cases, by:

- 1) technophobes like the Unabomber
- 2) animal-rights activists
- 3) creationists & o/ religious fundamentalists
- 4) post-modern philosophers
- 5) most important of all - stingy politicians

Also, as science advances, it keeps imposing limits on its own power."

The truth is science is exasperating the delusional ideologies that facilitate death. The groups, mentioned above, are able to threaten science because sceptics can see science is passing off indirect evidence as direct evidence.

This has made science vulnerable - because the sceptic is right to question the arrogance that asserts unproven theory as fact.

Authors, like Horgan, point to sceptics using negative connotation to describe their right to question the arrogance in science - as the reason science is suffering. This is the attitude that will (ultimately) kill science - and the epitaph will read:

Here lies - science - the direct evidence: pride goes before a great fall. I find it rather sad - science is failing to acknowledge the parameters it needs to identify levels of confidence. These levels would placate scepticism - because science can define the parameters sufficiently enough to explicate honesty.

The sceptic seeks honesty.

ATHEISM = OXYMORON

Science has confirmed the human brain is hardwired to worship something.

This means it is not possible to be an atheist. The reality is ... we are all hardwired to worship something - so it's somewhat debilitating to appreciate the predilection to create religions. Therefore... it would be more appropriate to redefine the people who refuse to accept a creator as 'deniers' or 'unbelievers'.

Religion is considered by 'deniers' as the source of conflict and problems in this world - which is, surprisingly, an accurate claim. Religion is defined as - the belief in and worship of a superhuman controlling power, especially a personal God or gods.

Delusion is defined as - an idiosyncratic belief or impression maintained despite being contradicted by reality or rational argument, typically as a symptom of mental disorder. This is where it gets interesting because 'idiosyncratic belief' is subject to the interpretation of perception. For example... oxymoron is defined as - a figure of speech in which apparently contradictory terms appear in conjunction.

Atheism is defined as - a disbelief or lack of belief in the existence of God or gods. Yet ... science has confirmed the human brain is hardwired to worship something; which means it is not possible to disbelieve in something that is revered as a God or god(s). Money, for example, can be worshiped (loved) because it empowers the individual to godhood status in society. Thus ... the ego is worshiped as a god.

The believer in a creator may, therefore, considers the belief in perpetuating the ego as idiosyncratic - just as an unbeliever may consider the belief in a creator as idiosyncratic. One side sees a six - while the other side sees a nine and both consider their opponent to be in a state of delusion. Given the fact we are hardwired to worship something and delusion is easily created by idiosyncratic beliefs - how is mankind going to establish a foundation for growth?

If we examine the reason people choose to believe one thing over another - we can see a pattern of morality emerge. For example... the denial of a creator means the soul can convince itself they only have the laws of man to live by.

These laws are subject to change and are (often) not even enforced upon those who set themselves up as ruling elite. The Laws of God, on the other hand, are considered unacceptable by those who want to pursue the lusts of the flesh.

You see... if there were no laws established by a creator - that were too imposing upon the ego - the deniers would not deny a creator: because the indirect evidences that indicate the existence of creator would suffice.

Instead ... they demand the direct evidence for mutation is considered direct evidence for the increase in genetic information. This is a belief (assertion) because mutation is indirect evidence for transition and not direct evidence for transition.

This means the assertion is idiosyncratic - because the belief is considered fact before it has been verified as 'knowledge'. Delusion is the assumption of a knowledge that does not exist. In this case ... the (indirect) evidence is presumed to be sufficient to assert a knowledge that does not - yet - exist.

This is to say... the Theory of Evolution is an idiosyncratic belief - because science has failed to demonstrate the genome can increase in genetic information to effect a transition between the kinds. This means science academy is, at worst, in a state of delusion - or, at best, flirting with delusional aspirations.

Does this mean the theists, who claim there is a creator God, are not deluded. There are many denominations which all claim to 'know' the truth - but they hold to different doctrines (set of beliefs) - so there is delusion amid such a swathe of assertions.

Delusion is a mental disorder that can effect anyone regardless of their background.

- 1) Atheists think they are right.
- 2) Jews think they are right.
- 3) Muslims think they are right.
- 4) Buddhists think they are right.
- 5) Pagans think they are right.
- 6) satanists think they are right.
- 7) Christians think they are right.

...and so forth.

Is the whole world in a state of delusion?

Sadly... it is - to some degree - but the sense of delusion may not be as profound within the various religions: because many are intrinsically aware something is not right. Science is grappling with the possibility this reality is a holographic universe - which only exasperates the situation.

Albert Einstein once said, "All of reality is an illusion, albeit a persistent one," which begs the question: what is actually real.

If science is prone to delusion and religion is prone to delusion what hope is there for us to appreciate what is real. The only way to escape any delusion is to recognise how a set of (given) doctrines facilitate a particular mindset.

This brings 'attitude' to the forefront of the equation - which highlights the importance of moral authority. This, then, is the only (real) question anyone should ever consider with a sober mind - if we are to identify what is real. A lack of belief in a supreme being means moral authority is in the hands on man - which then requires a system of secular authority to administrate legislation.

This kind of legislation is subject to change because it attempts to adapt to a fluctuating consensus of opinion.

This is the kind of legislation mankind has at the moment - and lack of morality in society is slowly causing lawlessness to abound. This is because attitude (spirit) in the human condition is malleable to the influences of suggestion both seen and unseen.

This means man is incapable of governance because his legislation is corrupt.

Does this mean... we must acknowledge the sovereign authority of an unseen deity which allows self-righteous priests administrate a divine law as they understand it?

This has also led to dire consequences because corrupt men / women use laws to establish secular systems that cause oppression. This means the only set of doctrines worthy of consideration will not facilitate any hierarchical system of power in society. Turns out there was a person who taught this - and His Name is Yahushua or Yeshua (the one the paganised Christians call Jesus).

He told us not to be as the gentiles who lord it over one another - so we may worship the Father in spirit and truth. He told us to abound in the Love of Christ - which is made manifest in the way we walk in perfect humility before the Father in Heaven.

Is this a religion?

No.

Is it a belief that is idiosyncratic?

It can be considered idiosyncratic by those who refuse to accept the moral authority of the Most High - but that does not mean it is idiosyncratic. Atheists demand evidence claiming that would prompt them to repent - but the scripture clearly states we are to live by faith. The Israelites saw the parting of the Red Sea - but they were still unrepentant. The truth is ... those who refuse to acknowledge the Word of God - do so with the intention of using excuses to justify the ideologies: that allows them to pursue the lusts of the flesh.

Anyone who holds to a set of doctrines that facilitate any kind of hierarchical system is denying the Name of God. The third commandment Exodus 20: 7 states: Thou shalt not take the Name of the Lord thy God in vain: For the Lord will not hold him guiltless that taketh his name in vain.

The only way to avoid delusion is to foster a faith (not a religion) that allows the soul to manifest the Name of God - because we are hardwired to worship something.

This means we are commanded by the Most High to avoid being in a state of delusion. This reality is a proving ground where the soul is required to prepare itself for entry into Eternal Paradise - after this holographic universe passes away.

Just because we cannot see something - that doesn't mean it does not exist. We cannot see the wind ... but we can feel it - just as we cannot see the heavenly realm: but we can feel the awareness of existence. The awareness of existence is subject to psychological attack - that will defer the soul into a state of delusion: so we need to root out anything that causes delusion.

The only real question is ... who has the courage to vicissitude the spirit of perfection - so they may live without delusion.

THE THEORY OF (EPIC) FAILURE

The Oxford dictionary defines science as:

An intellectual and practical activity encompassing the systematic study of the structure and behaviour of the physical and natural world through observation and experiment.

Merriam-Webster is much more broader in its assertion which defines science as:

The state of knowing or knowledge as distinguished from ignorance or misunderstanding.

Wikipedia, on the other hand, is more amenable because it defines science as:

A word derived from Latin scientia, meaning "knowledge," which is a systematic enterprise that builds and organizes knowledge in the form of testable explanations and predictions about the universe.

I quite like the Wikipedia one - but there is a glaring error in all of these definitions.

They are basically saying: there is no definitive ground to assert something as absolute fact - in science - given the fact theories merit presumption. If you read a typical science article - you'll see the words 'could be' or 'might be' or 'suggests' - thus admitting, up-front, the theories are not 'matter of fact'.

Seriously... check it out and you'll always see these words being used to downplay any error they have overlooked. This is because the presumptions are biased.

In other words ... the data must only be interpreted to assert naturalism - because logic is (apparently) grounded in reason and tangible evidences: which is highly presumptuous. If these definitions are accurate: I can claim this article is a legitimate scientific theory that is substantiated by the information it delivers to explicate the cause of epic failure. However, the discourse of snafu within the scientific community would not permit such a claim - regardless how much I downplay any (possible) observational errors.

Here is my definition of science:

Science is (arguably) the process by which we come to appreciate, and value, the nature of reality - and our place within it.

This definition placates a respectable attitude toward the environment in which we share. The other definitions are cold and uncaring - which is why we see scientists perform insane experiments that (often) endanger lives.

In case you hadn't noticed... I am talking exclusively about 'attitude' here - because science is supposed to be devoid of presumption as it seeks to approbate knowledge and understanding. This is to say... "biased presumption" is the main cause of epic failure. Science has cultivated this attitude because it thrives upon predictive competence within the theories it creates.

Bias is the door to delusion and prediction is the key that gets thrown away.

Science, you see, is emboldened by the confirmation of prediction - so they end up locked within their delusions: convinced presumption is the impetus for success. Perhaps the best way to illustrate this is to highlight how the frustration, to confirm a prediction, has created a delusional mindset.

If you take a computer code and scramble the information - it will contain different sequences that creates a different application. This may appear to increase the information (especially if the software operates better) but the reality is: the information has not increased by the process of scrambling. It was rearranged to create (mutate) a different application - which resembles the original.

This is what science has observed again and again when it looks to gene fluctuations in different breeds within the kind. Their observation of mutation is sufficient to inspire continued research into speciation - in hopes of finding direct evidence for transition. However... they are frustrated by the lack of progress they have experienced - so they embellish the facts to assert mutation is the key to observing increase in information.

They do this to secure funding for research.

The purveyors of naturalism consider this assertion as fact - which then leads to a state of delusion because they assume such knowledge (evidence) exists when, in fact, it does not exist. They then try to assert mutation is evidence for the increase in genetic information - but those who are unbiased are aware: science has not secured direct evidence for transition.

This has caused many to assume science is lying about key issues that assert the bias toward naturalism or a political agenda. This has lead to growing resentment toward science which can be observed in the forums: where people troll the bias to expose the presumptuous nature.

This is the (direct) evidence for epic failure - which means it is a matter of fact. This is something anyone can observe and test to validate the Theory of Epic Failure.

Using this scientific theory we can predict:

Science will (ironically) die of natural causes and end up buried next to philosophy where a new discipline will emerge from the ashes. A discipline that does not pervert the scientific method described in scripture. A discipline that will not be driven by the illusion of progress but, rather, the reality of perfection that placates truth.

A discipline that will be called: Hashem